

Broadway - Fwd: RE: FW: update Broadway Historic documents

From: Jennifer Burdick
To: Broadway
Date: 11/16/2013 2:02 PM
Subject: Fwd: RE: FW: update Broadway Historic documents

>>> On 11/16/2013 at 1:55 PM, Jennifer Burdick wrote:

Laura,

I have updated the web site with the edited documents I committed to updating at this point in time, recognizing that a future full update to the report will be done when we are at that stage in the project work schedule. <http://cms3.tucsonaz.gov/broadway/broadway-documents-studies>:

- **Appendix A** summary of all the properties. The RHHD status for each property in the district is listed first ('C'- = contributors; 'NC-' = non-contributors), followed by the eligibility status used for the Historic Buildings Inventory Report ('E' = eligible; 'NE' = Not Eligible; and so on).

http://cms3.tucsonaz.gov/files/projects/broadway/BwayHistoric_Final_Vol1_AppA_rev.pdf

- **Volume 1 Report + revised Appendix A.** Comment boxes have been inserted onto pages to highlight the fact that the Historic District was listed in Feb. 2013.

http://cms3.tucsonaz.gov/files/projects/broadway/BwayHistoric_Final_Vol1_rev.pdf

- **Map.** This is the same map from within the report, with the 'pending' terminology crossed out and text added in a comment box that the RHHD was listed in Feb. 2013.

http://cms3.tucsonaz.gov/files/projects/broadway/BwayHistoric_Final_MapDisplaysml.pdf

~Jenn

>>> On 11/13/2013 at 12:05 AM, "Tabili, Laura - (tabili)" <tabili@email.arizona.edu> wrote:

Hi, Jennifer,

I just logged onto Appendix A, and still find RHHD properties listed as E* (eg eligible, pending) rather than C (eg contributing).

When can we expect these listings to be updated?

Thanks.

Laura

From: Tabili, Laura - (tabili)
 Sent: Thursday, November 07, 2013 11:37 PM
 To: Jennifer Burdick
 Cc: mgarcia@vcu.edu
 Subject: RE: FW: update Broadway Historic documents

Dear Jenn,

Thanks. That helps.

One of the lists someone consulted lately had RHHD properties listed as E* (eligible, pending) and the other eligible properties marked "E". To some, it appeared RHHD properties were in fact LESS eligible than the others.

These designations need to be altered from E* to C--right?

It

From: Jennifer Burdick [Jennifer.Burdick@tucsonaz.gov]
 Sent: Tuesday, November 05, 2013 6:01 PM
 To: Tabili, Laura - (tabili)
 Cc: votestevek@gmail.com; mbhoman@msn.com; Jennifer Levstik; Jonathan Mabry; demionc@yahoo.com
 Subject: RE: FW: update Broadway Historic documents

Laura,

Our emails just crossed each other. I am trying to understand and not be difficult.

Just to make sure I am following the issue, I just compared the final approved map for RHHD:
<http://cms3.tucsonaz.gov/files/hcd/THPO/Rincon.pdf>

with the map in the report:

http://cms3.tucsonaz.gov/files/projects/broadway/BwayHistoric_Final_MapDisplaysml.pdf

The footprints match, which means we have the right data showing. (The black footprints, ie contributors, on the approved Rincon Heights HD map match the dark red footprints in the Broadway Historic Inventory Report map.)

However, the legend is not updated to reflect that the district has been registered.

In comparison, the map we just used for the 9/26 public meeting identifies the same dark red properties as contributors, but identifies them as "current contributors". This means to me that the underlying data is good, and we've corrected the legend.

http://cms3.tucsonaz.gov/files/projects/broadway/03_Table_Map.pdf (this take a while to load because it is such a big file)

If you are seeking a printout of this revised version, I can provide that.

I think that my suggested approach in the email I just sent can address your concerns regarding the report:

- update the map legend in the report with a comment box that clarifies the dark red properties are "Listed as District Contributor", and removing the additional text '(Pending Historic Designation)'
- update the Appendix A, which is the summary list of properties.

All of the future work will have the more accurate legend, similar to the map used at the 9/26 public meeting.

~Jenn

>>> On 11/5/2013 at 1:02 PM, "Tabili, Laura - (tabili)" <tabili@email.arizona.edu> wrote:
Dear Jenn,

It is unacceptable to list properties in an existing Historic District as if they are not.

It is also unacceptable to delay corrections until after the roadway is designed. The whole purpose of these maps is so that the CTF can make informed decisions, which outdated evidence is preventing.

I insist that the maps and other listings be updated now.

Laura Tabili

From: Jennifer Burdick [Jennifer.Burdick@tucsonaz.gov]
Sent: Monday, November 04, 2013 10:37 AM
To: Tabili, Laura - (tabili)
Cc: Jennifer Levstik; Jonathan Mabry
Subject: Re: FW: update Broadway Historic documents

Laura,
Jen Levstik found the date: February 2013. I'll proceed on updating the appendix.

Thank you, all!
~Jenn

>>> On 11/4/2013 at 9:35 AM, Jennifer Levstik wrote:
February 2013 was when Rincon Heights was listed in the National Register of Historic Places.
Jen

>>> Jennifer Burdick 10/31/2013 10:41 AM >>>
Hi, all -

Laura, thank you for sharing this update regarding the eligible versus contributing properties included in our Inventory Report, Appendix list, and maps.

Appendix A is easier to update than the rest of the report, so I can arrange for that now; however, we have planned to update the contents of the full report all at the same time, once we know more what the roadway design and intersection designs will be. At that time, the report will go through another review by the State Historic Preservation Office.

Can either one of you remind me of the date when the district was approved?

I am keeping various items that will be corrected and/or updated in a file for when we do that update. I will add this list. Since I will be arranging for Appendix A to be updated, it will be a 'check and balance' that will assure those changes will be made in the full report, too.

Respectfully,

~Jenn

>>> On 10/31/2013 at 8:18 AM, Jennifer Levstik wrote:
Laura-

Thank you for your email. I am forwarding this to Jennifer Burdick, the project manager. She can coordinate with the consultants on the project to make the appropriate updates to the inventory report.

Take care!
Jennifer

>>> "Tabili, Laura - (tabili)" <tabili@email.arizona.edu<mailto:tabili@email.arizona.edu>> 10/30/13
10:45 PM >>>

From: Tabili, Laura - (tabili)
Sent: Wednesday, October 30, 2013 10:22 PM
To: broadway@tucsonaz.gov<mailto:broadway@tucsonaz.gov>
Cc: jonathan.mabry@tucsonaz.gov<mailto:jonathan.mabry@tucsonaz.gov>;
jenLevstik@yahoo.com<mailto:jenLevstik@yahoo.com>
Subject: FW: update Broadway Historic documents

Dear Jennifer, Jonathan and Jen,

The attached letter, also pasted below, alerts the CTF that the materials posted on the CTF website fail to take account of RH Historic District properties.

It

23 October 2013

To the Broadway Citizens Task Force:

It has come to our attention that the Historic Survey and related documents on the Broadway Citizens Task Force website has not been updated to reflect Rincon Heights Historic District, which was officially listed by the Keeper of the National Register of Historic Places on February 1, 2013. For this listing, see: <http://www.nps.gov/history/nr/nrlist.htm>

The following are addresses of Contributing Properties to Rincon Heights Historic District that face Broadway. That is, they are no longer E* (eligible pending) but officially listed as contributing properties: (C).

1221 (Allstate Insurance)
1303
1333
1339
1349
1421 (Raul Gil-Acosta Insurance)

1433
1515
1521
1601 (Mock Tudor house)
1611
1615
1629
1647
1703
1709
1725, 1727, 1733, 1739, 1749 (First Assembly of God Church)

We hope the information on the website can be amended accordingly.

Additional architecturally significant properties are not reflected on this list.

Thank you for your hard work on this project. With best wishes

for Rincon Heights Neighborhood Association