



COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR'S OFFICE
130 WEST CONGRESS, 10TH FLOOR
TUCSON, ARIZONA 85701



CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE
P.O. Box 27210
TUCSON, ARIZONA 85726-7210

**CITY/COUNTY WATER AND WASTEWATER STUDY
OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE**

**September 03, 2008
Meeting Summary**

1. **CALL TO ORDER**

Chairman Jim Barry called the September 03, 2008 meeting of the City/County Water and Wastewater Study Oversight Committee (Oversight Committee) to order at 6:00 p.m. at the Randolph Golf Course Club House, Copper Room, 600 South Alvernon Way, Tucson, Arizona.

Members Present:

Jim Barry, Chairman
John Carlson
Rob Kulakofsky
Bonnie Poulos
Sean Sullivan
Mark Stratton
Bruce Gungle
Tina Lee
James Watson

Representing:

Citizens Water Advisory Committee (CWAC)
Wastewater Management Advisory Committee (WMAC)
WMAC
County P&Z Commission
City Planning Commission
WMAC
County Planning and Zoning (P&Z) Commission
CWAC
City Planning Commission

Alternate Present:

Bob Cook

Representing:

County P&Z Commission

Members Absent:

Marcelino Flores
Daniel Sullivan

Representing:

WMAC
CWAC

City/County Staff Present:

Nicole Ewing Gavin, City Manager's Office
Sabrina Cotta, City Manager's Office
Chris Avery, Tucson Water
Sandy Elder, Tucson Water
Ralph Marra, Tucson Water
Michael McCasland, Tucson Water
Melaney Seacat, Regional Wastewater Reclamation Department
Nicole Fyffe, Pima County Administrator's Office
Eric Wieduwilt, Regional Wastewater Reclamation Department
Ed Curley, Regional Wastewater Reclamation Department

A quorum of the Committee was established.

2. **COMMITTEE BUSINESS**

Jim Barry announced a brown bag series at the Water Resource Center on September 11 on emergent contaminants.

Jim Barry gave a water providers participation update and stated that Ray Water Company had completed the survey and that he has spoken to SAWUA members and they were discussing preparing a response.

Jim Barry apologized for the length of the three and a half hour meeting of August 27th. A new schedule for Phase I and Phase II was distributed. Bonnie Poulos asked if the meeting schedule was based on a weekly or biweekly meeting schedule. Jim Barry responded weekly meetings no more than two hours throughout October and then monthly meetings in November, December and January for report writing. Jim Barry recommended that the responsibility for drafting the report be given to staff to aid the committee in outlining and producing the report. Jim Barry stated that time limits on presentations would be enforced, questions would be limited to a minute and the Call to the Audience would be 15 minutes at the end of each meeting. Jim Barry stated that the meetings focused on report writing could possibly be Saturday meetings.

Bruce Gungle questioned how far in advance would the committee get the draft in preparation for the marathon meetings. Jim Barry responded at least a week in advance.

A motion was made and the Phase I and Phase II proposed schedule was approved by a voice vote of 9-0.

Jim Barry reminded the committee that there are two writing tracks. One is the staff assembled presentations, transcripts and summaries that will make up Volume III. The second track will start in November and is the Committee's responsibility.

Jim Barry discussed defining the area the committee is looking at as the Tucson Water service area and obligated area and Pima County Wastewater service area. John Carlson stated that there are people outside of this area and asked if the committee would look at these areas in a later phase. Jim Barry responded that he did not believe that they had been instructed to look at these areas. Sean Sullivan stated that he understood leaving other jurisdictions out but there are people in those areas using potable water and relying on septic. Bruce Gungle stated that the agreement of the study area was not an action item on the agenda. Jim Barry responded that it would have to be brought back.

Jim Barry suggested that the Committee develop a mission statement and distributed a draft. Staff will work with this and bring something back at a subsequent meeting.

Jim Barry presented follow up slides to the population presentations and stated there is a trend of the percentage of growth decreasing.

3. **PRESENTATION ON LAND USE GROWTH IN PIMA COUNTY**

A). Arlan Colton, Planning Official for Pima County, presented the planning history highlights of the City of Tucson and Pima County. The Power Point presentation is posted to the study website (tucsonpimawaterstudy.com).

B) Albert Elias, Planning Director for the City of Tucson, presented information about the drivers and impacts of growth, regional coordination and development regulations. The Power Point presentation is posted to the study website (tucsonpimawaterstudy.com).

Jim Barry asked if there were any questions from the audience. Clyde Stagner asked if the City of Tucson includes high density population like high rises such as in Manhattan in their work. Albert Elias stated that he did not believe that the City of Tucson would get high rises like Manhattan.

Colette Altafter asked why the Pima County population numbers and City of Tucson population numbers were kept separate. Arlan Colton answered that it was to make a point to show how big the city was relative to the county as a whole. Bruce Gungle questioned if the Pima County population included the Tucson population. Arlan Colton answered yes.

Bob Cook stated that rapid population growth was contrasted with stagnation and questioned if Tucson could achieve a scenario with one percent population increase with a diversified economy. Albert Elias answered that it was a great vision but hard to achieve.

Charles Cole questioned that if growth can not be perpetual and you can't plan to stop it how do you envision it'll stop. Albert Elias answered that in most communities there are limiting factors and that at some point growth tapers off.

Jim Barry asked the committee how many thought there was an inherent limit to how big Tucson can grow. Several committee members raised their hands.

Margot Garcia questioned if there had been a study done of what kind of economic growth would be more appropriate for here and the use of water by different sectors. Albert Elias said he had not personally studied this but the University of Arizona has done work with this.

John Carlson questioned who should pay for growth and stated that we get less money from Phoenix because of being unincorporated. Arlan Colton replied that some plans for growth seriously underestimate while others overestimate and that at some point the city will look at redevelopment instead of new growth.

4. **CALL TO AUDIENCE**

Clyde Stagner offered a quote on termites and said while there are psychological benefits of people living together the city should not get high rises here and offered examples of Tokyo fire bombing, Warsaw ghetto, London bombing as examples.

Colette Altafter expressed concerns that neighborhood stakeholders are offered minimal protection and have to fight for representation in the development process. She also stated that TIF taxes are down and wondered who pays when there are massive foreclosures in the subdivisions.

Tres English questioned the relevance of infill if less than ten percent of the land is vacant and stated that a significant increase in density is not necessarily what he wants for infrastructure.

Ron Proctor extended an invitation to the Sustainable Tucson meeting on Tuesday September 9, 2008 at the Northwest Neighborhood Center at 6th Ave. south of Grant.

Bob Cook questioned who is paying for growth and stated there is no total cost of growth model and that there is not way to get a handle on it. Bob Cook stated that he would like this model with actual cost over time. Bob Cook also expressed concern over impact fees and the fairness and uniformity of tax payers paying for growth.

5. **ADJOURNMENT**

The meeting was adjourned by Jim Barry at 7:50 p.m.

Proposals for Completing Phase 1

- Direct the Chair and Vice Chair to request that Mayor and Council and Board of Supervisors to extend the Phase 1 deadline to February 2009 and the Phase 2 deadline to November 2009
- For meetings from September 3, 2008 to October 29, 2008 set a time limit of two-hours per meetings and direct the Chair to enforce limits on presentations, Questions & Answers, and Call to the Audience.
- Agree that meeting agendas will include a minimum of 15 minutes at the end for Call to the Audience. During Questions & Answers, the public will go first, followed by the committee if time permits. Committee members can submit their questions in writing. Presentations will be limited to no more than two per meeting. The Chair, Vice Chair and staff will work with presenters to ensure they are organized to fit the time available. Presenters will be told that the Chair will warn them when there is 10 minutes and then five minutes left and that the Chair will cut off presentations when time has expired.
- Ratify the following schedule of presentations and report writing schedule.
 - Presentations will be scheduled weekly through October 29. The September 3, 2008 meeting will focus on land use issues in Pima County. The next six meetings will focus of water resources from various vantage points. The September 10 and September 17, 2008 presenters are scheduled because they have already made commitments to staff and adjusted their schedules to do so. The last two meetings will focus on sustainability.
 - The period of November through February will focus on report writing:
 1. The committee would start meeting monthly through January 3, 2009. These meetings would be scheduled for Saturdays and would tentatively be scheduled to go from 9:00 to 3:00, including a working lunch.
 2. Staff would be tasked with drafting sections of the report and the Committee would use their Saturday meetings to comment and suggest revisions.
 3. The January 9, 2009 meeting would result in a draft that staff would then finalize and bring back to the Committee for a vote on January 10, 2009.
 4. Staff would produce the approved draft report and arrange for public outreach over the weeks of January 19 and January 26, 2009.
 5. Staff prepares a report on the public outreach and brings the report to the committee on Saturday, February 7, 2009 for discussion and possible revision of the draft report.
 6. The committee meets on Saturday February 14, 2009 to approve the final report.
 7. The finals report for Phase 1 is released on February 20, 2009.

Tentative Schedule to Complete Phase 1

	Meeting Date	Presentation Topic
Fact Finding and Presentations	September 3, 2008	Land Use in Pima County: Arlen Colten and Albert Elias
	September 10, 2008	Water Resource 1: Uncertainties: Julio Betancourt and Kathy Jacobs; Rainwater Harvesting, Charles Cole
	September 17, 2008	Water Resources 2: Environmental Needs for Water: Rob Marshall and Julia Fonseca
	September 24, 2008	Water Resources 3: ADWR and Water Budget for TAMA; Municipal Water Resource Availability in TAMA, Sharon Megdal
	October 1, 2008	Water Resources 4: Tucson Water 2050 Plan Update
	October 8, 2008	Water Resources 5: Conservation: Val Little + Tucson Water
	October 15, 2008	Water Resources 6: Potential New Water Sources
	October 22, 2008	Sustainability 1
	October 29, 2008	Sustainability 2
Report Writing	November 8, 2008	Saturday 9 - 3
	December 12, 2008	Saturday 9 - 3
	January 3, 2009	Saturday 9 - 3
	January 10, 2009	Saturday 9 - 12 - Vote on Final Draft Report
	January 16, 2009	Final Draft Report released
	Week of January 19, 2009	Public Outreach
	Week of January 26, 2009	Public Outreach
	February 7, 2009	Saturday 9 - 3
	February 14, 2009	Saturday 9 - 12 - Vote on Final Draft Report
	February 20, 2009	Final Report Issued



City/County Water & Wastewater Study Oversight Committee
Meeting- 9/3/2008 Attendees



	Last Name	First Name	Organization
1	Altaffer	Colette	
2	Bowen	Sheila	Town of Sahuarita
3	Brooks	Chris	
4	Carey	Bryce	BBVNA Neighborhood Assoc.
5	Cloninger	Tim	U of A
6	Cole	Charles J.	
7	Colton	Arlan	
8	Cordy	Gail	
9	Crosby	William	ECCO
10	Dickerson	Dennis	
11	Elder	Sandy	Tucson Water
12	Elias	Albert	COT Urban Planning & Design
13	Freeman	Nancy	
14	Freitas	Chuck	
15	Gannon	Katie	Drachman Institute
16	Garcia	Margot	
17	Hudson	Mac	Ward 1
18	Kiser	Madeline	
19	Lachowicz	Holly	
20	Little	Val	Water CASA
21	Mackey	Paul	
22	Marikos	Mark	UofA -- Facilities Management
23	Matthewson	Matt	PCRWRD
24	Moxley	Monica	Town of Sahuarita
25	Pierce	Les	Arroyo Chico Neighborhood Association
26	Poole	Brad	Tucson Citizen
27	Postillion	Frank	PCRFCDD
28	Proctor	Ron	Sustainable Tucson
29	Roe	Alice	
30	Romero	Steve	Town of Marana
31	Siath	John	Tetra Tech Inc.
32	Stagner	Clyde	Social Security
33	Tannler	Jeff	ADWR - Tucson AMA
34	Vasquez	Vince	DVI
35	Zucker	Claire	PAG