

Summary of Section 106 Process meeting April 9, 2015

Consulting parties

Meeting began at 10:07 AM.

Those present were: Bill Scheslinger, John Burr, Mark Shoemaker, Maryann Beerling, Demion Clinco, Jodie Gibbs, Arthur Stables, Jodie Barnes (COT), Sally Stang (COT), Teresa Williams (COT), Jonathan Mabry (COT), Ramona Williams (COT), Jaime Loichinger (ACHP), Bob Frankeberger (State Historic Preservation Office), Dan Terkecki (Bethel), Mike Rankin, (COT) Lynn Birkinbine. (COT) and Elaine Bercherer (COT)

- 1) **Summarize Alternative Analysis:** Discussion was held by all parties with ACHP explaining that the City has the responsibility of looking at alternatives. Jaime also explained that mitigation runs the full gamut of possible alternatives. It is not only limited to scale, height and massing.
- 2) **Discussion of Adverse Effect Findings:** The City confirmed its determination of adverse effect to the Downtown Motor hotel building and the Armory Park Historic District, but not Barrio Libre. The question was posed what the indirect effect was? The answer was that the direct or indirect effects have not resulted in the loss of historic district status. John Burr spoke about how the new IID for the City will help steer clear of these issues in the future.
- 3) **Discussion of mitigation:** Maryann Beerling went over the list of items that Compass agreed to address as a result of previous comments and suggestions that have been made. Demion asked if the City could mail notification of the project to all residents within the affected area. The response was that there is not currently money available to do this, but the City will look at additional and alternate ways to get the information out regarding this project.

Arthur Stables left at 12:28.

- 4) **Updated Timeline:** Jaime Loichinger agreed with Compass that any good 106 process will take in to consideration the developer's timeline, taking in to consideration the deadlines associated with funding and that parties would not want to compromise or lose funding because of delays. Maryann requested that the process move forward as expediently as possible to allow them to make the deadlines already proposed to ensure the City does not lose the tax credit allocation.
- 5) **Process Discussion:** John Burr addressed earlier that the IID was in place starting about two weeks ago that should steer any other parties from having to endure the same hard lessons that have been learned through this process. Sally stated that the City is also taking measures to ensure that departments are better organized and coordinated moving forward with any potential 106 processes. The question was posed if there was formal Section 106 training

available? Jaime affirmed that there were several opportunities to participate in 106 training coming up. Discussion was held on the comment period for the MOA. Maryann would like the draft MOA to be worked on in the meanwhile. Jaime is going to check on #6 from the mitigation list.

Next meeting decided to be 4/16/15 @ 10

Agenda items for next meeting are:

Stipulations

Steps to proceed to MOA

Timeline update

Meeting ended at 12:56