



Fourth Program Year CAPER

This Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) includes Narrative Responses to CAPER questions that CDBG, HOME, HOPWA, and ESG grantees must respond to each year in order to be compliant with the Consolidated Planning Regulations. The Executive Summary narratives are optional.

The grantee must submit an updated Financial Summary Report (PR26).

GENERAL

City of Tucson - Executive Summary

As a recipient of federal funds through the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), the City of Tucson is required to prepare an annual performance report detailing activities that were undertaken during the FY 2014 program year, July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014. The City of Tucson and Pima County are a recognized HUD Consortium and with this designation are required to coordinate on planning and reporting activities. The City serves as the lead agency in the Consortium and with respect to the implementation of the annual HOME Investment Partnership Program (HOME). This Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) details the City of Tucson's accomplishments relative to established goals and priorities in the 5-year Consolidated Plan and the City of Tucson FY 2014 Annual Action Plan, including Pima County's HOME projects and expenditures of program income and carry forward from previous years. The balance of the County's accomplishments will be submitted under separate cover.

The City of Tucson and Pima County are required to develop the 5-year Consolidated Plan to receive annual allocations of federal entitlement grants. This comprehensive plan contains a description of community needs, goals and priorities in the areas of affordable housing, human services, public facilities, economic development neighborhood improvements, and other programs designed to improve the quality of life for low- and moderate-income City and County residents. Funding for these activities is provided by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), the City of Tucson, Pima County, and other entities.

For the period of July 1, 2013, through June 30, 2014 (fiscal year 2014), the City of Tucson was awarded the following HUD Entitlement Grant funds:

Community Development Block Grant (CDBG)	\$5,231,416.00
*Home Investment Partnership Program (HOME) (*in a consortium with Pima County)	\$2,507,550.00
Emergency Solutions Grant Program (ESG)	\$372,387.00
Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA)	\$433,227.00

Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report

From July 1, 2013, through June 30, 2014 (fiscal year 2014), Tucson spent entitlement funding as follows (includes carry forward from prior year allocations):

Community Development Block Grant (CDBG)	\$4,605,667.82
*Home Investment Partnership Program (HOME) (*in a consortium with Pima County)	\$3,132,248.70
Emergency Solutions Grant Program (ESG)	\$599,823.62
Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA)	\$373,519.27

During FY 2014, the City received the following program income from activities that were initiated in previous program years:

CDBG	- \$ 147,591.36
HOME	- \$ 433,025.50
*NSP 1	- \$ 267,969.93
*NSP 3	- \$ 345,167.21
TOTAL:	\$1,193,754.00

*The City and County received competitive grant funds under the federal Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP 1 and NSP 3) for the acquisition, repair and re-sale of foreclosed properties to low-income buyers and non-profit organizations. To date the City has expended a total of \$12,208,043.96 for these activities. This report includes a summary of NSP activities.

Total FY 2014 expenditures of City of Tucson General Funds for Public Services was \$1,450,863.80 out of the \$1,464,910 total commitment.

The following chart is a summary of our accomplishments during the 2014 fiscal year:

Activity	Accomplishments/Annual Goal	Funds Expended*
Assistance to Homeowners (Rehabilitation)	504	\$2,944,832
New Homeownership Opportunities	93	\$781,859.75
Rental Development or Preservation Assistance	130	\$3,853,047
Assistance to Persons Experiencing Homelessness	3,603	\$1,189,035
Assistance to Persons with Special Needs	1,461	\$428,973
Public Facilities	2	\$125,337.87
Neighborhood Revitalization (including Historic Preservation/Spot Blight mitigation)	1	\$189,317.50
Public Services (total)		
CDBG	12,685	\$ 781,334.04
ESG	1,398	\$ 599,824.00
General Funds -	13,768	\$1,450,863.80
	TOTAL: 27,851	\$2,832,021.84

*Funds expended FY 2014 may include carry forward and City match.

Program Year 4 CAPER General Questions response:

1. Assessment of One-Year Goals and Objectives

The City of Tucson established annual and five-year goals and priorities for low-income populations in the 2010-2015 Consolidated Plan. Detailed tables listing the City’s projects by activity and fund follow a summary of accomplishments, objectives and outcomes.

Activity	Funds Expended*	Annual Goals (Planned)	Output (Accomplishment)	Objective	Outcome
Assistance to Homeowners (Rehabilitation)	\$2,587,855.87	475 households	504	Decent Housing	Sustainability
New Homeownership Opportunities	\$781,859.75	165 units	93	Decent Housing	Availability/ Accessibility
Rental Development or Preservation	\$3,853,047.34	184 households	130	Decent Housing	Affordability
Assistance to Homeless persons	\$1,189,035.00	5,000 persons assisted	3,603	Suitable Living Environment	Availability/ Accessibility
Assistance to the Elderly or Persons with Special Needs	\$428,973.00	6,205 persons assisted	1,461	Suitable Living Environment	Sustainability
Community Development Neighborhood Revitalization/ Public Facilities	\$125,337.87	22 facilities	2	Suitable Living Environment	Availability/ Accessibility
Neighborhood Revitalization/Spot Blight	\$189,317.50	4 facilities	1	Suitable Living Environment	Availability/ Accessibility
Public Services (inc. CDBG, ESG, and General Funds)	\$2,832,021.50	37,900 persons assisted	28,068	Suitable Living Environment	Sustainability

*may include carryforward or match

Housing - Housing quality and affordability affects households and neighborhoods, and is a major contributor to what makes a City function well. Housing that is safe and affordable is crucial to a healthy, vibrant community. The City exceeded its stated goal of 475 by providing housing rehabilitation assistance to 504 households, in the category of sustaining decent housing in the community. The City did not meet its projected output of 165 new units, and provided homeownership opportunities to 93 households with the objective of providing decent housing and the outcome of availability. The City did not meet its stated goal for rental development or preservation of 184 units by producing and preserving 130 units.

Homeless- According to the most recent street count of homeless persons in Tucson held in January 2014, on any given night there are approximately 2,110 persons that are homeless. The number of homeless families with children continues to increase and is one of the fastest growing subpopulations of homeless persons. The City and its partner agencies continue to strive to meet the goals of the Consolidated Plan and decrease the number of homeless individuals and families. City staff works closely with the Tucson Pima Collaboration to end Homelessness in planning and coordinating services and direction for the local Continuum of Care. In FY 2014, 3,603 homeless individuals were assisted with case management, shelter, employment, food and other crisis assistance.

Elderly/Special Needs – The likelihood of having a disability increases with age. In light of the aging of the Baby Boomers and increasing life expectancies, the City can expect an increase in the number of

people with disabilities. Over this last year, City programs have helped 1460 persons with special needs, many of whom are elderly, with programs such as home repair, retrofitting for handicapped accessibility, nutrition, reverse mortgage counseling and loans, and housing for persons living with serious mental illness and HIV/AIDS. This was accomplished under the objective of a suitable living environment and outcome of sustainability. (HOPWA data is recorded separately in the HOPWA section of the HUD FY2013 CAPER).

Public Facilities – The goal of developing 22 facilities annually was not met. A total of 2 facilities were completed in this fiscal year, with 7 projects still underway, and further projects to be negotiated. The City constructed or rehabilitated these facilities in partnership with other City Departments and local non-profit agencies under the objective of suitable living environment and the primary outcome of availability. Delays were experienced with partner City Departments due to staffing levels. CDBG 'Green & Healthy Facilities' projects were slower than anticipated due to the development of a new request for proposals process. Three projects were ultimately funded: Primavera Foundation's Men's Shelter Upgrades at \$50,000, Our Family's La Promesa HVAC Upgrades at \$48,880 and also Esperanza En Escalante (EEE) AC upgrades at \$23,814. The third project at EEE is currently being negotiated, with renovations due to begin during Fall of 2014. It is anticipated that all three Green & Healthy facility projects will be completed prior to the end of calendar year 2014. The City will again partner with Pima County for Year 2 of this important initiative.

One Historic Preservation/spot blight project at the Rodeo Parade Museum was completed during the year, with another at Oury Park Recreation Center nearing completion. Further projects are being negotiated with the City's Office of Integrated Planning/Historic Preservation Office.

Neighborhood Revitalization – The historic preservation projects to mitigate spot blight, did not meet its annual goal and only completed two projects/facilities with six additional projects underway. This was accomplished under the objective of suitable living environment and the primary outcome of availability. Historic Preservation/spot blight projects will continue as a means of revitalizing/stabilizing neighborhoods.

Public Services - Public services address the needs of families and individuals that have difficulty maintaining a basic level of security. The City has set an annual goal of assisting 37,900 persons during a given year. During the last year, the City of Tucson served a total of 28,068 persons with services designed to meet their unique needs and to improve the quality of their lives under the objective of suitable living environment and the primary outcome of sustainability. In the area of special needs, some of the most vulnerable populations in our community, the City did not meet the goal of assisting 6,205 persons by assisting 1,461 special needs persons. It should be noted that of the total served, the Community Food Bank provided support to 10,513 households. The Community Food bank is a good point of entry to provide information on the network of services available to residents who are struggling to meet basic needs.

Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report

FY 2014 Project Tables- Assistance to Home Buyers				
Agency	Project/IDIS #	Funding Source	Funds Expended (may include carry forward)	Units
Habitat for Humanity	Corazon Del Pueblo Phase II #4255	HOME	\$300,000	10
Old Pueblo Community Services	Community Renewal #4046	HOME	\$129,611.43	2
Old Pueblo Community Services	Mesquite Homes at Pantano	HOME	\$10,522	6
Old Pueblo Community Services	Skyview Terrace	HOME	\$2,500	8
Total New Construction:			\$417,547 or \$16,059.50 per unit average	26
Habitat for Humanity	Marana – Amado #4294	HOME	\$27,000	1
Old Pueblo Community Services	Mesquite Homes DPA	HOME	\$40,000	1
City of Tucson/HCD	HAP Down Payment Assistance	HOME	\$177,757.25	41
Pima County	HAP Down Payment Assistance	HOME	\$119,555.50	24
Total Down payment Assistance:			\$364,312.75 or \$5,437.50 average cost per unit	67
Total HOME:		HOME	\$781,859.75	93/165 Goal

FY 2014 Project Tables – Assistance to Home Owners				
Agency	Project and IDIS #	Funding Source and \$ Spent in FY 2014	Funds Expended (may include carry forward)	Units
Chicanos Por La Causa - HRC	Roof Replacement & Home Maintenance / Special Needs Repair #4179 (2012)	CDBG - \$46,345.36	\$48,424.58	6
Chicanos Por La Causa - HRC	Roof Replacement & Home Maintenance / Special Needs Repair #4286 (2013)	CDBG - \$103,240.29	\$103,240.29	19
City of Tucson- HCD	Housing Rehab Administration #4237 (2012)	CDBG \$73,408.82	\$73,408.82	
City of Tucson - HCD	Emergency Home Repair IDIS #3997 (2011)	CDBG - \$42,924.48	\$212,714.08	Included below
City of Tucson- HCD	Emergency Home Repair #4236 (2012)	CDBG- \$150,000	\$150,000	Included below
City of Tucson/HCD	Emergency Home Repair #4358 (2013)	CDBG- \$249,927.41	\$249,927.41	86
City of Tucson - HCD	Housing Rehab Administration #4231 (2013)	CDBG - \$1,523.44	\$1,523.44	
City of Tucson/HCD	City Neighborhood Initiatives #4252 (2012)	CDBG - \$163,205.36	\$163,205.36	This program is leverage
City of Tucson/HCD	City Neighborhood Initiatives Administration #4253 (2012)	CDBG - \$73,545.51	\$73,545.51	
City of Tucson/HCD	City Neighborhood Initiatives #4376 (2013)	CDBG - \$248,654.81	\$248,654.81	
City of Tucson/HCD/PCOA	Elderly Home Repair Program #4262 (2012)	CDBG \$21,878.50	\$57,138.89	Included below
City of Tucson/HCD/PCOA	Elderly Home Repair Program #4334 (2013)	CDBG \$62,771.21	\$62,771.21	22
City of Tucson/HCD/PCOA	Elderly Home Repair Program Administration #4263 (2012)	CDBG \$14,298.36	\$26,333.10 Draw Through	
HRC Environmental	Environmental for Program Year 2012 #4322 (2012)	CDBG -\$80,000	\$80,000	
HRC Environmental	Environmental for Program Year 2013 #4387 (2013)	CDBG - \$8,617.39	\$8,617.39	
Community Home Repair Projects of Arizona (CHSPA) - HRC	City Minor Emergency and Special needs Repair	CDBG - \$171,389	\$171,389	74

Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report

	#4285 (2013)			
DIRECT Center for Independent Living - HRC	Home Access Program #4184 (2012)	CDBG \$2,577.18	\$110,700	24
DIRECT Center for Independent Living - HRC	Home Access Program #4287 (2013)	CDBG \$129,815.25	\$129,815.25	31
DIRECT Center for Independent Living - HRC	Special Needs Program #4185 (2012)	CDBG \$55,894.72	\$55,894.72	13
Habitat for Humanity – HRC	Preserve a Home #4335 (2013)	CDBG \$ 0	0	
Old Pueblo Community Services - HRC	Sustainable Rehabilitation #4187 (2012)	CDBG \$62,611.33	\$76,499.99	7
Old Pueblo Community Services - HRC	Sustainable Rehabilitation #4288 (2013)	CDBG \$2,658.25	\$2,658.25	0
TMM Family Services - HRC	Midtown homeowner Housing Rehab Program #4191 (2012)	CDBG \$15,494.60	\$81,000	10
TMM Family Services - HRC	Midtown homeowner Housing Rehab Program #4289 (2013)	CDBG \$101,230.15	\$101,230.15	10
Tucson Urban League - HRC	City Emergency Home Repair #4290 (2013)	CDBG-\$189,521.98	\$189,521.98	31
Tucson Urban League – HRC	South Park Rehabilitation #4291 (2013)	CDBG – \$61,760.12	\$61,760.12	5
City of Tucson/HCD	VANS Demolition #4321 (2012)	CDBG \$286,606.47	\$286,606.47	Closed out
City of Tucson/HCD	Lead Hazard Control Program Match #4001 (2011)	CDBG-\$115,826.34	\$127,174.54	17
City of Tucson/HCD	Lead Hazard Control Program Match #4345 (2013)	CDBG-\$45,056.89	\$45,056.89	149
City of Tucson/HCD	Lead Hazard Control Administration #4225 (2013)	CDBG - \$1,636.31	\$1,636.31	
City of Tucson/HCD	Lead Hazard Control Administration #4225 (2012)	CDBG - \$4,691.33	\$5,691.33	
City of Tucson/HCD	Optional Relocation Plan #4224 (2011)	CDBG- \$202.40	\$3714.62	program leverage
City of Tucson/HCD	Optional Relocation Plan #4359 (2013)	CDBG- \$542.61	\$542.61	
Total CDBG Rehab:		\$2,587,855.87	\$3,009,397.12 or \$5,971.03	504/475 Goal

Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report

			average cost per unit	
--	--	--	-----------------------	--

FY 2014 Project Tables – Assistance to Renters				
Agency	Project and IDIS #	Funding Source	Funds Expended in FY 2014	Units (affordable)
Bilby Partners, LP	Las Montañas Apartments #4120	HOME	\$129,611.43	11
Family Housing Resources (FHR)	Silverwood Casitas	HOME	\$886,760	21
Intermountain Centers for Human Development	Vida Nueva Apartments #4201	HOME	\$419,782.51	7
Amity – Pima County	Dragonfly Village #4295	HOME	\$156,299	0
ISDA – Pima County	Ajo Plaza Rental #3801	HOME	\$78,404.97	2
Primavera Foundation – Pima County	Las Abuelitas Family Housing #4261	HOME	\$900,000	5
Sunnyside Pointe Limited Partnership	Sunnyside Pointe Villas	HOME	\$1,063,092	10
City of Tucson Housing Management	Section 8 Tenant Based Rental Assistance #4267 and #4381	HOME	\$24,140.32	58
La Frontera	Bella Vista Apartments #4239	HOME	\$21,306.50	10
Compass Affordable Housing	Alvord Court Apartments #4293	HOME	\$194,957.19	8
HCD	Mojave Apartments # (2013)	CDBG		16
Total Multi-Family:			\$3,853,047.34 or \$26,034.10 average cost per unit	148 total 130 counted for progress/184 Goal

FY 2014 Project Tables – Public Facilities					
Agency	Project and IDIS #	Funding Source	Funds Expended	Units	Accomplished
City of Tucson Parks & Recreation	Mission Manor Park Upgrades # 4367	CDBG	0	0	Underway
City of Tucson Parks & Recreation	Reid Park Comfort Station ADA rehabilitation # 4077	CDBG	0	1	Closed FY 2013, no expenditures FY 2014
City of Tucson Parks & Recreation	Kennedy Park ADA Upgrades # 4292	CDBG	\$62,875.83	0	Underway
City of Tucson Parks & Recreation	Iron Horse Park Basketball # 4366	CDBG	\$6,466.04	0	Underway
City of Tucson Parks & Recreation	Seminole Park Walking # 4259	CDBG	\$55,996	0	Underway
City of Tucson Parks & Recreation	Vista del Prado Playground # 4254	CDBG	0	1	Closed FY 2013, no expenditures FY 2014
Primavera Foundation	Green & Healthy Facilities Primavera Men's Shelter Upgrades # 4363	CDBG	0	0	Underway
Our Family	Green & Healthy Facilities Our Family La Promesa HVAC Upgrades # 4386	CDBG	0	0	Underway
Total CDBG:		CDBG	\$125,337.87	2/ 22 Goal	Completed locations

FY 2014 Project Tables Historic Properties Rehabilitation –Spot Blight and Low/Mod Area Benefit						
Agency	Project	Funding Source	Total Funds Committed	Funds Expended	Units	Accomplished
City of Tucson HCD/ Historic Preservation	Oury Park Rec Center # 4277	CDBG	\$148,671.72	\$140,812.50	0	Underway- almost completed
City of Tucson HCD/ Historic Preservation Office	Rodeo Parade Museum Repairs (SB) # 4274	CDBG	\$56,902	\$48,505	1	Completed
Total CDBG:			\$205,573.72	\$189,317.50	1 out of 4 Goal	Completed Projects

**Total Funds Committed includes all funding years. Funds Expended shows PY 2013 (FY 2014) expenditures only.*

FY 2014 Project Tables – Public Services						
Agency	Project and IDIS #	Target Group	Funding Source	Funds Committed	Funds Expended (may include carry forward)	Units
Arizona’s Children Association dba Southern Arizona Center Against Sexual Abuse	Sexual Assault Crisis Services #4318 (2013)	Youth	CDBG	\$53,605	\$49,930.44	265
Community Food Bank	Emergency Food Assistance Program #4319 (2013)	Adults / Youth / Homeless	CDBG	\$152,000	\$152,000	10,513
Emerge! Center Against Domestic Abuse	Crisis Assistance for Domestic Abuse Survivors #4320 (2013)	Homeless	CDBG	\$175,720	\$175,720	375
Open Inn	Emergency Assistance and Shelter #4325 (2013)	Youth Homeless	CDBG	\$46,000	\$46,000	53
Our Family, New Beginnings	Emergency Shelter #4324 (2013)	Homeless	CDBG	\$67,631	\$67,631	221
PIMA COUNCIL ON AGING/CHSPA	Elderly Independence #4331 (2013)	Senior Adults	CDBG	\$30,500	\$30,500	110
Primavera Foundation	Emergency Services Men’s	Homeless	CDBG	\$89,056	\$89,056	416

Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report

	Shelter (RR)#4327 (2013)					
Public Housing City of Tucson	Family Self Sufficiency #4323 (2013)	Adults	CDBG	\$18,297.04	\$18,297.04	69
Salvation Army	Hospitality House Emergency Shelter #4336 (2013)	Homeless	CDBG	\$19,040	\$19,040	217
Southern Arizona Children's Advocacy Center	Clinical Services for Child Victims of Abuse #4326 (2013)	Youth	CDBG	\$63,360	\$63,360	207
United Way	Earned Income Tax Credit #4332 (2013)	Adults	CDBG	\$9,000	\$9,000	264
Wingspan	Anti-Violence Project #4328 (2013)	Youth Homeless	CDBG	\$30,000	\$30,000	57*
Youth on Their Own	Special Needs #4329 (2013)	Youth Homeless	CDBG	\$30,800	\$30,800	135
Total CDBG:				\$785,009.04 or \$60.84 average per unit of service		12,902 1,474(H)

***4th Quarter Data Only- Wingspan merged with Southern Arizona Aids Foundation**

FY 2014 Project Tables – Assistance to HOMELESS persons Emergency Solutions Grant					
Agency	Project and IDIS #	Funding Source	Committed	Expended	Assisted
Chicanos Por La Causa	Emergency Assistance to Prevent Homelessness #4219	ESG/PREV	\$ 55,501	\$ 40,592	16
CODAC	Project Recovery #4310	ESG/RRH	\$ 27,156	\$ 16,968	18
Emerge! Center Against Domestic Abuse	Rapid Rehousing for Survivors of Domestic Violence #4218/4240/4310	ESG/RRH	\$158,162	\$158,162	128
Emerge! Center Against Domestic Abuse	Emergency Shelter Support #4312	ESG/ESS	\$ 25,469	\$ 25,469	525
Esperanza En Escalante	Shelter Plus Care Homelessness Prevention #4309	ESG/PREV	\$ 38,000	\$ 2,602	9
La Frontera, RAPP Project Connect	RAAP Street Outreach #4220	ESG/SO	\$ 24,829	\$ 16,516	120
Old Pueblo Community Services	OPCS Street Outreach#4220/4311	ESG/SO	\$ 47,053	\$ 32,667	121
Old Pueblo Community Services	OPCS Rapid Rehousing #4240	ESG/RRH	\$ 38,529	\$ 35,904	16
Open Inn	Emergency Assistance and Shelter #4221/4312	ESG/ESS	\$ 50,094	\$ 50,094	40
Our Family Services	New Beginnings Homeless Prevention #4218/4219/4309/4310	ESG/PREV	\$ 85,589	\$ 76,920	83
Our Family Services	Rapid Rehousing #4310	ESG/RRH	\$ 52,277	\$ 43,544	41
Pima County	HMIS #4313 & 4317	ESG/HMIS	\$10,000	\$5,000	N/A
Primavera Foundation	Emergency Family Shelter #4221/4312	ESG/ESS	\$67,059	\$67,059	281
City of Tucson	Administration #4303		\$ 56,256	\$56,256	
Total ESG Funds:			\$735,974	\$599,824 or \$429.05 average per unit of service	1,398

- 1) ESG Funds committed include carry forward from FY2012. All figures rounded
- 2) Carry Forward to FY2015 is \$136,148
- 3) Expenditures: Prevention 20%: \$120,113, Rapid Rehousing 42%: \$254,578, Outreach 8%: \$49,183, Shelter 24%: \$142,622, HMIS 1% \$5,000, Admin: 5% \$28,327

FY 2014 Project Tables – Assistance to HOMELESS persons CDBG and General Funds					
Agency	Project	Funding Source	Committed	Expended	Assisted
Emerge center Against Domestic Abuse	Crisis Assistance for Domestic Abuse Survivors	CDBG	\$175,720	\$175,720	375
Open Inn	Emergency Assistance and Shelter	CDBG	\$46,000	\$46,000	53
Open Inn	Transitional Apartment Living	GF	\$30,000	\$30,000	20
Our Family, new Beginnings	Emergency Shelter	CDBG	\$67,631	\$67,631	221
Primavera Foundation	Emergency Services Men's Shelter	CDBG	\$89,056	\$89,056	416
Primavera Foundation	Primavera Works –Job Training and Ethical Day Labor	GF	\$55,000	\$55,000	642
Primavera Foundation	Women's Services Program	GF	\$45,964	\$45,964	69
Salvation Army	Hospitality House Emergency Shelter	CDBG	\$19,040	\$19,040	217
Wingspan	Anti-Violence project	CDBG	\$30,000	\$30,000	57
Youth on Their Own	Special Needs	CDBG	\$30,800	\$30,800	135
TOTAL CDBG and GF:			\$589,211	\$589,211	2,205
Total ESG:			\$599,824	\$599,824	1,398
Total Homeless:			\$1,189,035 or \$330 average per unit of service	\$1,189,035	3,603

FY 2014 Project Tables - Investment in Programs for Persons with Special Needs					
Agency	Project	Target Group	Funding Source	Funds Committed	Assisted
CSS dba COPD	Building Skills for Employment	Disabled	GENERAL FUND	\$38,473	59
CSS dba COPD	Resources and Supports for Persons Deaf, Hard of Hearing or Deaf or Blind	Disabled	GENERAL FUND	\$30,000	143
Goodwill Industries	Ready-to-earn computer/customer service training	Disabled	GENERAL FUND	\$60,000	30
Interfaith Community Services	Safe and Healthy Senior/Disabled Independence Program	Senior Adults	GENERAL FUND	\$30,000	178
Mobile Meals of Tucson	Mobile Meals	Senior Adults	GENERAL FUND	\$30,000	51
Our Family Services	Senior Companion Program	Senior Adults	GENERAL FUND	\$30,000	90
Interfaith Community Services	Safe and Healthy Senior/Disabled Independence Program	Senior Adults	GENERAL FUND	\$30,000	178
Pima Council on Aging (PCOA)	Family Caregiver Support Program	Senior Adults	GENERAL FUND	\$30,000	110
PCOA	Home Repair, Adaptation and Maint. for the Elderly	Senior Adults	GENERAL FUND	\$30,000	69
PCOA	Homecare Support for the Elderly	Senior Adults	GENERAL FUND	\$30,000	107
PCOA	Nutrition Program for the Elderly	Senior Adults	GENERAL FUND	\$30,000	55
PCOA	Elderly Independence	Senior Adults	CDBG	\$30,500	110
Tucson Urban League	Seniors Program	Senior Adults	GENERAL FUND	\$30,000	280
TOTAL SERVICES:		Seniors Disabled		\$428,973	1,460

FY 2014 Project Tables - Housing Programs for persons with Special Needs					
Direct Center for Independence	Home Access	Disabled	CDBG	\$295,709.97	68
City of Tucson /PCOA	Elderly Home Repair	Seniors	CDBG	\$84,649.71	22
Total Housing:					90
Total Expenditures for Special Needs:				\$809,332.68	

Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report

FY 2014 Project Tables General Funds Invested for Public/Human Services						
Agency	Project	Target Group	Funding Source	Funds Committed	Funds Expended	Units
American Red Cross So. AZ Chapter	Services to Armed Forces and Veterans: Case Management	Adults	GENERAL FUND	\$30,000	\$25,365.08	3555
Arizona Children's Association dba Las Familias	Sexual Abuse Counseling Services	Youth	GENERAL FUND	\$30,000	\$30,000	126
Arts for All	Supporting Low Income Families with Child Care	Youth	GENERAL FUND	\$31,761	\$31,761	82
Direct Care Giver Training Institute	Developing People to Provide Quality Care	Adults	GENERAL FUND	\$71,000	\$71,000	190
Casa de los Ninos	Parenting Education Program	Youth	GENERAL FUND	\$30,000	\$30,000	1029
CSS dba COPD	Building Skills for Employment	Disabled	GENERAL FUND	\$38,473	\$38,473	59
CSS dba COPD	Resources and Supports for Persons Deaf, Hard of Hearing or Deaf or Blind	Disabled	GENERAL FUND	\$30,000	\$29,999.98	143
Cath. C.S. Pio Decimo	Early Childhood and Youth Development	Youth	GENERAL FUND	\$35,000	\$35,000	167
Child and Family Resources, Inc.	Happy Hours After School Program & Summer Camp	Youth	GENERAL FUND	\$30,000	\$28,947.35	66
CODAC Behavioral Health Services	Strengthening Families	Youth	GENERAL FUND	\$30,000	\$29,946.37	118
Emerge! Center Against Domestic Abuse	Angel Children's Center	Youth	GENERAL FUND	\$30,000	\$30,000	12
Emerge! Center Against Domestic Abuse	Case Management /Counseling for Survivors of Domestic Abuse	Adults Youth	GENERAL FUND	\$30,000	\$30,000	53
Goodwill Industries	Ready-to-earn computer/ customer service training	Disabled	GENERAL FUND	\$60,000	\$60,000	30
Interfaith Community Services	Case Management for Emergency Financial Assistance	Adults	GENERAL FUND	\$30,000	\$30,000	848
Interfaith Community Services	Safe and Healthy Senior/Disabled Independence Program	Senior Adults	GENERAL FUND	\$30,000	\$30,000	178
Mobile Meals of Tucson	Mobile Meals	Senior Adults	GENERAL FUND	\$30,000	\$30,000	51

Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report

Open Inn, Inc.	Transitional Apartment Living program	Homeless	GENERAL FUND	\$30,000	\$30,000	20
Our Family Services	Senior Companion Program	Senior Adults	GENERAL FUND	\$30,000	\$30,000	90
Our Family Services	Teens in Transition Case Management	Youth	GENERAL FUND	\$30,000	\$30,000	32
Our Family Services	Teens in Transition Parenting/Life Educ	Youth	GENERAL FUND	\$42,712	\$42,712	27
Parent Aid Child Abuse Prevention Center	Parent Partners In-Home Parent Support	Adults	GENERAL FUND	\$30,000	\$30,000	1395
Pima College Adult Education	GED Now! Career Readiness	Adults	GENERAL FUND	\$60,000	\$59,990.78	771
Pima Council on Aging (PCOA)	Family Caregiver Support Program	Senior Adults	GENERAL FUND	\$30,000	\$30,000	110
PCOA	Home Repair, Adaptation and Maint. for the Elderly	Senior Adults	GENERAL FUND	\$30,000	\$30,000	69
PCOA	Homecare Support for the Elderly	Senior Adults	GENERAL FUND	\$30,000	\$27,500	107
PCOA	Nutrition Program for the Elderly	Senior Adults	GENERAL FUND	\$30,000	\$30,000	55
Pima Prevention Partnership	Pima County Teen Court	Youth	GENERAL FUND	\$30,000	\$30,000	98
So. AZ. AIDS Foundation (SAAF)	Case management services for people living with HIV/AIDS	Adults	GENERAL FUND	\$30,000	\$30,000	83
So. Arizona Legal Aid	Homeowner and Tenant Protection	Adults	GENERAL FUND	\$30,000	\$30,000	951
The Parent Connection	Parenting Education, Information & Support	Adults Youth	GENERAL FUND	\$30,000	\$26,704.24	183
The Primavera Foundation	Primavera Works Job Training & Ethical Day Labor	Homeless	GENERAL FUND	\$55,000	\$55,000	642
The Primavera Foundation	Women's Services Program	Homeless	GENERAL FUND	\$45,964	\$45,964	69
The YMCA	Childcare Means Success for Kids & Families	Youth	GENERAL FUND	\$60,000	\$60,000	86
The YWCA	Yworks Employment Education	Adult Women	GENERAL FUND	\$30,000	\$27,500	573
Tucson Nursery School & Child Care Centers, Inc.	Tucson Nursery School	Youth	GENERAL FUND	\$30,000	\$30,000	60
Tucson Urban League	Employment & Training program	Adults	GENERAL FUND	\$38,250	\$38,250	103

Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report

Tucson Urban League	Project YES: Tutoring & Mentoring	Youth	GENERAL FUND	\$30,000	\$30,000	139
Tucson Urban League	Seniors Program	Senior Adults	GENERAL FUND	\$30,000	\$30,000	280
Tu Nidito Children & Family Services	Children to Children Grief Support Program	Youth	GENERAL FUND	\$30,000	\$30,000	589
Wingspan	Wingspan -A Step Up	Youth	GENERAL FUND	\$30,000	\$30,000	83
Youth on Their Own	Stipend Program	Youth	GENERAL FUND	\$56,750	\$56,750	446
Total:			GENERAL FUND	\$1,464,910	\$1,450,863.80 or \$105 average cost per unit of service	13,768

2014 PROJECT TABLES – PLANNING (Funded By Admin)						
Agency	Project	Funding Source	Funds Committed	Funds Expended	Units	Accomplished
Community Partnership of Southern Arizona	Homeless Planning and Coordination	CDBG	\$25,000	\$25,000.00	1	COC produced
Southwest Fair Housing Council	Don't Borrow Trouble	CDBG	\$25,000	\$24,998.59	1	Anti-predatory Lending practices
Southwest Fair Housing Council	Analysis of Impediments	CDBG	\$25,000	\$24,975.39	1	On-going community assessment of impediments to fair housing choice
Total:			\$75,000	\$74,973.95	3	Plans produced

2014 PROJECT TABLES – CHDO Operating					
Agency	Project	Funding Source	Funds Committed*	Funds Expended	Units
ISDA	CHDO	HOME	\$30,000	\$30,000	CHDO Operating Phased Out
Primavera Foundation	CHDO	HOME	\$26,000	\$26,000	CHDO Operating Phased Out
	CHDO	HOME	\$30,000	\$25,845.36	CHDO Operating Phased Out
Total:			\$86,000	\$81,845.36	From Previous Awards

**Total Funds Committed – expended over multi-year period*

2. Describe the manner in which the recipient would change its program as a result of its experiences

The City of Tucson continues its goal of providing stronger linkages between its Human (public) Services Planning process and its Community Development Block Grant Public Facilities solicitation process so that the consortium-wide goals are integrated. Utilizing this approach, a public facility that houses homeless persons under the auspices of a housing first model funded through public facilities dollars would be linked with funding of public services dollars to provide needed public services. The City and County have implemented a CDBG- funded Safe, Green and Healthy Program to retrofit shelters and public services facilities for energy efficiency and long-term sustainability. The City has also identified a CDBG strategy to invest in a target area where there are long-standing high concentrations of poverty. Applications for funding to support services and facilities in this targeted area receive additional points in the proposal evaluation process. This target area integrates several local revitalization initiatives and may become part of a 2014 Promise Zone application to the U.S. Department of Housing and urban development (HUD), should the City pursue a designation.

Planning staff within the Housing and Community Development Department prepared a City of Tucson General & Sustainability Plan for by the Mayor and Council at their July 9, 2013 session. The 10-year Plan was referred to the November 2013 ballot for voter ratification. The voter-approved Plan includes stronger links to Neighborhood Plans and community-wide sustainability outcomes so that implementation of the critical elements of those plans and related services can be supported by the City's federal entitlements where applicable. Staff continues to look for ways to integrate the various programs and projects implemented by the Housing and Community Development Department, as well as looking for ways to better integrate community development programs and projects with those of other departments. The department continues to replace isolated approaches to community development with comprehensive programs and initiatives so that staff can better analyze how the services they are responsible for can improve the lives of Tucson residents.

3. Actions to Affirmatively Further Fair Housing

- a. Provide a summary of impediments to fair housing choice.
- b. Identify actions taken to overcome effects of impediments identified.

Addressing Impediments to Fair Housing in the City of Tucson:

The City of Tucson and Pima County make up a consortium which receives entitlement funds and collaborates to conduct the Analysis of Impediments (AI) process and submit the AI report to HUD. The Analysis of Impediments document utilized in this reporting period was completed in 2009 (known as the 2009 AI Plan), and can be found on the City's web site at: www.tucsonaz.gov/hcd . The report was drafted by the Southwest Fair Housing Council (SWFHC) in collaboration with the Southwest Center for Economic Integrity (SCEI). This document was adopted during FY 2010. The Plan provides an analysis of current impediments to fair housing choice in Pima County, including the City of Tucson. This document

includes an Action Plan to eliminate or reduce these impediments that will be implemented in years 2010 through 2015. An updated AI is underway with the preparation of the FY 2016 – 2020 5-year Consolidated Plan.

As in previous years, the City allocated \$25,000 to the Southwest Fair Housing Council for fair housing activities and an additional \$25,000 in support of the “Don’t Borrow Trouble” program.

It is inevitable that some of the information contained in the CAPER report to Pima County and the CAPER report to the City of Tucson overlaps since the Analysis of Impediments is a multi-jurisdictional document. However, other information provided below is unique to the City of Tucson.

For the period July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014, the Southwest Fair Housing Council, on behalf of the City of Tucson, participated in the following actions to address Impediments to Fair Housing:

Impediment #1: Illegal Housing Discrimination:

- Types of tests conducted: 2 sales tests, 2 Spanish language advertising tests, and 45 rental tests.
- Test bases: 10 were race-based tests for sales and rental, 31 national origin-based rental and Spanish Language Advertising, 8 familial status rental tests.
- The results of 14 tests (29%) were questionable but ultimately deemed inconclusive. This means that there may have been differences in the treatment of the testers, but not enough evidence to file a complaint. A portion of these tests will be reviewed and tested again. There were 35 tests or 71% of the tests that did not support the allegations of discrimination under the Fair Housing Act.
- SWFHC received 279 client contacts (phone calls, walk-ins, at events, etc.) from individuals in the City of Tucson who presented housing problems that alleged possible unlawful discrimination.
- 11 of the allegations were referred to HUD and/or the Arizona Attorney General’s Office for follow up as formal complaints. The clients that had housing issues that were not fair housing related were referred to City of Tucson Property Housing & Complaint (PaHC), the Arizona Residential Landlord Tenant Act, the Southern Arizona Legal Aid Office (SALA), Community Legal Services, the websites for disability—www.bazelon.org, the Arizona Center for Disability Law (ACDL) and/or to the Don’t Borrow Trouble® Pima County program and other agencies.

Impediment #2, 3, 4 & 6: Lack of Fair Housing Act Awareness, Lack of Fair Housing Technical Knowledge, Unfair housing Industry Practices, Adverse Impact on Minorities:

- SWFHC education and outreach sponsored by the City of Tucson included 42 presentations, meetings, events, and workshops for consumers, social service agencies and housing industry professionals in the Pima County area.
- Fair housing education and outreach was provided for Section 8 voucher recipients, housing providers, the general public, Employment agencies, City of Tucson Housing staff and others.
- A total of 10,008 pieces of fair housing and fair lending literature (Eng./Span) were distributed.

Impediment #5: Unfair and misleading mortgage lending and mortgage modification tactics:

- 1,792 callers were provided resources and/or referrals to local housing counselors/providers for various rental and homeownership resources and services. 85% of these callers were foreclosure related.
- 23 community fair lending clinics were conducted.
- DBT staff participated in/conducted 26 trainings for general public and or industry staff
- 423 clients received direct counseling assistance to prevent a foreclosure scam, remedy a scam or obtained a mortgage modification.
- DBT staff identified 75 sites for distribution of fair housing/fair lending literature
- DBT staff submitted 10 complaint proposals for review to the U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development.

Impediment #7: Barriers to and lack of reasonable accommodations or disabled.

- Due to the change in the housing, new construction has slowed significantly in all areas of Pima County. SWFHC continues to monitor new construction for compliance with accessibility standards as stated in the Fair Housing Act.
- The largest percentage of calls that SWFHC receives is related to disability issues. The calls come from both the general public and industry and cover a wide range of issues addressing physical and mental health disability issues.
- SWFHC's Enforcement Department counsels clients with disability related issues. Furthermore, SWFHC's Education and Outreach staff incorporates accessibility and disability-related issues into its workshops, presentations and trainings.

Impediment #8: Fair Housing Planning:

SWFHC has not assisted the City of Tucson with fair housing planning.

Impediment #9: Challenges of Refugee Planning:

In the City of Tucson, work continues with the local refugee community through several community groups including the International Rescue Committee and RISPNet (Refugee Immigrant Service Provider Network), a coalition of refugee serving entities in the local community. SWFHC staff provides fair housing education to the refugee community locally and educates both the outreach workers and the recipients of refugee services regarding their housing rights and responsibilities. Outreach to and collaboration with local refugee groups including, but not limited to, the Somali-Bantu Mutual Aid Association, the Bhutanese Mutual Aid Association, African Refugees Solidarity Committee and the Tucson International Alliance of Refugee Communities (TIARC) is ongoing. The fair housing issues that are unique to the refugee population were presented and discussed at the Pima County Fair Housing Forum in April 2014.

On April 30, 2014 Pima County hosted a Fair Housing Forum, "Fair Housing, Opening Doors and Changing the Nature of Discrimination". The forum topics included the following:

- Fair Lending Practices
- Discrimination against Persons with Disabilities
- Housing for Persons Discharged from Incarceration
- Discrimination and Housing Issues related to the Lesbian, Gay, Bi-sexual, Transgender, Transsexual Population
- Fair Housing for Refugees
- Discrimination against people of color

4. Addressing Obstacles to Meeting Underserved Needs

Tucson strives to meet the underserved needs of the community. This is accomplished through programs and services to homeowners and renters.

Homeowners

The Mayor and Council directed CDBG-funded housing rehabilitation organizations to develop a coordinated strategy for providing home repair to low-income households community-wide. Nine (9) partner organizations with long-standing programs formed a collaboration in 2000 and began working on several goals: 1) incorporation of home maintenance, education and preventative care in housing rehabilitation programs; 2) streamlining of the application process for eligible households to apply and receive housing rehabilitation assistance; 3) determining cost-effective and efficient ways to purchase supplies and make repairs when combining resources; and 4) applying for a portion of the annual CDBG allocation to fund a coordinated strategy.

Renters

Low-income renters often experience difficulty obtaining decent, affordable housing. Tucson assists the low-income renter population by providing 1505 units of public housing; 4712 units of Section 8 rental

housing; 877 Section 8 units administered for Pima County; these numbers include 525 HUD/VASH vouchers for Veterans and 150 Family Unification Vouchers. There are also 87 Moderate Rehabilitation program units.

Other programs that assist renters include: State Low-Income Housing Tax Credits, HOME-funded Rental Housing Partnership, El Portal, HUD 202, 236, and 811 programs.

5. Leveraging Resources

Wherever possible, the City attracts additional resources by submitting grant applications and providing support to organizations that apply to competitive grant programs. The City has been successful in attracting grants to support agencies that provide housing and services. Historically, these have included: The Affordable Housing Program of the Federal Home Loan Bank, Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP), Low Income Housing Tax Credits, State of Arizona HOME Program and Housing Trust Fund, Supportive Housing Program (SHP), HOPE VI Program, and HOPE 3 programs. The City has contributed general funds to meet HOME program match and for activities which support persons experiencing homelessness.

Source	FY 2014 Amount
FEDERAL FUNDS	
Continuum of Care City Programs for the Homeless (Total Award)	\$8,448,988.00
Lead Based Paint	\$172,131.43
STATE FUNDS	
HOME	0
LIHTC	0
LOCAL FUNDS	
City General Fund – Human Services	\$1,450,863.80
Forgone Taxes/G.O. Bonds/Fee Exemptions	\$108,428.56
PROGRAM INCOME	
CDBG	\$138,694.08
HOME	\$433,025.50
NSP 1 & 3	\$613,132.14
NON-PROFIT & PRIVATE FUNDS	
Donations, Labor and Materials	\$788,454.34
Non-Match Cash/Land	\$719,426.14
Total	\$12,873,144

Managing the Process

1. Describe actions taken during the last year to ensure compliance with program and comprehensive planning requirements.

6. Program Year 4 CAPER Managing the Process

Lead Agency

The City of Tucson and Pima County formed a HOME consortium in 1992. The City of Tucson Housing and Community Development Department is the lead agency for the development of the Consolidated Plan. The City receives funds from five HUD formula grant programs covered by the Consolidated Plan: the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG), HOME Investment Partnerships program (HOME), Emergency Shelter Grant (ESG) and Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA) programs.

The 2010-2015 Consolidated Plan and the FY 2013 Annual Action Plan are available on the City of Tucson's website at: www.tucsonaz.gov/hcd.

Note: On January 8, 2013 the Mayor and Council for the City of Tucson approved a Substantial Plan Amendment to the FY 2013 Annual Action Plan to amend the boundaries for the Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP3) project area. Prior to the Mayor and Council public meeting and subsequent action, and in compliance with federal requirements, a public notice was posted and public comments were solicited. This amendment was added as Addendum #1 to the FY 2013 Annual Plan.

Consolidated Plan Development/Annual Action Plans

While the City of Tucson serves as the lead agency for development of the Consolidated Plan, a number of other public and private agencies participated in its development. The Institutional Structure portion of the Plan fully describes the various entities involved in the development of the Consolidated Plan and the Annual Action Plan.

The City and County held fifteen stakeholder and public forums to solicit input into current conditions, identify obstacles to implementing programs and developing projects, and define goals and strategies. The forum participants included representatives from 45 agencies and departments that focus on supportive housing efforts, services for special populations, economic development and employment services, planning and capital improvements. Members of the public were invited to attend and participate in forum discussions. Over 110 forum participants are listed in the plan. The 2010-2015 Consolidated Plan contains a summary report of the meetings, including the names and affiliations of the 110 participants.

Through ongoing funding mechanisms and the FY 2015 update to the 5-year Consolidated Plan, the City of Tucson and Pima County will facilitate coordination between service providers and housing providers.

Citizen Participation

- 1. Provide a summary of citizen comments. See affidavit of publication at the end of this document.**
- 2. In addition, the performance report provided to citizens must identify the Federal funds made available for furthering the objectives of the Consolidated Plan. For each formula grant program, the grantee shall identify the total amount of funds available (including estimated program income), the total amount of funds committed during the reporting period, the total amount expended during the reporting period, and the geographic distribution and location of expenditures. Jurisdictions are encouraged to include maps in describing the geographic distribution and location of investment (including areas of minority concentration). The geographic distribution and expenditure requirement may also be satisfied by specifying the census tracts where expenditures were concentrated.**

CAPER Citizen Participation response:

The City's goal for citizen participation is to ensure broad participation of both residents and service providers in housing and community development planning and program development. The City followed its published Citizen Participation Plan in consulting with the public and stakeholder agencies.

Summary of Citizen Comments

Public comment period was held from September 11, 2014 through September 25, 2014. A summary of comments received follows:

No Public Comments were received.


7. Geographic Distribution

The following census tracts are considered low-income areas with minority concentration (50% or more minority residents): 2, 3, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13.02, 20, 21, 23, 24, 25.01, 37.01, 38, 42, 43.08, 44.07, 48, 50. The City invests entitlement funds in areas of minority concentration. These funds are broadly distributed because most of the City's entitlement-supported programs are open to income-eligible residents city-wide.


The City allocates funding in several ways, to ensure investment in areas of minority concentration:

1. CDBG Target Area and Poverty and Urban Stress – In FY 2013 the Mayor and Council adopted a CDBG Target Area. The boundaries of this target area were established based on a 2012 update to the City of Tucson Poverty and Urban Stress report. Strategic investment in CDBG Target Area neighborhoods, facilities and services are underway and are prioritized for future entitlement year allocations. This CDBG Target Area is located within the boundaries of the contiguous census tracts showing disproportionately high poverty that qualifies Tucson to apply for a HUD Promise Zone designation under the 2014 fall solicitation.
2. Minority Concentration Targets: The majority of the City's entitlement funding is directed to programs that are city-wide. This is intended to provide access to services to a broad spectrum of clients. Clients who are also residents from areas of minority concentration may receive services in an area of minority concentration or at a location where the service is offered outside of an area of minority concentration. In either case, entitlement funds are directed to benefit residents of minority concentration areas (see the stress map on the following pages).
3. Public Facilities: The City funds these projects in areas designated low-income or for the mitigation of spot blight. Many of these projects are also in minority concentration areas. The City makes these investments to upgrade facilities in areas where there is a disproportionate need or a disparity in the facilities available to the surrounding area (see Low/Mod Income Area map on next page).
4. Back to Basics Target Areas: This program was intended to rotate funding opportunities to all areas of the City. These neighborhoods receive an investment of CDBG and other funds to make improvements based on a neighborhood-driven prioritization process. Generally, these target neighborhoods overlay with minority concentration areas. This program has been phased out.


Below are the CDBG Target Area and Indicators of Neighborhood Stress and Low/Moderate Income Areas



Maps:



**City of Tucson Block Groups
with 51% or more Low or Moderate Income**



8. Institutional Structure

1. Describe actions taken during the last year to overcome gaps in institutional structures and enhance coordination.

Program Year 4 CAPER Institutional Structure

The Housing and Community Development Department (HCDD) has enacted a wide range of activities to enhance coordination and improve services to the community.

Human Services Plan and Review Committees

In previous years the City Human Services Review Committees convened on a two year cycle and were charged with reviewing Human Services project proposals and presenting funding recommendations to the City Manager. As part of the fall 5-year Consolidated Planning process, the Consortium has scheduled a public forum on agency coordination with a specific focus on human services allocations and contracts. The goal is to update the 2008 Human Service Plan and reduce agency duplication of effort while increasing the overall impact of entitlement funding on meeting desired community outcomes.

Metropolitan Housing Commission

The Metropolitan Housing Commission is a Mayor and Council appointed Commission that advises them on affordable housing issues including the Housing Trust Fund. The Commission, which meets monthly, is comprised of 15 members from a diverse group of interests including: Neighborhood/Consumer Advocate; Developer/Builder/Designer; Real Estate/Finance; and Community Partners.

Delegation of Authority – Environmental Reviews

The Mayor and Council have delegated authority to the Director of HCDD, or her designee, to implement the review requirements for the National Environmental Policy Act.

Delegation of Authority – Contracts

The Mayor and Council have delegated authority to the Director of HCDD, or her designee, to execute contracts or other documents necessary to carry out activities listed in this document.

Lending Institutions

Many of the City's programs and initiatives utilize mortgages from local lending institutions. The City may ask lenders to provide loans directly to bankable low-income households for home purchase or home improvement loans; or to an organization or developer for a public facility or multi-family housing project. The City depends on the local implementation of federal Community Reinvestment Act (CRA) programs to successfully develop needed affordable housing.

City of Tucson and Pima County Consortium

The consortium partners with various levels of government and other major funders, in policy development. In FY 2008, they entered into an agreement to authorize the City to operate a housing program on behalf of the County in order to provide financial assistance to families who reside in Pima County.

Public Planning Process

The City has engaged the public in planning and programs through the designation of special advisory committees, comprised of individuals with diverse interests and perspectives in regard to key community issues. For example, the Mayor and Council appointed a citizen committee to work with the City on downtown revitalization and in the development of the Neighborhood Preservation Ordinance.

The City is continuing its major revitalization of its downtown and surrounding neighborhoods.

The Rio Nuevo project will add new commercial, cultural, transportation and public facilities to the core of downtown. There is a plan to build up to 2,000 new housing units within a ten-year period. The City is engaged in a multi-level planning process that includes the development of neighborhood plans, special meetings and events to solicit public input, and consultation with national experts.

Consolidation of Enforcement and Urban Planning with HCDD

The City has established a Neighborhood Protection Ordinance (NPO) that consolidates and enhances code provisions dealing with property maintenance. In fiscal year 2009 the City merged the Community Services Department with the Neighborhood Resources Department responsible for the enforcement of the NPO. In fiscal year 2010 the City consolidated the Community Services Department with what was Urban Planning to form the Housing and Community Development Department. Planning staff within the department are currently working on an update to the General Plan. Many opportunities for public participation have occurred and the Plan will be presented to voters for potential adoption in November 2013.

Environmental Review Guidebook

To facilitate project feasibility and expedite the contracting process, the City developed a local guidebook that establishes a streamlined process for reviewing proposed projects in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA).

Program Guidelines

In September 2013 following discussions with HUD, HCDD/PCDD began the initial steps in consolidating and finalizing various 'operations procedures manuals' and guidelines based on existing Federal, State, County and City laws and regulations (including codes and ordinances) for all HUD funded programs administered by HCDD/PCDD. As of the end of FY 2014 much of this undertaking was completed including the bulk of the revamped HOME Investment Partnership program policies and regulations. The comprehensive conclusions and final printing are expected by December 2014. As it is driven by actual need and current policy, the comprehensive 'Procedures Manual' will be a living, evolving document.

Intragovernmental Coordination

Public improvement projects are coordinated with numerous City departments, including: Parks and Recreation, Planning & Development Services, Transportation and General Services. The proposed 2014 Promise Zone application will be the mechanism for addressing high concentrations of poverty with coordinated efforts and leveraged funding from various public and private partners within the Consortium.

Industrial Development Authorities

The City of Tucson and Pima County have Industrial Development Authorities (IDA). Through special bonding capacity, these organizations have financial resources for affordable housing development and public facility enhancements.

9. Monitoring

- 1. Describe how and the frequency with which you monitored your activities.**
- 2. Describe the results of your monitoring including any improvements.**
- 3. Self Evaluation**
 - a. Describe the effect programs have in solving neighborhood and community problems.**
 - b. Describe progress in meeting priority needs and specific objectives and help make community's vision of the future a reality.**
 - c. Describe how you provided decent housing and a suitable living environment and expanded economic opportunity principally for low and moderate-income persons.**

- d. Indicate any activities falling behind schedule.
- e. Describe how activities and strategies made an impact on identified needs.
- f. Identify indicators that would best describe the results.
- g. Identify barriers that had a negative impact on fulfilling the strategies and overall vision.
- h. Identify whether major goals are on target and discuss reasons for those that are not on target.
- i. Identify any adjustments or improvements to strategies and activities that might meet your needs more effectively.

Program Year 4 CAPER Monitoring

1. Monitoring Timeline

Program monitoring for CDBG and HOME regulatory compliance occurred on a continual basis during 2014.

2. Monitoring Plan/Results

The following is the City's current guidance relating to monitoring plans for both CDBG and HOME. Our strong commitment to the monitoring of our partnering agencies has resulted in stronger relationships, minimization of errors, and better product and service delivery throughout the community. Of particular note is our pre-contract audit requirement, whereby City audit staff review agencies' financial systems to insure that they have the capacity to successfully manage the awarded funds.

Pre-Award Screening

- Prior to award of funds, all sub-recipient service providers received pre-contract assessments to assure that sufficient administrative and fiscal management systems were in place to successfully provide the service identified in the grant applications.
- During the RFP process, City staff met individually with agencies to evaluate program capacity issues.

Post-Award Monitoring

- After funding approval, sub-recipients received program orientation and technical assistance in setting up the necessary reporting mechanisms.
- Staff provided desk monitoring and technical assistance on a continual basis as monthly billings were reviewed and processed.

City staff conducted Need and Risk Assessments on 100% of the contracts. City staff uses the Monitoring HOME Program Performance model developed by HUD to ensure compliance with HOME rules and regulations. Public facility and infrastructure projects with Davis-Bacon requirements were implemented, administered and monitored in compliance with the appropriate statutes and regulations.

Annually, City staff holds an eligibility-training class for all funded agencies that manage low-income HOME rental units. The training includes how to conduct income eligibility in accordance with Section 8 guidelines, and explains what documents must be maintained in the eligibility file.

Significant improvements were made to the City's monitoring process in 2014 to include the following:

Centralized Monitoring Guidance

Electronic copies of divisional monitoring forms as well as HUD's review documents/desk guides are all stored in the Planning and Community Development Division's shared electronic files.

Centralized Copies of Monitoring Reports and Non-Profit Audits

Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report

Staff places copies of monitoring reports, financial statements, A-133 audits and IRS Form 990's in a centralized file sorted by agency, fiscal year and project. This ensures greater divisional oversight and coordination of funded projects. Staff also updates an Excel spreadsheet to input details of visit.

CDBG Monitoring

It is the policy of the Housing and Community Development Department, Planning and Community Development Division, to monitor all sub-recipient contracts on an annual basis. All sub-recipients will, at a minimum, be monitored by means of an office desk-review utilizing a monitoring checklist appropriate for the program/project. Those sub-recipients whose risk assessment is high (4 or more factors checked) will receive on-site monitoring. Those sub-recipients whose risk assessment is medium (2 to 3 factors checked) will, time permitting, receive on-site monitoring, with those with the highest number of risk factors being a priority. Those sub-recipients whose risk assessment is low (0 to 1 factors checked) will receive a desk-review monitoring. All sub-recipients will receive on-site monitoring in the event it is requested by an authorized city, state or federal official. Additionally, the City may, at its discretion, perform a risk assessment of a sub-recipient, and if the risk assessment warrants on-site monitoring, the City may perform same. All public facility projects require an on-site visit prior to making final payment.

HOME Monitoring

The City of Tucson monitors the following units to ensure that all HOME units meet the HOME Monitoring requirements as specified in 24 CFR Part 92.207. On-site inspections are conducted to ensure that each unit meets federal housing quality standards. Frequency of inspections for 563 units is listed below:

Rental Partnership Monitoring Frequency			
	Project	Frequency	# COT HOME Units
1	ADDI/HAP	N/A	N/A
2	St. Lukes in the Desert	Annual	5
3	1 North 5th	Annual	11
4	Talavera Apartments	Annual	11
5	Fry Apartments	Annual	48
6	Las Villas De Kino Phase 1	Annual	11
7	Las Villas De Kino Phase 2	Annual	11
8	Glenstone Apts	Annual	7
9	Ghost Ranch Lodge Ph 1	Annual	20
10	Ghost Ranch Lodge Ph 2	Annual	11
11	El Portal	Annual	43
12	Parkside Terrace Apts	Annual	11
13	Colores Del Sol	Annual	11
14	Casa Bonita 3, 4 & 5	Annual	11
15	MLK	Annual	30
16	Council House	Annual	4
17	MacArthur Apartments	2 years	6
18	SAAF - Glenn Street	2 years	11
19	New Beginnings PH 2	2 years	24
20	New Beginnings PH 1	2 years	16
21	Casitas San Miguel	2 years	10
22	Mabel & Delano	2 years	8
23	Casitas Esperanzas Byas	2 years	8
24	Casa Bonita 1 & 2	2 years	4
25	MHC - 9 Home Contract	2 years	9
26	MHC - 11 Home Contract	2 years	11
27	Casita Mia 1 & 2	2 years	10

28	Wings of Freedom	2 years	8
29	Casita Mia 5	3 years	2
30	TMM Fairhaven South	3 years	4
31	TMM Lee St - Fourplex	3 years	4
32	TMM Fairhaven North	3 years	5
33	Michael Keith	3 years	1
34	Casitas On Broadway	Desk Only	28
35	NCR of Tucson	Desk Only	30
36	Blanche Johnson	Desk Only	34
37	Sunnyside Pointe	Annual	10
38	Bella Vista	Desk Only	10
39	Las Montañas	Annual	11
40	Vida Nueva Apartments	Annual	12
41	Silverwood Casitas	Desk Only	42

Inspection results are maintained in-house with a copy provided to the property manager. Any significant findings or concerns are addressed as identified.

10. Self-Evaluation

The overall goal of the Planning and Community Development programs identified in the Consolidated Plan is to develop viable communities by providing decent housing and a suitable living environment and expanding economic opportunities for low- and moderate-income persons. During FY14, the City funded activities and implemented strategies that had a significant impact on achieving these goals. The information contained in this report highlights our accomplishments, which include:

- homeownership opportunities for low-income families have increased;
- more rental units are available to low- and moderate-income individuals and existing affordable units are being preserved;
- housing rehabilitation goals have been achieved;
- persons experiencing homelessness have a wide variety of programs designed to provide shelter, case management and counseling, and promote self-sufficiency;
- critically needed services are available community-wide; and
- public facility improvements are being made that will improve access to services as well as to revitalize neighborhoods.

With the expiration of the City contracts in early FY 2014, those firms providing HUD-certified, *home buyer counseling* to the joint City of Tucson/Pima County 'Down Payment Assistance' (DPA) Program were subject to a re-evaluation to establish an updated list of agencies. Following the requisite 'Request For Proposals' (RFP) issued in late 2012, new contracts were awarded to five (5) local, reputable non-profit agencies, to wit: Chicanos Por La Causa, Family Housing Resources, Old Pueblo Community Services, Pio Decimo Center, and Primavera Foundation. All of our FY 2014 DPA partners possess decades of experience in facilitating affordable homeownership on behalf of low- and moderate-income families in both the City of Tucson and Pima County.

Within the affordable *rental housing development* community, the local market continued to improve - albeit slowly - and whilst production numbers fell somewhat short of our projections, we realized more completed units last year than at any time since 2009. With several projects in progress and several more set to break ground within the next six months, we are confident we'll reach our goals.

The City of Tucson did not meet the annual goal for public facilities, as reported on pages 2-3. These projects tend to be multi-year projects.

The City of Tucson has continued to provide a continuum of services to address the variety of needs in the community. For Fiscal Year 2014, 80.73% of CDBG funds (excluding funds for administration

/planning) were expended to serve low/moderate income persons. The City has used multi-year certifications to document that CDBG funds principally benefit persons of low and moderate income. There were no actions taken or omitted by the City that hindered the implementation of projects listed in the 2014 Annual Update to the Consolidated Plan. No major program changes are anticipated as a result of the 2014 accomplishments and production. There may be minimal shifts in resource allocation as leveraging options are identified and the City closes out the 2010-2015 5-year Consolidated Plan activity and evaluates goals and production for the 5-year period. The City will re-evaluate priorities, strategies and program outcomes as part of the planning process for development of the 2016-2020 Consolidated Plan. The planning process for the update to the 5-year Consolidated Plan began in August 2014.

Timeliness of Expenditure Requirements

The City of Tucson met all expenditure requirements for the following grant entitlements: CDBG, HOME, ESG and HOPWA. Back up documentation is on file for each program as follows:

CDBG- PR56 Timeless Ratio Report

HOME - Monthly Deadline Compliance Status Reports

ESG - PR91 ESG Financial Summary for HUD

HOPWA PR02 Report - 2 year obligation with a 3 year deadline to expended obligated funds.

Lead-based Paint

1. Describe actions taken during the last year to evaluate and reduce lead-based paint hazards.

Program Year 4 CAPER Lead-based Paint response:

Rehabilitation projects

The City follows strict HUD guidelines for testing and abatement of lead-based paint and other hazardous substances, and requires compliance for its contractors and subcontractors. Any structure built before 1978 which is proposed for rehabilitation under federal programs is tested for lead-based paint, as required by Subpart J of 24 CFR Part 35. Notices and requirements regarding testing and removal for lead-based paint are provided to program participants, contractors and project sponsors. The City of Tucson has private market, licensed contractors who are trained in Lead Safe Work Practices, and are available to perform appropriate abatement and/or removal procedures if lead-based paint is present. The City also provided training for non-profit and City staff on federal compliance requirements, including seminars dealing with asbestos, mold and healthy-homes awareness.

The City completed 166 homes during FY 2014 through expenditures of \$178,459.07 from its allocation of Lead Hazard Control funding. In addition to lead abatement was an allocation of \$138,966 specifically for 'Healthy Homes' activities to address multiple childhood diseases and injuries in the home. The Initiative takes a comprehensive approach to these activities by focusing on housing-related 'environmental' hazards including: mold, lead, allergens, asthma, carbon monoxide, home safety, pesticides, and radon. It is anticipated that all activities under the current grant will be completed in late 2014. The City submitted a grant application for \$3,400,000 in FY 2014.

11. Housing Needs

Describe Actions taken during the last year to foster and maintain affordable housing. Specific Housing Objectives

- 1. Evaluate progress in meeting specific objective of providing affordable housing, including the number of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income renter and owner households comparing actual accomplishments with proposed goals during the reporting period.**
- 2. Evaluate progress in providing affordable housing that meets the Section 215 definition of affordable housing for rental and owner households comparing actual accomplishments with proposed goals during the reporting period.**

3. Describe efforts to address “worst-case” housing needs and housing needs of persons with disabilities.

Program Year 4 CAPER Housing Needs response:

The City of Tucson has worked on several fronts to preserve and develop affordable housing for low-income families in our community. The City has been successful in exceeded several of the set goals in areas including: Assistance to Persons with Special Needs; Public Services and Neighborhood Revitalization.

With an annual goal of assisting 475 Homeowner households, the City met this goal by rehabilitating 504 units. New Homeownership development did not meet anticipated the annual goal. With the expiration of the City contracts in early FY 2013, organizations providing HUD-certified, home buyer counseling to the joint City of Tucson/Pima County 'Down Payment Assistance' (DPA) Program had to be re-evaluated. Following the requisite 'Request For Proposals' (RFP) issued in late 2012, new contracts were awarded to five (5) local, reputable non-profit agencies, to wit: Chicanos Por La Causa, Family Housing Resources, Old Pueblo Community Services, Pio Decimo Center, and Primavera Foundation. All of the FY 2014 DPA partners possess decades of experience in facilitating affordable homeownership on behalf of low- and moderate-income families in both the City of Tucson and Pima County. In addition, the local home buyer program administered by the Industrial Development Authority (IDA) is less restrictive and provides more financial assistance. Many buyers who might consider applying through the City/County DPA may prefer to apply for assistance through that program.

Program Year 4 CAPER Specific Housing Objectives:

Evaluate Progress

The City's goals and targets were approved by the Mayor and Council, in the Consolidated Plan, and the Annual Action Plan. These goals and targets establish the City's overall objectives and outcome measures for affordable housing until 2015. The goals and targets were developed to plan for the needs of families, older residents, persons with disabilities, and low-income individuals.

The purpose of the goals is to establish a long-term vision of where the City wants to be, and to provide a quantitative way to measure its progress and annotate specific outcomes.

Specific Goals and Accomplishments

Specific Housing Objectives and Accomplishments

Rental Housing Objectives FY 2014			
Specific Objectives	Performance Measures	Goals	Actual
Increase the supply of affordable rentals for families	Number of Units Produced:	184	130
Reduce the number of elderly persons who are cost burdened	Number of Units Produced:	50	0
Preserve affordable rental units	Number of Units Retained:	100	59

Owner Housing Objectives			
Increase the supply of affordable homeownership units	Number of Units Produced	165	93
Preserve existing housing stock	Number of Units Rehabilitated	475	504
Increase affordability through down payment assistance	Number of Homeownership Assisted:	100	67
Lead Free Homes	Number of Units Assisted	20	166

Wherever possible, the City attracts additional resources by submitting grant applications and providing support to organizations that apply to competitive grant programs. The City has been successful in attracting grants to support agencies that provide housing and services. These include: The Affordable

Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report

Housing Program of the Federal Home Loan Bank, State of Arizona HOME Program and Housing Trust Fund, Supportive Housing Program (SHP), HOPE VI program, and HOPE 3 programs. The City has contributed general funds to meet HOME program match requirements and for activities that support persons experiencing homelessness.

Homeowners Assisted by Income FY 2014					
AGENCY NAME	PROJECT NAME	0-30%	31-50%	51-80%	TOTAL
REHABILITATION					
Chicanos Por La Causa	Roof Replacement and Home Maintenance/Special Needs	9	8	8	25
City of Tucson/HCD	Lead Match	17	24	125	166
City of Tucson/HCD/PCOA	Elderly Home Repair	6	3	13	22
City of Tucson/HCD	Emergency Home Repair	21	16	49	86
City of Tucson/HCD	Neighborhood Initiatives Leverage				
City of Tucson/HCD	NSP3 Rehab (Leverage)			14	14
Community Home Repair Projects of AZ	City Emergency Repair/Special Needs	57	12	5	74
DIRECT Center for Independence	Home Access Program/Special Needs	28	29	11	68
Old Pueblo Community Services	Sustainable Rehabilitation	2	3	2	7
Habitat for Humanity		0	0	0	
TMM Family Services	Midtown Housing Rehabilitation Program	9	3	8	20
Tucson Urban League	Emergency Home Repair	8	17	6	31
Tucson Urban League	South Park	2	0	3	5
TOTAL ASSISTED:	All served were at or below 80% median income	159	115	244	518

HOMEOWNERSHIP					
Housing & Community Development Department and Pima County Down Payment Assistance	HAP/HOME	5	12	48	65
TOTAL ASSISTED:		5	12	48	65

Renters Assisted by Income				
PROJECT NAME	0-30%	31-50%	51-80%	TOTAL
Bilby Partners	2	1	14	17
Family Housing Resources	21			21
Intermountain Centers for Human Development		7		7
Amity (underway)				0
ISDA			2	2
Primavera	5			5
Sunnyside Pointe	7	3		10
COT- TBRA	58			58
La Frontera	10			10
Compass (underway)				0
HCD-Mojave (underway)				0
Total Assisted:	103	11	16	130

“Worst Case” Housing Needs:

Generally, the homeless population and extremely low-income and very low-income owners and renters are unable to significantly contribute to the cost of housing themselves or keeping up with maintenance, repairs, utilities and other escalating housing costs. In these instances, specialized programs such as the SHP funded Bridges Program and other SHP and ESG funded programs are designed to meet the needs of this population.

The City addresses the “worst case” dilapidated housing structures through its Vacant and Neglected Structures (VANS) program. This program targets unoccupied structures that are blight in the community, citing owners under the Neighborhood Preservation Ordinance. In FY 2013 no units were demolished. This effort was discontinued due to a reduction in federal funding levels.

12. Public Housing Strategy

1. Describe actions taken during the last year to improve public housing and resident initiatives.

Program Year 4 CAPER Public Housing Strategy:

The City has several initiatives that provide opportunities to public housing residents.

Family Self-Sufficiency Program

City of Tucson’s Family Self-Sufficiency Program (FSS) assists Section 8 and Public Housing Program residents in moving from dependency on public assistance programs to self-sufficient independence. FSS is principally a work-incentive program that helps participants become more economically independent through goal setting and case management support over a five-year period of time.

ROSS (Resident Opportunity for Self-Sufficiency) Program

The City of Tucson’s Resident Opportunity for Self-Sufficiency Program (ROSS) assists Public Housing residents to increase earned income, reduce or eliminate the need for welfare assistance, make progress toward achieving economic independence and housing self-sufficiency through goal setting and case management support.

EDSC (Elderly/Disabled Service Coordinator) Program

The City of Tucson Elderly / Disabled Service Coordinator Program (EDSC) assists elderly and disabled residents improve living conditions and/or enable residents to continue to live-in-place, independently, without having to move to more expensive assisted care environments

Capital Fund

Annually, the City is awarded approximately \$1,350,000 in capital funds to be used to maintain and improve our public housing inventory. (\$1,351,498 FY 12 and \$1,374,842 FY 13, \$1,074,840 FY 14)

Housing Management

Low-income renters often experience difficulty obtaining decent, affordable housing. Tucson assists the low-income renter population by providing 1,505 units of public housing; 4,712 units of Section 8 rental housing; 877 Section 8 units administered for Pima County; these numbers include 525 HUD/VASH vouchers for Veterans and 150 Family Unification Vouchers. There are also 87 Moderate Rehabilitation program units.

The 4,712 Section 8 units for the City of Tucson include the 87 Mod Rehab units.

The City’s Public Housing and other affordable housing units are administered by property management offices regionalized throughout the City. The management team at each office is responsible for all

residential property management activities associated with its assigned Asset Management Projects, including property maintenance and modernization work. During FY 2014 the Public Housing Program converted from a single waiting list to site based waiting lists to afford applicants some choice in the type and location of Public Housing units.

13. Barriers to Affordable Housing

Describe actions taken during the last year to eliminate barriers to affordable housing.

Program Year 4 CAPER Barriers to Affordable Housing response:

Bilingual Material

A large percentage of low-income residents in Pima County are Spanish speaking. Materials and advertisements promoting countywide homeownership programs are printed in English and Spanish.

Incentives for Private Developers

The City provides incentives to non-profit and for-profit developers to expand their affordable housing production. In addition, the City has leveraged land and provided below-market financing for affordable housing development. In Fiscal year 2014, the affordable housing impact fee exemption was in the amount of \$71,679.56.

Don't Borrow Trouble

This project is designed to raise public awareness of predatory lending issues and to provide referral services to qualified credit counselors.

Analysis of Impediments and other Fair Housing Activities

A HUD mandated program, the Analysis of Impediments strives to eliminate all forms of illegal housing discrimination and to actively promote fair housing activities for all. An updated version of this Plan was completed in FY2009 and is available for review at the following website: <http://www.tucsonaz.gov/hcd/plans>. The Consortium will update this AI/Plan in City program year FY 2015.

Language Assistance Plan for Limited English Proficient Persons

In January 2007, HUD issued final guidance relating to providing meaningful access to persons who have limited English proficiency. The City of Tucson has developed a Language Assessment Plan to address the needs and requirements of those clients who are not fluent in English. The plan can be found at the following website: <http://www.tucsonaz.gov/hcd/plans>

14. HOME Program

1. **Assessment of Relationship of HOME Funds to Goals and Objectives**
 - a. **Evaluate progress made toward meeting goals for providing affordable housing using HOME funds, including the number and types of households served.**
2. **HOME Match Report**
 - a. **Use HOME Match Report HUD-40107-A to report on match contributions for the period covered by the Consolidated Plan program year.**
3. **HOME MBE and WBE Report**
 - a. **Use Part III of HUD Form 40107 to report contracts and subcontracts with Minority Business Enterprises (MBEs) and Women's Business Enterprises (WBEs).**
4. **Assessments**
 - a. **Detail results of on-site inspections of rental housing.**
 - b. **Describe the HOME jurisdiction's affirmative marketing actions.**
 - c. **Describe outreach to minority and women owned businesses.**

Program Year 4 CAPER HOME

Relationship of HOME Funds to Goals and Objectives:

In FY 2014, Tucson expended \$3,132,248.70 in HOME Investment Partnerships (HOME) Program funds, including \$433,025.50 which was available from HOME program income.

HOME Rental Development

Reflecting the post-recession economic environment that began to materialize in Tucson in 2012, a major thrust of Housing and Community Development's investment of its HOME Investment Partnership's entitlement was in the area of rental development of affordable housing. While there was minimal production regarding rental unit development in FY 2013, several HOME (some CHDO) projects did close in early FY 2014. From these projects, the Tucson community gained 146 affordable housing units, of which 29 were designated HOME units. Furthermore, there are presently two (2) new acquisition and rehabilitation projects underway, containing a total of 210 affordable units, of which 100% participate in the Section 8 Voucher Program. We also have two (2) pending HOME/CHDO projects, both of whom received LIHTC, that are dedicated to house 'chronically homeless' veterans in 72 affordable units.

The rental development sector continues to garner the majority of HOME funding in the Tucson community, as there continues to be a chronic need for safe and affordable rental housing.

FY 2013 Family Housing Resources' Silverwood Casitas project has been completed (Dedication was on May 2, 2014), and closed in IDIS on June 9, 2014.

FY 2013 La Frontera Partners' Sunnyside Pointe project has been completed (Dedication was on April 12, 2013), and closed in IDIS November 25, 2013.

FY 2013 La Frontera Partners' Bella Vista project has been completed and closed in IDIS on February 11, 2014.

As explained in the Annual Action Plan, "Beginning in FY2015 the Consortium will no longer allocate operating funds to CHDO's. HOME funds are allocated by Project Specific Application (PSA) and Set-Asides (SA)." The Consortium notified the CHDOs of this change prior to the final year of CHDO Operating.

The Industrial Development Authority of the City Of Tucson and Pima County has a more attractive Down Payment Assistance program which has caused homebuyers to choose their DPA program over ours. Effective July 1, 2014 the Consortium (City/County) changed our Deeds to read that the DPA amount would be forgiven after the affordability period of 5 years. It had been a permanent debt for the life of the loan with the interest forgiven over time, but the DPA amount due upon sale.

Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report

The following chart illustrates how HOME funds were utilized to implement this strategy in FY 2014:

Programs	Expenditures	# Units	% AMI	Ethnicity
Homeownership	HOME \$417,547	26	0%-30%=2 30%-50%=5 50%-80%=19	Hispanic=18 White=24 Black=1
Downpayment Assistance (Includes both City and County + OPCS + Habitat)	HOME \$364,312.75	67	0% - 30%=6 30%-50%=12 50% - 80%=49	Hispanic=45 White=63 Black=3 Other=1
Rental	HOME \$3,828,906.68	73	0%- 30%=45 30%-50%=11 50%-80%=16	Hispanic = 29 White = 50 Black= 7 Other=1
Tenant-Based Rental Assistance (TBRA)	\$24,031.32	58	0%-30%=58	Hispanic=47 White = 42 Black =14 American Indian/Alaska native=2
Administration	City -\$376,623.07 County- \$114,705.94			

Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report

The 2014 accomplishments for projects sponsored by Community Housing Development Organizations (CHDO's) are included in the homeownership totals, including CHDO project funds. CHDO operating expenses were \$81,845.36 in FY 2014. The Consortium eliminated CHDO operating funds from the HOME allocations beginning in FY 2014.

HOME MATCH REPORT

The Consortium met and exceeded the 25% mandated HOME program match requirement, per the HOME match report on the following pages.

Part I Participant Identification			Match Contributions for Federal Fiscal Year (yyyy) 2014
1. Participant No. (assigned by HUD)	2. Name of the Participating Jurisdiction CITY OF TUCSON – HOUSING & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT	3. Name of Contact (person completing this report) LETICIA CARPIO	
5. Street Address of the Participating Jurisdiction 310 N COMMERCE PARK LOOP		4. Contact's Phone Number (include area code) 520-837-5423	
6. City TUCSON	7. State AZ	8. Zip Code 85745	

Part II Fiscal Year Summary

1. Excess match from prior Federal fiscal year	\$	16,594,909.6	
2. Match contributed during current Federal fiscal year (see Part III.9.)	\$	1,616,309.0	
3. Total match available for current Federal fiscal year (line 1 + line 2)			\$ 18,211,218.7
4. Match liability for current Federal fiscal year			\$ 540,779.1
5. Excess match carried over to next Federal fiscal year (line 3 minus line 4)			\$ 17,670,439.6

Part III Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year

1. Project No. or Other ID	2. Date of Contribution (mm/dd/yyyy)	3. Cash (non-Federal sources)	4. Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges	5. Appraised Land / Real Property	6. Required Infrastructure	7. Site Preparation Construction Materials, Donated Labor	8. Bond Financing	9. Total Match
SEE ATTACHMENT								

Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report

HOME MATCH REPORT										
6/30/2014										
Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year										
1		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
IDIS No	Project No	PROJECT ID NAME	DATE OF CONTRIBUTION	CASH (NON FED SOURCES)	FOREGONE TAXES, FEES, CHARGES	APPRAISED LAND REAL PROPERTY	REQUIRED INFRASTRUCTURE	SITE PREPARATION, CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS, DONATED LABOR	BOND FINANCING**	TOTAL MATCH
	City									
2223	9834	Copper Vista II	7/1/13 - 6/30/14	\$ 233,968.14						\$ 233,968.14
	9834	Habitat for Hummanity 2nd	7/1/13 - 6/30/14	\$ 85,000.00						\$ 85,000.00
	9837	OPCS Star Estates	7/1/13 - 6/30/14	\$ 12,456.00						\$ 12,456.00
	9816	Downpayment Assistance								\$ -
		Habitat for Hummanity	7/1/13 - 6/30/14	\$ -	\$ 36,749.00			\$ 783,674.34		\$ 820,423.34
				\$ -	\$ -					\$ -
				\$ -						\$ -
				\$ -						\$ -
				\$ -						\$ -
				\$ -						\$ -
		Impact Fees waived for Affordable Housing	7/1/13 - 6/30/14		\$ 71,679.56					71,679.56
		MRB - City							\$ -	-
		G.O. Bonds							\$ -	-
				\$ 331,424.14	\$ 108,428.56	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 783,674.34	\$ -	\$ 1,223,527.04
Pima County										
	4261	Primavera Foundation	7/1/13 - 6/30/14	\$ 340,000.00						\$ 340,000.00
		Habitat For Humanity	7/1/13 - 6/30/14			\$ 15,002.00		\$ 4,780.00		\$ 19,782.00
										\$ -
				\$ -						\$ -
	9897	Downpayment Assistance								\$ -
	4353	FHLB /WISH- County	7/1/13 - 6/30/14	\$ 15,000.00						\$ 15,000.00
	4390	FHLB /WISH- County	7/1/13 - 6/30/14	\$ 15,000.00						\$ 15,000.00
	4361	Dreammakers Gift	7/1/13 - 6/30/14	\$ 3,000.00						\$ 3,000.00
										\$ -
		MRB - Pima County							\$ -	\$ -
				\$ 373,000.00	\$ -	\$ 15,002.00	\$ -	\$ 4,780.00	\$ -	\$ 392,782.00
										\$ -
		Not >25% of the PJ Match Liability		\$ 704,424.14	\$ 108,428.56	\$ 15,002.00	\$ -	\$ 788,454.34	\$ -	\$ 1,616,309.04
		PR33 HOME Match Liability		540,779.12						
									\$ -	\$ -
										\$ 1,616,309.04
** Per HOME Program Regulations, Bond Financing amount cannot exceed 25% of the Primary Jurisdiction's total liability match for the program year.										
The surplus can be banked for use in future years.										

HOME Minority and Women-Owned Business

The City's Office of Equal Opportunity Programs has developed a Disadvantaged Business Enterprise Program to remedy past and current discrimination in contracting and procurement opportunities for disadvantaged businesses. It serves to create equal opportunity for those DBE firms doing business with the City of Tucson on projects receiving federal financial assistance. The program includes the publication of a monthly Certified Business Directory:

http://cms3.tucsonaz.gov/files/oeop/9_Sept_2013_DBE_Directory.pdf

The Housing and Community Development Department produces an Annual Minority Business Enterprises (MBE) and Women Business Enterprises (WBE) report which provides information on applicable HCD projects.

The following remedies are employed by the City of Tucson and HCD to address marketplace discrimination against minority (MBE) and women-owned (WBE) businesses:

- Consultation with new or developing businesses
- Certification of disadvantaged businesses
- Publication and distribution of a Disadvantaged Business Enterprise Directory
- Notification of DBEs of Invitation for Bids (IFB) and Requests for Proposals (RFP) relating to construction, procurement and professional services
- Holding of city construction project plans for use by DBEs
- Development and execution of seminars
- Conducting outreach activities to recruit DBEs into the city purchasing process, including workshops for small businesses and publications describing the city's purchasing process
- Providing assistance with purchasing procedures and policies
- Monitoring of purchasing efforts to ensure DBE access to the process

HCD has encouraged minority participation in its activities through its Section 3 Program. See:

<http://cms3.tucsonaz.gov/files/hcd/section3final020711.pdf> .

This program provides employment opportunities to low-income and project-area residents, and is supplemented by a commitment of funds for education and training.

HOME Assessments

a. As a result of a roughly 47% decrease in Federal funding since 2011, the City has suffered a significant, corresponding reduction in staffing over that period. With this decrease in capacity and a welcome increase in affordable rental development project applications, the PJ was essentially forced to defer on its established monitoring obligations and accompanying site visits. As the staffing deficiencies were addressed to a certain extent this past March, it is projected that our full monitoring component will resume in December, 2014.

b. The HOME PJ utilizes the existing affirmative marketing networks of both the City itself - with opportunities announced and posted on the City of Tucson/Housing and Community Development Department website, as well as through individual department contacts - and in cooperation with the multiple community partners with whom we collaborate on numerous local projects, spanning the wide spectrum from human and support services to rental housing development and the promotion of affordable home ownership. The PJ has utilized this and related strategies for the past several decades.

c. As conducted on all PJ affirmative marketing networks, and frankly, in all of our service coordination throughout the Tucson community, our outreach efforts to minority- and women-owned businesses is delivered through the City's own networks accessed through the City of Tucson website, as well as through our network of local non-profit agencies, which has produced very positive results as evidenced by the fact that more than 90% of our contractors/vendors are minority- and/or women-owned businesses. Moreover, this has been the trend over the past 20+ years.

15. HOMELESS

Homeless Needs

Identify actions taken to address needs of homeless persons.

Identify actions to help homeless persons make the transition to permanent housing and independent living.

Identify new Federal resources obtained from Homeless SuperNOFA.

Program Year 4 CAPER Homeless Needs response:

Actions Taken to Address Needs of Homeless Persons

The City has worked with the Tucson Pima Collaboration to End Homelessness (TPCH) in developing the local Continuum of Care Strategic Plan. The Strategic Plan was developed to further address the needs of homeless persons while meeting a HUD requirement to apply for funding and clarifying the priorities of TPCH for the present and future. This section identifies actions taken during FY 2014 to provide this continuum of care for homeless persons and persons with special needs, and indicates that the City exceeded Consolidated Plan goals. In FY 2014, 3,603 homeless individuals were assisted with case management, bed nights, employment, food and other crisis assistance.

During FY 2014, the City committed CDBG, ESG, and City General funds in the amount of \$1,189,035 to programs serving homeless persons. These programs served approximately 13 agencies providing shelters and services. Specific projects supported during FY 2014 are listed below. The goals of these projects are to prevent homelessness and assist individuals and families find permanent housing and regain self-sufficiency.

FY 2014 Project Tables – Assistance to HOMELESS persons CDBG and General Funds					
Agency	Project	Funding Source	Committed	Expended	Assisted
Emerge center Against Domestic Abuse	Crisis Assistance for Domestic Abuse Survivors	CDBG	\$175,720	\$175,720	375
Open Inn	Emergency Assistance and Shelter	CDBG	\$ 46,000	\$ 46,000	53
Open Inn	Transitional Apartment Living	GF	\$ 30,000	\$ 30,000	20
Our Family, new Beginnings	Emergency Shelter	CDBG	\$ 67,631	\$ 67,631	221
Primavera Foundation	Emergency Services Men’s Shelter	CDBG	\$ 89,056	\$ 89,056	416
Primavera Foundation	Primavera Works –Job Training and Ethical Day Labor	GF	\$ 55,000	\$ 55,000	642
Primavera Foundation	Women’s Services Program	GF	\$ 45,964	\$ 45,964	69
Salvation Army	Hospitality House Emergency Shelter	CDBG	\$ 19,040	\$ 19,040	217
Wingspan	Anti-Violence project	CDBG	\$ 30,000	\$ 30,000	57
Youth on Their Own	Special Needs	CDBG	\$ 30,800	\$ 30,800	135
TOTAL CDBG and GF:				\$589,211	2,205
Total ESG:				\$599,824	1,398
Total Homeless:			\$330 average	\$1,189,035	3,603

FY 2014 Project Tables – Assistance to HOMELESS persons Emergency Solutions Grant					
Agency	Project and IDIS #	Funding Source	Committed	Expended	Assisted
Chicanos Por La Causa	Emergency Assistance to Prevent Homelessness #4219	ESG/PREV	\$ 55,501	\$ 40,592	16
CODAC	Project Recovery #4310	ESG/RRH	\$ 27,156	\$ 16,968	18
Emerge! Center Against Domestic Abuse	Rapid Rehousing for Survivors of Domestic Violence #4218/4240/4310	ESG/RRH	\$158,162	\$158,162	128
Emerge! Center Against Domestic Abuse	Emergency Shelter Support #4312	ESG/ESS	\$ 25,469	\$ 25,469	525
Esperanza En Escalante	Shelter Plus Care Homelessness Prevention #4309	ESG/PREV	\$ 38,000	\$ 2,602	9
La Frontera, RAPP Project Connect	RAAP Street Outreach #4220	ESG/SO	\$ 24,829	\$ 16,516	120
Old Pueblo Community Services	OPCS Street Outreach#4220/4311	ESG/SO	\$ 47,053	\$ 32,667	121
Old Pueblo Community Services	OPCS Rapid Rehousing #4240	ESG/RRH	\$ 38,529	\$ 35,904	16
Open Inn	Emergency Assistance and Shelter #4221/4312	ESG/ESS	\$ 50,094	\$ 50,094	40
Our Family Services	New Beginnings Homeless Prevention #4218/4219/4309/4310	ESG/PREV	\$ 85,589	\$ 76,920	83
Our Family Services	Rapid Rehousing #4310	ESG/RRH	\$ 52,277	\$ 43,544	41
Pima County	HMIS #4313 & 4317	ESG/HMIS	\$10,000	\$ 5,000	N/A
Primavera Foundation	Emergency Family Shelter #4221/4312	ESG/ESS	\$67,059	\$ 67,059	281
City of Tucson	Administration #4303		\$ 56,256	\$ 56,256	
Total ESG Funds:			\$733,974	\$599,824	1,398

Actions taken to help homeless persons make the transition to permanent housing and independent living.

The City of Tucson has funded the following Independent Living Programs:

- Emerge! Rapid Rehousing for Survivors of Domestic Violence
- Our Family Services Rapid Rehousing
- Open Inn, Inc. - Transitional Apartment Living
- Primavera Foundation - Transitional Housing – Women’s Services
- Public Housing Authority Set Aside for Homeless Persons

Federal Resources obtained from Homeless SuperNOFA.

Total FY 2014 Continuum of Care for Tucson/Pima County is \$8,448,988.

Specific Homeless Prevention Elements

Identify actions taken to prevent homelessness.

Program Year 4 CAPER Specific Housing Prevention Elements response:

Prevention services funded by the City of Tucson include programs aimed at keeping people in their homes by providing rent and mortgage assistance, utility payment assistance to prevent eviction, case management, housing counseling, landlord/tenant mediation/legal services, and behavioral health services, Information & Referral services, income maintenance programs and food stamps, job training and placement, and guardianship/ representative payee. In addition, prevention programs include supportive education about an owner's/landlord's specific requirements, and information on tenants' rights and obligations under Arizona law.

Homeless Prevention programs:

Chicanos Por La Causa – Emergency Assistance
Esperanza En Escalante – Shelter Plus Care
Our Family – New Beginnings
Primavera Foundation – Crisis Emergency Services
Primavera Foundation – Women's Services Program
Youth on Their Own – Special Needs

Emergency Solutions Grants (ESG)

1. **Identify actions to address emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless individuals and families (including significant subpopulations such as those living on the streets).**
2. **Assessment of Relationship of ESG Funds to Goals and Objectives**
 - a. **Evaluate progress made in using ESG funds to address homeless and homeless prevention needs, goals, and specific objectives established in the Consolidated Plan.**
 - b. **Detail how ESG projects are related to implementation of comprehensive homeless planning strategy, including the number and types of individuals and persons in households served with ESG funds.**
3. **Matching Resources**
 - a. **Provide specific sources and amounts of new funding used to meet match as required by 42 USC 11375(a)(1), including cash resources, grants, and staff salaries, as well as in-kind contributions such as the value of a building or lease, donated materials, or volunteer time.**
4. **State Method of Distribution**
 - a. **States must describe their method of distribution and how it rated and selected its local government agencies and private nonprofit organizations acting as subrecipients.**
5. **Activity and Beneficiary Data**
 - a. **Completion of attached Emergency Solutions Grant Program Performance Chart or other reports showing ESGP expenditures by type of activity. Also describe any problems in collecting, reporting, and evaluating the reliability of this information.**
 - b. **Homeless Discharge Coordination**
 - c. **As part of the government developing and implementing a homeless discharge coordination policy, ESG homeless prevention funds may be used to assist very-low income individuals and families at risk of becoming homeless after being released from publicly funded institutions such as health care facilities, foster care or other youth facilities, or corrections institutions or programs.**
 - d. **Explain how your government is instituting a homeless discharge coordination policy, and how ESG homeless prevention funds are being used in this effort.**

Program Year 4 CAPER ESG response:

1. Actions to Address Emergency Shelter and Transitional Housing Needs

Emergency shelter programs are committed to directing clients seeking shelter toward more permanent solutions through the community's homeless services network. The providers in our community utilize the Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) to further their efforts. Data gathered through the HMIS System provides our community and its providers a better understanding of current trends, changing service needs and helps us to identify special populations experiencing homelessness.

Transitional Housing programs seek to empower homeless participants by providing affordable rental housing, combined with case management services, substance abuse counseling, job training and placement services. Services are tailored to meet the needs of each individual household. Transitional programs work to prepare participants for their transition to permanent housing once income sources have been identified and increased, and linkage with community services and support networks have been secured.

2. Assessment of Relationship of ESG funds to Goals and Objectives

The City's goal has been to increase transitional beds. However, as HUD's goals have shifted to prioritize permanent housing and rapid rehousing for homeless persons, more funds have shifted to permanent housing and supportive services.

3. ESG Program Match

The ESG Program has a dollar for dollar match requirement. In FY 2014, the City of Tucson did not meet the match requirements for this program, however, these are two year agreements and match will be provided by agencies as required, at 100%, for each contract. Sources of match funding are listed in the following chart.

ESG FY 2014 Program Match

**EMERGENCY SOLUTIONS GRANT
MATCH FY13/14**

	Local Government	Private	Fee/Program Income	Other Federal	Total
Chicanos Por La Causa	\$ 33,885.63	\$ 13,882.87	\$ 20,931.44	\$ -	\$ 68,699.94
CODAC	\$ -	\$ 424.00	\$ -	\$ 16,968.00	\$ 17,392.00
Emerge! Center Against Domestic Abuse	\$ 38,521.55	\$ 118,345.30	\$ -	\$ 27,381.45	\$ 184,248.30
Esperanza En Escalante	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,601.60	\$ -	\$ 2,601.60
La Frontera	\$ 15,731.99	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 15,731.99
Old Pueblo Community Services	\$ 3,720.36	\$ 1,312.83	\$ 80,177.86	\$ -	\$ 85,211.05
Open Inn	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 50,093.96	\$ 50,093.96
Our Family Services	\$ 92,257.37	\$ 6,204.81	\$ 27,077.15	\$ -	\$ 125,539.33
Pima County	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Primavera Foundation	\$ -	\$ 22,579.00	\$ -	\$ 23,250.00	\$ 45,829.00
City of Tucson Administration	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Total ESG Match	\$ 184,116.90	\$ 162,748.81	\$ 130,788.05	\$ 117,693.41	\$ 595,347.17

4. Stated Method of Distribution

In FY 2104 the City advertised priorities in a Request for Proposals (RFP) citing the estimated amount of entitlement funding that would be available based on what was received for FY 2013. Agencies submitted RFPs, which were reviewed by a committee made up of several members of the Continuum of Care, other funding representatives and a community member. The funding recommendations were made to the City Manager and were adopted by the Mayor and Council as part of the City's annual update to the Consolidated Plan. Adjustments to agency awards were made to reflect the actual ESG entitlement amount received for the FY 2014 Annual Action Plan.

5. Activity and Beneficiary Data –

FY 2014 Project Tables – Assistance to HOMELESS persons Emergency Solutions Grant					
Agency	Project and IDIS #	Funding Source	Committed	Expended	Assisted
Chicanos Por La Causa	Emergency Assistance to Prevent Homelessness #4219	ESG/PREV	\$ 55,501	\$ 40,592	16
CODAC	Project Recovery #4310	ESG/RRH	\$ 27,156	\$ 16,968	18
Emerge! Center Against Domestic Abuse	Rapid Rehousing for Survivors of Domestic Violence #4218/4240/4310	ESG/RRH	\$158,162	\$158,162	128
Emerge! Center Against Domestic Abuse	Emergency Shelter Support #4312	ESG/ESS	\$ 25,469	\$ 25,469	525
Esperanza En Escalante	Shelter Plus Care Homelessness Prevention #4309	ESG/PREV	\$ 38,000	\$ 2,602	9
La Frontera, RAPP Project Connect	RAAP Street Outreach #4220	ESG/SO	\$ 24,829	\$ 16,516	120
Old Pueblo Community Services	OPCS Street Outreach#4220/4311	ESG/SO	\$ 47,053	\$ 32,667	121
Old Pueblo Community Services	OPCS Rapid Rehousing #4240	ESG/RRH	\$ 38,529	\$ 35,904	16
Open Inn	Emergency Assistance and Shelter #4221/4312	ESG/ESS	\$ 50,094	\$ 50,094	40
Our Family Services	New Beginnings Homeless Prevention #4218/4219/4309/4310	ESG/PREV	\$ 85,589	\$ 76,920	83
Our Family Services	Rapid Rehousing #4310	ESG/RRH	\$ 52,277	\$ 43,544	41
Pima County	HMIS #4313 & 4317	ESG/HMIS	\$10,000	\$5,000	N/A
Primavera Foundation	Emergency Family Shelter #4221/4312	ESG/ESS	\$67,059	\$67.059	281
City of Tucson	Administration #4303		\$ 56,256	\$56,256	
Total ESG Funds:			\$733,974	\$599,824	1,398

Homeless Discharge Coordination

The Discharge Planning Committee of Tucson Pima Collaboration to end Homelessness advocates for and provides education to young adults exiting foster care and individuals that are homeless being discharged from hospitals, jails, prisons and other institutions. The committee also develops and distributes a resource list appropriate to each population served and provides the information to all discharge planning staff who assist homeless clients. The educational handout serves as a referral resource and provides hospital, jail and prison discharge planning staff, foster care staff with information about accessibility to all homeless shelters, entry requirements, contact names of shelter staff, and addresses.

16. COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

Community Development

- 1. Assessment of Relationship of CDBG Funds to Goals and Objectives**
 - a. Assess use of CDBG funds in relation to the priorities, needs, goals, and specific objectives in the Consolidated Plan, particularly the highest priority activities.
 - b. Evaluate progress made toward meeting goals for providing affordable housing using CDBG funds, including the number and types of households served.
 - c. Indicate the extent to which CDBG funds were used for activities that benefited extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income persons.
- 2. Changes in Program Objectives**
 - a. Identify the nature of and the reasons for any changes in program objectives and how the jurisdiction would change its program as a result of its experiences.
- 3. Assessment of Efforts in Carrying Out Planned Actions**
 - a. Indicate how grantee pursued all resources indicated in the Consolidated Plan.
 - b. Indicate how grantee provided certifications of consistency in a fair and impartial manner.
 - c. Indicate how grantee did not hinder Consolidated Plan implementation by action or willful inaction.
- 4. For Funds Not Used for National Objectives**
 - a. Indicate how use of CDBG funds did not meet national objectives.
 - b. Indicate how did not comply with overall benefit certification.
- 5. Anti-displacement and Relocation – for activities that involve acquisition, rehabilitation or demolition of occupied real property**
 - a. Describe steps actually taken to minimize the amount of displacement resulting from the CDBG-assisted activities.
 - b. Describe steps taken to identify households, businesses, farms or nonprofit organizations who occupied properties subject to the Uniform Relocation Act or Section 104(d) of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, as amended, and whether or not they were displaced, and the nature of their needs and preferences.
 - c. Describe steps taken to ensure the timely issuance of information notices to displaced households, businesses, farms, or nonprofit organizations.
- 6. Low/Mod Job Activities – for economic development activities undertaken where jobs were made available but not taken by low- or moderate-income persons**
 - a. Describe actions taken by grantee and businesses to ensure first consideration was or will be given to low/mod persons.
 - b. List by job title of all the permanent jobs created/retained and those that were made available to low/mod persons.
 - c. If any of jobs claimed as being available to low/mod persons require special skill, work experience, or education, provide a description of steps being taken or that will be taken to provide such skills, experience, or education.
- 7. Low/Mod Limited Clientele Activities – for activities not falling within one of the categories of presumed limited clientele low and moderate income benefit**
 - a. Describe how the nature, location, or other information demonstrates the activities benefit a limited clientele at least 51% of whom are low- and moderate-income.
- 8. Program income received**
 - a. Detail the amount of program income reported that was returned to each individual revolving fund, e.g., housing rehabilitation, economic development, or other type of revolving fund.
 - b. Detail the amount repaid on each float-funded activity.
 - c. Detail all other loan repayments broken down by the categories of housing rehabilitation, economic development, or other.
 - d. Detail the amount of income received from the sale of property by parcel.

9. **Prior period adjustments – where reimbursement was made this reporting period for expenditures (made in previous reporting periods) that have been disallowed, provide the following information:**
 - a. The activity name and number as shown in IDIS;
 - b. The program year(s) in which the expenditure(s) for the disallowed activity(ies) was reported;
 - c. The amount returned to line-of-credit or program account; and
 - d. Total amount to be reimbursed and the time period over which the reimbursement is to be made, if the reimbursement is made with multi-year payments.

10. **Loans and other receivables**
 - a. List the principal balance for each float-funded activity outstanding as of the end of the reporting period and the date(s) by which the funds are expected to be received.
 - b. List the total number of other loans outstanding and the principal balance owed as of the end of the reporting period.
 - c. List separately the total number of outstanding loans that are deferred or forgivable, the principal balance owed as of the end of the reporting period, and the terms of the deferral or forgiveness.
 - d. Detail the total number and amount of loans made with CDBG funds that have gone into default and for which the balance was forgiven or written off during the reporting period.
 - e. Provide a List of the parcels of property owned by the grantee or its subrecipients that have been acquired or improved using CDBG funds and that are available for sale as of the end of the reporting period.

11. **Lump sum agreements**
 - a. Provide the name of the financial institution.
 - b. Provide the date the funds were deposited.
 - c. Provide the date the use of funds commenced.
 - d. Provide the percentage of funds disbursed within 180 days of deposit in the institution.

12. **Housing Rehabilitation – for each type of rehabilitation program for which projects/units were reported as completed during the program year**
 - a. Identify the type of program and number of projects/units completed for each program.
 - b. Provide the total CDBG funds involved in the program.
 - c. Detail other public and private funds involved in the project.

13. **Neighborhood Revitalization Strategies – for grantees that have HUD-approved neighborhood revitalization strategies**
 - a. Describe progress against benchmarks for the program year. For grantees with Federally-designated EZs or ECs that received HUD approval for a neighborhood revitalization strategy, reports that are required as part of the EZ/EC process shall suffice for purposes of reporting progress.

Program Year 4 CAPER Community Development :

**1. CDBG CONSOLIDATED PLAN –
PRIORITIES, NEEDS, GOALS AND OBJECTIVES**

During FY 2014, the City of Tucson received \$8,544,580 in CDBG entitlement funds (includes Pima County HOME funds). For fiscal year 2014, 81% of the City of Tucson's CDBG allocation, excluding administration/planning funds, was expended to serve low/moderate income persons.

Families assisted under the federal regulation for "direct benefit" are qualified through an application process. Eligibility is based on documentation of total household income for the previous year. Typically, tax returns, pay check stubs, benefit letters and evidence of assets are used to document eligibility under the HUD guidelines. This information must be maintained in project files.

Activities selected for funding met the goals of the highest priorities listed in the Five-Year Consolidated Plan, which primarily focused on housing.

The following chart illustrates how CDBG funds were used to meet the objectives stated in the Consolidated Plan and the FY 2014 Annual Action Plan.

Consolidated Plan Objectives and CDBG Funds

Housing Activities		CDBG Funds
Homeowners (Rehabilitation Activities)		\$2,587,855.87
Neighborhood Revitalization		CDBG Funds
Public Facilities/ Historic Preservation Projects		\$314,655.37
Public Services		CDBG Funds
Public Services		\$781,334.48
Planning Activities		CDBG Funds
Planning Activities (includes Fair Housing funded by admin)		\$ 74,973.98
Total Project Expenditures:		\$3,758,819.70
Administration		CDBG Funds
Administration (less planning activities)		\$846,848.12
TOTAL ALL CDBG		\$4,605,667.82
Economic Development		None expended in FY 2014

2. Changes in Program Objectives

There were no changes in the CDBG program objectives during FY 2014. The City began to market the Section 108 program to organizations seeking gap financing for loans of between \$2 million and \$10 million. A CDBG Target Area investment strategy was implemented.

3. Efforts to Carry Out Annual Action Plan Activities

The City of Tucson has ensured consistency with Consolidated Plan priorities and with HUD programs by providing certifications of consistency in a fair and impartial manner. The Consortium requires that agencies provide copies of their applications for funding, or a detailed executive summary before a letter of consistency is granted.

In FY2014, the following agencies received Consolidated Plan Certifications for the proposed project:

Agency	Federal Program	Activity
Public Housing Authority	Public Housing/Section 8	Plan

4. CDBG Funds Not Used to Meet Specified National Objectives

All Community Development Block Grant funds were utilized exclusively to achieve specific national objectives.

5. Relocation Due to Acquisition, Rehabilitation or Demolition of occupied Real Property

No permanent relocation occurred during FY 2014 as a result of acquisition, rehabilitation or demolition activities. The City acquires vacant buildings for its affordable housing programs. The City’s priority is to minimize displacement through the use of unoccupied properties. However, the City has a set of procedures to facilitate relocation and provide benefits to families as necessary. These procedures outline noticing requirements, relocation assistance, eligibility determination calculations, estimated costs for relocation and the timeline for implementing each step.

The City has implemented an emergency safety net program for families that are homeless or displaced from their housing. This program coordinates the efforts of homeless shelter and service providers, building safety code inspectors, and organizations providing affordable housing units.

Moreover, the City has adopted an Optional Relocation Plan that provides for short term assistance to qualified household whom are temporarily displaced. The Optional Relocation Plan can be viewed at the following website under plans and reports: <http://www.tucsonaz.gov/hcd>

6. Economic Development Activities

Economic Development Activities

The City's Consolidated Plan listed Economic Development Objectives (Page 83) as follows:

1. Support economic development activities and employment, focusing on employment convenient to public transportation and working in partnership with workforce investment agencies
2. Develop a microbusiness loan program in cooperation with the Industrial Development authorities to provide additional resources for small and growing business, focusing on the City of South Tucson and rural areas
3. Work cooperatively with workforce investment agencies, employers and nonprofit agencies to provide job training and employment services
4. Incorporate training for contractors and other private sector entities to increase understanding of and participation in HUD programs, with emphasis on Section 3 and Labor Standards that promote economic self-sufficiency

Revolving Loan Activity - The Business Development Finance Corporation revolving loan had a balance of \$160,153.20 as of June 30, 2014. The 2 current loan recipients have generated 5 low/moderate income jobs, which met the targeted number of full-time positions to be created for those activities. No new positions were created this year. The program income for FY2014 was \$8,897.28.

Section 108 Program - The City's request for loan guarantee assistance under Section 108 of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974 was approved by HUD on March 7, 2012. This program provides communities with a means of leveraging their CDBG funds to obtain additional financing resources for economic development, public facilities, large scale physical development projects, housing rehabilitation, etc. The City began to market the program to organizations seeking gap financing for loans of between \$2 million and \$10 million. See: <http://finance.tucsonaz.gov/business/business-incentives-and-assistance-programs>

On February 10, 2014, HUD issued authorization for the City to proceed with the 'ADA Sidewalks & Curbs Project' in amount of \$1,769,000. This Section 108 infrastructure project will permit the installation of ADA improvements in concert with street projects funded through local Proposition 409 funds. The loan will be repaid over an 18 year term, and the City will initially use its annual CDBG allocation to make loan repayments. It is anticipated that construction activities will begin during FY 2015. See: <http://www.tucsonaz.gov/hcd/whats-new>

Microenterprise Assistance (570.201(o))

During February of 2014, The City of Tucson published an RFP for FY 2015 for proposals from eligible non-profit agencies. Projects were considered that provided an acceptable combination of technical assistance and training for existing or start-up microenterprises. Proposed services could include: classroom instruction, personal development workshops and individual technical assistance sessions to develop business plans. A citizen review committee recommended one project for FY 2015. This allocation of resources supported the following economic development policies contained in the November 5, 2013 voter-adopted General Plan: 1) Support and expand entrepreneurship through partnerships, technical assistance, and incentives (JW4) and, 2) Provide assistance and incentives to encourage entrepreneurial efforts and technological innovations that lead to local business development and expansion (BC4).

7. Limited Clientele

City projects served a total of 15,000 low- and moderate-income limited clientele using CDBG, HOME, ESG and HOPWA funds in FY 2014. In addition, City of Tucson General Funds provided services to 13,685 primarily low- and moderate-income persons.

8. Program Income

The program income identified below was earned during FY 2014. The full amount of CDBG program income received was entered into the Integrated Disbursements and Information System (IDIS), and was drawn down against expenditures attributable to FY2014. The City did not have excess program income on hand on June 30, 2014.

Source	Amount
CDBG	\$ 138,694.08
HOME	\$ 433,025.50
NSP 1	\$ 267,969.93
NSP 3	\$ 345,167.21
Total	\$1,184,857.00

9. Prior Period Adjustments - None

10. Loans and other Receivables - Balances of Loans and Liens as of June 30, 2014 was \$23,199,946.41. The City tracks program income and balances for the following:

- General fund
- Tucson Housing Trust Fund
- CDBG Liens
- HOME Liens
- HRARP
- HOPE III
- Housing Trust Fund
- LCHCP- Lead

11. Lump-Sum Agreements – Not Applicable

12. Housing Rehabilitation

FY 2014 Project Tables – Assistance to Home Owners				
Agency	Project and IDIS #	Funding Source and \$ Spent in FY 2014	Funds Expended (may include carry forward)	Units
Chicanos Por La Causa - HRC	Roof Replacement & Home Maintenance / Special Needs Repair #4179 (2012)	CDBG - \$46,345.36	\$48,424.58	6
Chicanos Por La Causa - HRC	Roof Replacement & Home Maintenance / Special Needs Repair #4286 (2013)	CDBG - \$103,240.29	\$103,240.29	19
City of Tucson- HCD	Housing Rehab Administration #4237 (2012)	CDBG \$73,408.82	\$73,408.82	
City of Tucson - HCD	Emergency Home Repair IDIS #3997 (2011)	CDBG - \$42,924.48	\$212,714.08	
City of Tucson- HCD	Emergency Home Repair #4236 (2012)	CDBG- \$150,000	\$150,000	
City of Tucson/HCD	Emergency Home Repair #4358 (2013)	CDBG- \$249,927.41	\$249,927.41	86
City of Tucson - HCD	Housing Rehab Administration #4231 (2013)	CDBG - \$1,523.44	\$1,523.44	
City of Tucson/HCD	City Neighborhood Initiatives #4252 (2012)	CDBG - \$163,205.36	\$163,205.36	This program is leverage
City of Tucson/HCD	City Neighborhood Initiatives Administration #4253 (2012)	CDBG - \$73,545.51	\$73,545.51	
City of Tucson/HCD	City Neighborhood Initiatives #4376 (2013)	CDBG - \$248,654.81	\$248,654.81	
City of Tucson/HCD/PCOA	Elderly Home Repair Program #4262 (2012)	CDBG \$21,878.50	\$57,138.89	
City of Tucson/HCD/PCOA	Elderly Home Repair Program #4334 (2013)	CDBG \$62,771.21	\$62,771.21	22
City of Tucson/HCD/PCOA	Elderly Home Repair Program Administration #4263 (2012)	CDBG \$14,298.36	\$26,333.10 Draw Through	
HRC Environmental	Environmental for Program Year 2012 #4322 (2012)	CDBG -\$80,000	\$80,000	
HRC Environmental	Environmental for Program Year 2013 #4387 (2013)	CDBG -\$8,617.39	\$8,617.39	
Community Home Repair Projects of Arizona (CHRA) - HRC	City Minor Emergency and Special needs Repair #4285 (2013)	CDBG - \$171,389	\$171,389	74
DIRECT Center for Independent Living - HRC	Home Access Program #4184 (2012)	CDBG \$2,577.18	\$110,700	24
DIRECT Center for Independent Living - HRC	Home Access Program #4287 (2013)	CDBG \$129,815.25	\$129,815.25	31
DIRECT Center for Independent Living - HRC	Special Needs Program #4185 (2012)	CDBG \$55,894.72	\$55,894.72	13

Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report

Habitat for Humanity – HRC	Preserve a Home #4335 (2013)	CDBG \$ 0	0	
Old Pueblo Community Services - HRC	Sustainable Rehabilitation #4187 (2012)	CDBG \$62,611.33	\$76,499.99	7
Old Pueblo Community Services - HRC	Sustainable Rehabilitation #4288 (2013)	CDBG \$2,658.25	\$2,658.25	0
TMM Family Services - HRC	Midtown homeowner Housing Rehab Program #4191 (2012)	CDBG \$15,494.60	\$81,000	10
TMM Family Services - HRC	Midtown homeowner Housing Rehab Program #4289 (2013)	CDBG \$101,230.15	\$101,230.15	10
Tucson Urban League - HRC	City Emergency Home Repair #4290 (2013)	CDBG- \$189,521.98	\$189,521.98	31
Tucson Urban League – HRC	South Park Rehabilitation #4291 (2013)	CDBG – \$61,760.12	\$61,760.12	5
City of Tucson/HCD	VANS Demolition #4321 (2012)	CDBG \$286,606.47	\$286,606.47	Closed out
City of Tucson/HCD	Lead Hazard Control Program Match #4001 (2011)	CDBG- \$115,826.34	\$127,174.54	17
City of Tucson/HCD	Lead Hazard Control Program Match #4345 (2013)	CDBG- \$45,056.89	\$45,056.89	149
City of Tucson/HCD	Lead Hazard Control Administration #4225 (2013)	CDBG - \$1,636.31	\$1,636.31	
City of Tucson/HCD	Lead Hazard Control Administration #4225 (2012)	CDBG - \$4,691.33	\$5,691.33	
City of Tucson/HCD	Optional Relocation Plan #4224 (2011)	CDBG- \$202.40	\$3714.62	program leverage
City of Tucson/HCD	Optional Relocation Plan #4359 (2013)	CDBG- \$542.61	\$542.61	
Total CDBG Rehab:		\$2,587,855.87	\$3,009,397.12 or \$5,971.03 average cost per unit	504/475 Goal

13. Neighborhood Revitalization Strategies – No designated NRSAs.

17. Antipoverty Strategy

1. Describe actions taken during the last year to reduce the number of persons living below the poverty level.

Program Year 4 CAPER Antipoverty Strategy response:

1. ANTIPOVERTY STRATEGY

Most activities undertaken with CDBG, HOME, ESG and HOPWA funds are efforts to reduce the number of persons living in poverty and improve the quality of life for city residents. The City will continue to support emergency assistance programs, and a variety of other support services that are used by low-income households to obtain basic necessities. The main goal is to prevent very low-income persons, especially those earning 30% or less of median income, from becoming homeless. The long-term goal is to provide more affordable housing options so that this group is not forced to spend all their available funds for housing, with little left over for other needs.

As outlined in the Annual Plan, the City has specific strategies that are directly linked to the reduction of poverty. These strategies, which are illustrated throughout this document, are:

- Employment Opportunities
- Self-Sufficiency Assistance
- Support Services
- Affordable Housing

In FY 2013 the Mayor and Council adopted a CDBG Target Area. The boundaries of this target area were established based on a 2012 update to the City of Tucson Poverty and Urban Stress report. Strategic investment in CDBG Target Area neighborhoods, facilities and services are underway and are prioritized for future entitlement year allocations. This CDBG Target Area is located within the boundaries of the contiguous census tracts showing disproportionately high poverty that qualifies Tucson to apply for a HUD Promise Zone designation in the 2014 fall 2014 solicitation.

18. NON-HOMELESS SPECIAL NEEDS

Non-homeless Special Needs

1. Identify actions taken to address special needs of persons that are not homeless but require supportive housing, (including persons with HIV/AIDS and their families).

Program Year 4 CAPER Non-homeless Special Needs response:

NON-HOMELESS SPECIAL NEEDS OBJECTIVES

The following table outlines the non-homeless special needs populations’ priority needs and goals identified in the Five-Year Consolidated Plan, and FY 2014 accomplishments.

Population	Priority	Funds Expended	Annual Goals (Planned)	Output (Accomplishments)
Elderly Supportive Services	H	\$300,500	6,205 (elderly/special needs)	1229
Elderly Housing Services	H	\$84,649.71	See above	22
Disabled Supportive Services	H	\$148,473	See above	232
Disabled Housing Services (includes housing rehab)	H	\$295,709.97	See above	68
TOTAL		\$809,332.68		1551

Elderly Supportive Services

- Interfaith Community Services – Safe & Healthy Senior/Disabled Independence Program
- Mobile Meals of Tucson – Mobile Meals
- Our Family Services – Senior Companion Program
- Pima Council on Aging – Elderly Independence
- Pima Council on Aging – Family Caregiver Support
- Pima Council on Aging – Nutrition Services for the Elderly
- Pima Council on Aging – Homecare Services for the Elderly
- Tucson Urban League, Inc. – Senior Lunch and Recreation Program
- Tucson Community Food Bank – Emergency Food Assistance Program

Elderly Housing Services

- Pima Council on Aging – Home Repair, Adaptation and Maintenance for the Elderly
- Pima Council on Aging – CHRPA Repair Assistance

Disabled Supportive Services

- Arts for All, Inc. – Supporting Low Income Families with Childcare
- Catholic Community Services/Community Outreach Program for the Deaf – Building Skills for Employment
- Catholic Community Services/Community Outreach Program for the Deaf – Resources and Support for Deaf, Hard of Hearing or Deaf or Blind
- Goodwill Industries of So. Az – Ready-to-earn Computer Customer Service Training
- Tucson Community Food Bank – Emergency Food Assistance Program
- SAAF Case Management Services for People Living with HIV/AIDS

Disabled Housing Services

- DIRECT Center for Independence – Home Access Program/Adaptations \$188,287.14 FY 2014
- Interfaith Community Services – Safe & Healthy Senior/Disabled Independence Program

The City of Tucson Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) Program was implemented to remedy past and current discrimination in contracting and procurement opportunities for disadvantaged businesses. It serves to create equal opportunity for those DBE firms doing business with the City of Tucson on projects receiving federal financial assistance. Certified DBE firms are eligible to participate in these City of Tucson administered contracts and are listed in a regularly-published directory used by governmental, public, and private procurement entities soliciting bids on projects.

Specific HOPWA Objectives

1. Overall Assessment of Relationship of HOPWA Funds to Goals and Objectives
Grantees should demonstrate through the CAPER and related IDIS reports the progress they are making at accomplishing identified goals and objectives with HOPWA funding. Grantees should demonstrate:
 - a. That progress is being made toward meeting the HOPWA goal for providing affordable housing using HOPWA funds and other resources for persons with HIV/AIDS and their families through a comprehensive community plan;
 - b. That community-wide HIV/AIDS housing strategies are meeting HUD's national goal of increasing the availability of decent, safe, and affordable housing for low-income persons living with HIV/AIDS;
 - c. That community partnerships between State and local governments and community-based non-profits are creating models and innovative strategies to serve the housing and related supportive service needs of persons living with HIV/AIDS and their families;
 - d. That through community-wide strategies Federal, State, local, and other resources are matched with HOPWA funding to create comprehensive housing strategies;
 - e. That community strategies produce and support actual units of housing for persons living with HIV/AIDS; and finally,
 - f. That community strategies identify and supply related supportive services in conjunction with housing to ensure the needs of persons living with HIV/AIDS and their families are met.
2. This should be accomplished by providing an executive summary (1-5 pages) that includes:
 - a. Grantee Narrative
 - i. Grantee and Community Overview
 - (1) A brief description of your organization, the area of service, the name of each project sponsor and a broad overview of the range/type of housing activities and related services
 - (2) How grant management oversight of project sponsor activities is conducted and how project sponsors are selected
 - (3) A description of the local jurisdiction, its need, and the estimated number of persons living with HIV/AIDS
 - (4) A brief description of the planning and public consultations involved in the use of HOPWA funds including reference to any appropriate planning document or advisory body
 - (5) What other resources were used in conjunction with HOPWA funded activities, including cash resources and in-kind contributions, such as the value of services or materials provided by volunteers or by other individuals or organizations
 - (6) Collaborative efforts with related programs including coordination and planning with clients, advocates, Ryan White CARE Act planning bodies, AIDS Drug Assistance Programs, homeless assistance programs, or other efforts that assist persons living with HIV/AIDS and their families.
 - ii. Project Accomplishment Overview

Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report

- (1) A brief summary of all housing activities broken down by three types: emergency or short-term rent, mortgage or utility payments to prevent homelessness; rental assistance; facility based housing, including development cost, operating cost for those facilities and community residences
 - (2) The number of units of housing which have been created through acquisition, rehabilitation, or new construction since 1993 with any HOPWA funds
 - (3) A brief description of any unique supportive service or other service delivery models or efforts
 - (4) Any other accomplishments recognized in your community due to the use of HOPWA funds, including any projects in developmental stages that are not operational.
- iii. Barriers or Trends Overview
- (1) Describe any barriers encountered, actions in response to barriers, and recommendations for program improvement
 - (2) Trends you expect your community to face in meeting the needs of persons with HIV/AIDS, and
 - (3) Any other information you feel may be important as you look at providing services to persons with HIV/AIDS in the next 5-10 years
- b. Accomplishment Data
- i. Completion of CAPER Performance Chart 1 of Actual Performance in the provision of housing (Table II-1 to be submitted with CAPER).
 - ii. Completion of CAPER Performance Chart 2 of Comparison to Planned Housing Actions (Table II-2 to be submitted with CAPER).

Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA) Program

Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) Measuring Performance Outcomes

OMB Number 2506-0133 (Expiration Date: 10/31/2014)

The CAPER report for HOPWA formula grantees provides annual information on program accomplishments that supports program evaluation and the ability to measure program beneficiary outcomes as related to: maintain housing stability; prevent homelessness; and improve access to care and support. This information is also covered under the Consolidated Plan Management Process (CPMP) report and includes Narrative Responses and Performance Charts required under the Consolidated Planning regulations. The public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 42 hours per manual response, or less if an automated data collection and retrieval system is in use, along with 60 hours for record keeping, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Grantees are required to report on the activities undertaken only, thus there may be components of these reporting requirements that may not be applicable. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

Housing Opportunities for Person with AIDS (HOPWA) Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) Measuring Performance

Part 1: Grantee Executive Summary

As applicable, complete the charts below to provide more detailed information about the agencies and organizations responsible for the administration and implementation of the HOPWA program. Chart 1 requests general Grantee Information and Chart 2 is to be completed for each organization selected or designated as a project sponsor, as defined by CFR 574.3. In Chart 3, indicate each subrecipient organization with a contract/agreement of \$25,000 or greater that assists grantees or project sponsors carrying out their administrative or evaluation activities. In Chart 4, indicate each subrecipient organization with a contract/agreement to provide HOPWA-funded services to client households. These elements address requirements in the Federal Funding and Accountability and Transparency Act of 2006 (Public Law 109-282).

Note: Please see the definition section for distinctions between project sponsor and subrecipient.

Note: If any information does not apply to your organization, please enter N/A. Do not leave any section blank.

4. Grantee Information

HUD Grant Number AZ-H12-F002 and AZ-H13-FOO2		Operating Year for this report 07/01/13 to 06/30/14			
Grantee Name City of Tucson Housing and Community Development Department					
Business Address		310 N. Commerce Park Loop			
City, County, State, Zip	Tucson	Pima	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Arizona	85745
Employer Identification Number (EIN) or Tax Identification Number (TIN)	86-6000266		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
DUN & Bradstreet Number (DUNs):	072450869		Central Contractor Registration (CCR): Is the grantee's CCR status currently active? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> If yes, provide CCR Number: 1JJA2		
*Congressional District of Grantee's Business Address	N/A				
*Congressional District of Primary Service Area(s)	N/A				
*City(ies) and County(ies) of Primary Service Area(s)	Cities: N/A		Counties: N/A		
Organization's Website Address www.tucsonaz.gov/hcd	Is there a waiting list(s) for HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance Services in the Grantee service Area? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If yes, explain in the narrative section what services maintain a waiting list and how this list is administered.				

* Service delivery area information only needed for program activities being directly carried out by the grantee.

5. Project Sponsor Information

Please complete Chart 2 for each organization designated or selected to serve as a project sponsor, as defined by CFR 574.3. Use this section to report on organizations involved in the direct delivery of services for client households. These elements address requirements in the Federal Financial Accountability and Transparency Act of 2006 (Public Law 109-282).

Note: Please see the definitions for distinctions between project sponsor and subrecipient.

Note: If any information does not apply to your organization, please enter N/A.

Project Sponsor Agency Name Southern Arizona AIDS Foundation		Parent Company Name, if applicable N/A	
Name and Title of Contact at Project Sponsor Agency	Luis Ortega, Director of Programs		
Email Address	lortega@saaf.org		
Business Address	375 S. Euclid Ave.		
City, County, State, Zip,	Tucson, Pima, Arizona, 85719		
Phone Number (with area code)	520-628-7223		
Employer Identification Number (EIN) or Tax Identification Number (TIN)	86-0864100	Fax Number (with area code) 520-628-7222	
DUN & Bradstreet Number (DUNS):	197335730		
Congressional District of Project Sponsor's Business Address	Congressional District 3		
Congressional District(s) of Primary Service Area(s)	Congressional Districts 2 & 3		
City(ies) and County(ies) of Primary Service Area(s)	Cities: Tucson	Counties: Pima	
Total HOPWA contract amount for this Organization for the operating year	\$464,853		
Organization's Website Address	www.saaf.org		
Is the sponsor a nonprofit organization? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Does your organization maintain a waiting list? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		
<i>Please check if yes and a faith-based organization.</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Please check if yes and a grassroots organization.</i> <input type="checkbox"/>	If yes, explain in the narrative how this list is administered.		

6. Administrative Subrecipient Information – N/A

Use Chart 3 to provide the following information for each subrecipient with a contract/agreement of \$25,000 or greater that assists project sponsors to carry out their administrative services but no services directly to client households. Agreements include: grants, subgrants, loans, awards, cooperative agreements, and other forms of financial assistance; and contracts, subcontracts, purchase orders, task orders, and delivery orders. (Organizations listed may have contracts with project sponsors) These elements address requirements in the Federal Funding and Accountability and Transparency Act of 2006 (Public Law 109- 282).

Note: Please see the definitions for distinctions between project sponsor and subrecipient.

Note: If any information does not apply to your organization, please enter N/A.

Subrecipient Name	N/A			Parent Company Name, if applicable
Name and Title of Contact at Subrecipient				
Email Address				
Business Address				
City, State, Zip, County				
Phone Number (with area code)	Fax Number (include area code)			
Employer Identification Number (EIN) or Tax Identification Number (TIN)				
DUN & Bradstreet Number (DUNs):				
North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) Code				
Congressional District of Subrecipient's Business Address				
Congressional District of Primary Service Area				
City (ies) and County (ies) of Primary Service Area(s)	Cities:		Counties:	
Total HOPWA Subcontract Amount of this Organization for the operating year				

7. Program Subrecipient Information – N/A

Complete the following information for each subrecipient organization providing HOPWA-funded services to client households. These organizations would hold a contract/agreement with a project sponsor(s) to provide these services. For example, a subrecipient organization may receive funds from a project sponsor to provide nutritional services for clients residing within a HOPWA facility-based housing program. Please note that subrecipients who work directly with client households must provide performance data for the grantee to include in Parts 2-7 of the CAPER.

Note: Please see the definition of a subrecipient for more information.

Note: Types of contracts/agreements may include: grants, sub-grants, loans, awards, cooperative agreements, and other forms of financial assistance; and contracts, subcontracts, purchase orders, task orders, and delivery orders.

Note: If any information is not applicable to the organization, please report N/A in the appropriate box. Do not leave boxes blank.

Sub-recipient Name	N/A			Parent Company Name, if applicable
Name and Title of Contact at Contractor/ Sub-contractor Agency				
Email Address				
Business Address				
City, County, State, Zip				
Phone Number (included area code)				Fax Number (include area code)
Employer Identification Number (EIN) or Tax Identification Number (TIN)				
DUN & Bradstreet Number (DUNs)				
North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) Code				
Congressional District of the Sub-recipient's Business Address				
Congressional District(s) of Primary Service Area				
City(ies) and County(ies) of Primary Service Area	Cities:		Counties:	
Total HOPWA Subcontract Amount of this Organization for the operating year				

2. Project Sponsor Information –

Please complete Chart 2 for each organization designated or selected to serve as a project sponsor, as defined by CFR 574.3. Use this section to report on organizations involved in the direct delivery of services for client households. These elements address requirements in the Federal Financial Accountability and Transparency Act of 2006 (Public Law 109-282).

Note: Please see the definitions for distinctions between project sponsor and subrecipient.

Note: If any information does not apply to your organization, please enter N/A.

Project Sponsor Agency Name City of Tucson Housing and Community Development Department, Housing Assistance Division		Parent Company Name, if applicable N/A	
Name and Title of Contact at Project Sponsor Agency	Rick Shear		
Email Address	Rick.Shear@tucsonaz.gov		
Business Address	310 N. Commerce Park Loop		
City, County, State, Zip,	Tucson, Pima, Arizona, 85745		
Phone Number (with area code)	520-837-5348		
Employer Identification Number (EIN) or Tax Identification Number (TIN)	86-6000266		
DUN & Bradstreet Number (DUNS):	072450869		
Congressional District of Project Sponsor's Business Address	Congressional District 3		
Congressional District(s) of Primary Service Area(s)	Congressional Districts 2 & 3		
City(ies) and County(ies) of Primary Service Area(s)	Cities: Tucson	Counties: Pima	
Total HOPWA contract amount for this Organization for the operating year	\$48,517		
Organization's Website Address	www.tucsonaz.gov/hcd		
Is the sponsor a nonprofit organization? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <i>Please check if yes and a faith-based organization.</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Please check if yes and a grassroots organization.</i> <input type="checkbox"/>	Does your organization maintain a waiting list? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> If yes, explain in the narrative how this list is administered.		

3. Administrative Subrecipient Information – N/A

Use Chart 3 to provide the following information for each subrecipient with a contract/agreement of \$25,000 or greater that assists project sponsors to carry out their administrative services but no services directly to client households. Agreements include: grants, subgrants, loans, awards, cooperative agreements, and other forms of financial assistance; and contracts, subcontracts, purchase orders, task orders, and delivery orders. (Organizations listed may have contracts with project sponsors) These elements address requirements in the Federal Funding and Accountability and Transparency Act of 2006 (Public Law 109- 282).

Note: Please see the definitions for distinctions between project sponsor and subrecipient.

Note: If any information does not apply to your organization, please enter N/A.

Subrecipient Name	N/A			Parent Company Name, if applicable
Name and Title of Contact at Subrecipient				
Email Address				
Business Address				
City, State, Zip, County				
Phone Number (with area code)	Fax Number (include area code)			
Employer Identification Number (EIN) or Tax Identification Number (TIN)				
DUN & Bradstreet Number (DUNs):				
North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) Code				
Congressional District of Subrecipient's Business Address				
Congressional District of Primary Service Area				
City (ies) and County (ies) of Primary Service Area(s)	Cities:		Counties:	
Total HOPWA Subcontract Amount of this Organization for the operating year				

4. Program Subrecipient Information – N/A

Complete the following information for each subrecipient organization providing HOPWA-funded services to client households. These organizations would hold a contract/agreement with a project sponsor(s) to provide these services. For example, a subrecipient organization may receive funds from a project sponsor to provide nutritional services for clients residing within a HOPWA facility-based housing program. Please note that subrecipients who work directly with client households must provide performance data for the grantee to include in Parts 2-7 of the CAPER.

Note: Please see the definition of a subrecipient for more information.

Note: Types of contracts/agreements may include: grants, sub-grants, loans, awards, cooperative agreements, and other forms of financial assistance; and contracts, subcontracts, purchase orders, task orders, and delivery orders.

Note: If any information is not applicable to the organization, please report N/A in the appropriate box. Do not leave boxes blank.

Sub-recipient Name	N/A			Parent Company Name, if applicable
Name and Title of Contact at Contractor/ Sub-contractor Agency				
Email Address				
Business Address				
City, County, State, Zip				
Phone Number (included area code)				Fax Number (include area code)
Employer Identification Number (EIN) or Tax Identification Number (TIN)				
DUN & Bradstreet Number (DUNs)				
North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) Code				
Congressional District of the Sub-recipient's Business Address				
Congressional District(s) of Primary Service Area				
City(ies) and County(ies) of Primary Service Area	Cities:		Counties:	
Total HOPWA Subcontract Amount of this Organization for the operating year				

5. Grantee Narrative and Performance Assessment

a. Grantee and Community Overview

Provide a one to three page narrative summarizing major achievements and highlights that were proposed and completed during the program year. Include a brief description of the grant organization, area of service, the name(s) of the program contact(s), and an overview of the range/type of housing activities provided. This overview may be used for public information, including posting on HUD's website. **Note:** *Text fields are expandable.*

In 2013-2014 the City of Tucson Community Services, as the grantee, contracted with the Southern Arizona AIDS Foundation (SAAF) as a project sponsor, to administer HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance in the form of Short-term Rent, Mortgage and Utility assistance (STRMU), Supportive Services (including case management and transportation), Emergency Shelter Vouchers (Short-term Supportive Facility) and Permanent Housing Placement Services (including move-in costs and housing prescreen assistance). The City of Tucson works through its Section 8 program as project sponsor to provide Tenant Based Rental Assistance (TBRA) with SAAF providing case management to those in the TBRA units. During 2013-2014 this HOPWA grant provided housing assistance to 239 households.

The project sponsor is the Southern Arizona AIDS Foundation (SAAF). SAAF is the result of a 1997 merger of the three primary AIDS service organizations in Tucson. The mission of the Southern Arizona AIDS Foundation is to create and sustain a healthier community through a compassionate, comprehensive response to HIV/AIDS. SAAF is a community-based organization in Southern Arizona providing case management, housing, and support services for people living with HIV/AIDS and their families; comprehensive prevention and education programs to reduce the rate of infection; and trainings and opportunities for community members to fill critical roles.

SAAF is a member of the Pima County HIV/AIDS Consortium and the Tucson Pima Collaboration to end Homelessness, the Continuum of Care for Tucson and Pima County.

The area of service is the City of Tucson, Arizona, and also includes areas outside the city limits within Pima County, Arizona that are part of the Public Housing Authority. The current prevalence of HIV and AIDS as reported by the Arizona Department of Health Services for Pima County is 2,422 cases (244.06 people per 100,000), with 54.9% of those reporting an AIDS diagnosis. Of the people receiving services through SAAF last year, 97% are low income, with 54% living below 30% of the AMI.

SAAF manages a diverse housing program supported through various HUD sources providing stable, well-maintained, affordable rental homes for people with HIV/AIDS who would otherwise be living in homeless or near-homeless conditions.

SAAF's permanent housing program includes 83 units owned and operated by SAAF at seven different locations in Tucson, subsidized through a combination of HUD PRAC 811, Continuum of Care/Supportive Housing Program, Continuum of Care/Shelter + Care, and HOPWA Competitive funds. SAAF also manages 89 tenant-based rental assistance units in partnership with the City of Tucson Section 8 Program, subsidized through Continuum of Care/Supportive Housing Program, Continuum of Care/Shelter + Care, and HOPWA Competitive and HOPWA Formula funds. SAAF has collaborative partnerships with

community behavioral and mental health agencies, which include 17 units of housing for SAAF clients. Over 275 people benefit from the SAAF housing program at any given time.

People living with HIV/AIDS are referred to the housing program through SAAF case management. SAAF maintains a waiting list and eligibility is determined through a fair and consistent application process.

The housing services provided by the HOPWA Formula contract are leveraged through funds from the Ryan White Program Part B, Pima County Outside Agency, private foundations, and corporate and donor fundraising. These support services include case management, dental services, medication assistance, complementary therapies, food programs and material assistance. These services help increase housing stability, address barriers to care, improve access to care and promote housing readiness.

Program contacts at SAAF are: J. Wendell Hicks, Executive Director and Luis Ortega, Director of Programs 375 S. Euclid Avenue, Tucson, AZ 85719 (520) 628-7223 and fax is (520) 628-7222 whicks@saaf.org and lortega@saaf.org.

b. Annual Performance under the Action Plan

Provide a narrative addressing each of the following four items:

1. Outputs Reported. Describe significant accomplishments or challenges in achieving the number of housing units supported and the number households assisted with HOPWA funds during this operating year compared to plans for this assistance, as approved in the Consolidated Plan/Action Plan. Describe how HOPWA funds were distributed during your program year among different categories of housing and geographic areas to address needs throughout the grant service area, consistent with approved plans.

During the period of July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014, the following services were provided to people living with HIV/AIDS through this contract.

HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance

- 10 households benefited from tenant based, permanent supportive housing units (proposed number was 8)
- 61 households received permanent housing placement assistance in the form of rental deposits and application fees (proposed number was 115 households)
- 26 households benefited from HOPWA emergency shelter assistance (Short-term Supportive Facility) prior to securing more permanent housing assistance (proposed number was 18)
- 186 households received assistance with HOPWA Short-term Rent, Mortgage and Utility assistance in order to maintain housing stability (proposed number was 110 households)

Case Management and Supportive Services

- 498 people living with HIV/AIDS received HOPWA-funded case management services and supportive services including transportation assistance, vital records to facilitate access to benefits, and limited substance abuse related services (proposed number was 425 people)

2. Outcomes Assessed. Assess your program's success in enabling HOPWA beneficiaries to establish and/or better maintain a stable living environment in housing that is safe, decent, and sanitary, and improve access to care. Compare current year results to baseline results for clients. Describe how program activities/projects contributed to meeting stated goals. If program did not achieve expected targets, please describe how your program plans to address challenges in program implementation and the steps currently being taken to achieve goals in next operating year. If your program exceeded program targets, please describe strategies the program utilized and how those contributed to program successes.

Increase or maintain housing stability for people living with HIV/AIDS

Tenant-based rental assistance

Ten (10) households received tenant-based rental assistance through this contract. **90% remained stably housed at contract year end.** Only one household moved out within the year and they moved to private housing in the community. One person died while in the program in this last fiscal year.

Permanent housing placement services

61 clients received assistance with permanent housing placement services including application fees and first month's rent and security deposits (not exceeding the equivalent of two months of rent costs) increasing housing stability.

Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report

During this contract year we saw a continued need for permanent housing placement assistance, but it was slightly less than projected. Most of this assistance reflects people applying for SAAF housing. SAAF's housing program was able to increase housing inventory for clients in need of tenant based rental assistance.

Short-term housing facilities

Twenty- Six (26) households were assisted with emergency hotel vouchers (Short-term Supported Facility)

Reduce the risk of homelessness for people living with HIV/AIDS

Short-term rent, mortgage and utility assistance

SAAF provided emergency short-term rent, mortgage, and utility assistance to 186 households. **65% of those receiving STRMU assistance are expected to maintain private housing without additional assistance or have moved into permanent supportive housing.**

Due to continued high utilization of HOPWA funds for STRMU and permanent housing assistance, SAAF continues to set limits regarding the frequency that clients can access the service within a year so that funds are available throughout the contract year.

Reports identify that 54% of clients receiving STRMU assistance are living below 30% of the AMI and over 80% are living below 50% of the AMI.

Increase or maintain access to medical care and support services for people living with HIV/AIDS

All clients receiving housing services through this contract also received comprehensive case management services. This includes an individual service plan with goals including maintaining stable housing and ensuring access to health care. Case managers work with clients to determine whether there is a need for more affordable housing or housing through a subsidized supportive housing program.

Of the 239 households served this year with HOPWA housing subsidy assistance and/or case management through this contract:

- 97% had a housing plan for maintaining or establishing stable on-going housing
- 97% had contact with their case manager consistent with the client's service plan
- 96% had regular contact with their primary health care provider
- 95% accessed and maintained medical insurance/assistance
- 80% successfully accessed or maintained qualifications for sources of income

Clients have access to support services through SAAF, funded by HOPWA and non-HOPWA sources that provide the support necessary to access and maintain housing and medical care. These services include case management, transportation, peer counseling, health insurance and cost-sharing assistance, food programs, substance abuse services, complementary therapies, and support groups.

Within the contract year, HOPWA-funded support services provided the following:

- 408 clients received transportation assistance
- 79 clients received substance abuse services in order to access and maintain housing
- 498 clients received case management and access to benefits and services

3. Coordination. Report on program coordination with other mainstream housing and supportive services resources, including the use of committed leveraging from other public and private sources that helped to address needs for eligible persons identified in the Consolidated Plan/Strategic Plan.

Both SAAF and the City of Tucson are members of the local Continuum of Care through the Tucson Pima Collaboration to End Homelessness. HOPWA-funded housing services are reported in the annual Continuum of Care application. SAAF and the City of Tucson also participate in the local HMIS and the HMIS Committee coordinated through the Tucson Pima Collaboration to End Homelessness.

Leveraged sources included in this report are funds from Ryan White Program Part B, local County sources (Pima County Outside Agency), SAAF community fundraising, and corporate and private foundations.

4. Technical Assistance. Describe any program technical assistance needs and how they would benefit program beneficiaries.

The grantee and sponsors have utilized technical assistance resources including One CPD Resource Exchange and training webinars.

The grantee and sponsors have utilized technical assistance resources including One CPD Resource Exchange and training webinars. SAAF has participated in a series of Housing First webinars and an in-person Housing First training conducted by Sam Tsemberis of Pathways to Housing, and a training conducted by Iain de Jong on the SPDAT tool to prioritize the most at risk persons to receive housing assistance. These trainings have helped us prioritize the most in need clients for housing assistance.

c. Barriers and Trends Overview

Provide a narrative addressing items 1 through 3. Explain how barriers and trends affected your program's ability to achieve the objectives and outcomes discussed in the previous section.

<input type="checkbox"/> HOPWA/HUD Regulations	<input type="checkbox"/> Planning	<input type="checkbox"/> Housing Availability	<input type="checkbox"/> Rent Determination and Fair Market Rents
<input type="checkbox"/> Discrimination/Confidentiality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Multiple Diagnoses	<input type="checkbox"/> Eligibility	<input type="checkbox"/> Technical Assistance or Training
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Supportive Services	<input type="checkbox"/> Credit History	<input type="checkbox"/> Rental History	<input type="checkbox"/> Criminal Justice History
<input type="checkbox"/> Housing Affordability	<input type="checkbox"/> Geography/Rural Access	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, please explain further	

1. Describe any barriers (including regulatory and non-regulatory) encountered in the administration or implementation of the HOPWA program, how they affected your program's ability to achieve the objectives and outcomes discussed, and, actions taken in response to barriers, and recommendations for program improvement. Provide an explanation for each barrier selected.

SAAF continues to see over 100 new clients a year in addition to people who return after a period of time and are again in need of services. Many of the new clients coming to SAAF have highly challenging and complex needs. Clients come with mental health issues, substance use issues, and medical conditions that can make accessing and following up with supportive services and care difficult, and many of these conditions are co-occurring. A number of clients are also experiencing homelessness which further exacerbates the challenges they face in accessing care.

The City of Tucson and Southern Arizona AIDS Foundation (SAAF) affirms the strong evidence base reported by the National AIDS Housing Coalition for housing as a key component of HIV treatment, care and prevention. Participants in SAAF's housing programs demonstrate access to medical care and supportive services and improved adherence to medical care.

2. Describe any trends in the community that may affect the way in which the needs of persons living with HIV/AIDS are being addressed, and provide any other information important to the future provision of services to this population.

The State of Arizona's rules prohibiting new enrollments of adults without children in the Arizona Health Care Cost Containment System (AHCCCS), the State's Medicaid program, was reversed changed in October 2013. Clients without children are now eligible for Medicaid in addition to being eligible for health care plans provided through the federal facilitated marketplace. There are changing funding priorities at the federal level with regards to HIV/AIDS care that may affect how Ryan White funded services are provided which could impact housing projects funded by HOPWA.

3. Identify any evaluations, studies, or other assessments of the HOPWA program that are available to the public.

N/A

d. Unmet Housing Needs: An Assessment of Unmet Housing Needs

In Chart 1, provide an assessment of the number of HOPWA-eligible households that require HOPWA housing subsidy assistance but are not currently served by any HOPWA-funded housing subsidy assistance in this service area.

In Row 1, report the total unmet need of the geographical service area, as reported in *Unmet Needs for Persons with HIV/AIDS*, Chart 1B of the Consolidated or Annual Plan(s), or as reported under HOPWA worksheet in the Needs Workbook of the Consolidated Planning Management Process (CPMP) tool.

Note: Report most current data available, through Consolidated or Annual Plan(s), and account for local housing issues, or changes in HIV/AIDS cases, by using combination of one or more of the sources in Chart 2.

If data is collected on the type of housing that is needed in Rows a. through c., enter the number of HOPWA-eligible households by type of housing subsidy assistance needed. For an approximate breakdown of overall unmet need by type of housing subsidy assistance refer to the Consolidated or Annual Plan (s), CPMP tool or local distribution of funds. Do not include clients who are already receiving HOPWA-funded housing subsidy assistance.

Refer to Chart 2, and check all sources consulted to calculate unmet need. Reference any data from neighboring states' or municipalities' Consolidated Plan or other planning efforts that informed the assessment of Unmet Need in your service area. **Note:** In order to ensure that the unmet need assessment for the region is comprehensive, HOPWA formula grantees should include those unmet needs assessed by HOPWA competitive grantees operating within the service area.

1. Planning Estimate of Area’s Unmet Needs for HOPWA-Eligible Households

1. Total number of households that have unmet housing subsidy assistance need.	200
2. From the total reported in Row 1, identify the number of households with unmet housing needs by type of housing subsidy assistance:	
a. Tenant-Based Rental Assistance (TBRA)	80
b. Short-Term Rent, Mortgage and Utility payments (STRMU)	60
• Assistance with rental costs	43
• Assistance with mortgage payments	4
• Assistance with utility costs.	13
c. Housing Facilities, such as community residences, SRO dwellings, other	60

2. Recommended Data Sources for Assessing Unmet Need (check all sources used)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> = Data as reported in the area Consolidated Plan, e.g. Table 1B, CPMP charts, and related narratives
<input type="checkbox"/> = Data established by area HIV/AIDS housing planning and coordination efforts, e.g. Continuum of Care
<input type="checkbox"/> = Data from client information provided in Homeless Management Information Systems (HMIS)
<input type="checkbox"/> = Data from project sponsors or housing providers, including waiting lists for assistance or other assessments on need including those completed by HOPWA competitive grantees operating in the region.
<input type="checkbox"/> = Data from prisons or jails on persons being discharged with HIV/AIDS, if mandatory testing is conducted
<input type="checkbox"/> = Data from local Ryan White Planning Councils or reported in CARE Act Data Reports, e.g. number of clients with permanent housing

= Data collected for HIV/AIDS surveillance reporting or other health assessments, e.g. local health department or CDC surveillance data

End of PART 1

PART 2: Sources of Leveraging and Program Income

1. Sources of Leveraging

Report the source(s) of cash or in-kind leveraged federal, state, local or private resources identified in the Consolidated or Annual Plan and used in the delivery of the HOPWA program and the amount of leveraged dollars. In Column [1], identify the type of leveraging. Some common sources of leveraged funds have been provided as a reference point. You may add Rows as necessary to report all sources of leveraged funds. Include Resident Rent payments paid by clients directly to private landlords. Do NOT include rents paid directly to a HOPWA program as this will be reported in the next section. In Column [2] report the amount of leveraged funds expended during the operating year. Use Column [3] to provide some detail about the type of leveraged contribution (e.g., case management services or clothing donations). In Column [4], check the appropriate box to indicate whether the leveraged contribution was a housing subsidy assistance or another form of support.

Note: Be sure to report on the number of households supported with these leveraged funds in Part 3, Chart 1, Column d.

A. Source of Leveraging Chart

[1] Source of Leveraging	[2] Amount of Leveraged Funds	[3] Type of Contribution	[4] Housing Subsidy Assistance or Other Support
Public Funding			
Ryan White-Housing Assistance			Housing Subsidy Assistance Other Support
Ryan White-Other	\$200,535	Oral Health Care, Health Insurance Premium and Cost Sharing, Emergency Financial Medication Assistance, Ryan White case management for HOPWA clients	<input type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Support <input type="checkbox"/>
Housing Choice Voucher Program			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance Other Support
Low Income Housing Tax Credit			Housing Subsidy Assistance <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Support
HOME			<input type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance Other Support
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance Other Support
Other Public: Pima County Outside Agency	\$ 50,750	Food programs for HOPWA clients.	Housing Subsidy Assistance Other Support
Private Funding			
Grants - Foundations	\$ 35,707	Foundations fund food and complementary therapies for HOPWA clients. Mortgage assistance for clients.	Housing Subsidy Assistance <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Support <input type="checkbox"/>
In-kind Resources:			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance Other Support
Other Private:			Housing Subsidy Assistance Other Support
Other Funding			
Grantee/Project Sponsor/Subrecipient (Agency) Cash	\$ 34,621	Indirect costs. SAAF has a 17% federally approved rate.	Housing Subsidy Assistance Other Support
TOTAL (Sum of all Rows)	\$321,613		

2. Program Income and Resident Rent Payments – N/A

In Section 2, Chart A., report the total amount of program income and resident rent payments directly generated from the use of HOPWA funds, including repayments. Include resident rent payments collected or paid directly to the HOPWA program. Do NOT include payments made directly from a client household to a private landlord.

Note: Please see report directions section for definition of program income. (Additional information on program income is available in the HOPWA Grantee Oversight Resource Guide).

A. Total Amount Program Income and Resident Rent Payment Collected During the Operating Year

Program Income and Resident Rent Payments Collected		Total Amount of Program Income (for this operating year)
1.	Program income (e.g. repayments)	0
2.	Resident Rent Payments made directly to HOPWA Program	0
3.	Total Program Income and Resident Rent Payments (Sum of	0

B. Program Income and Resident Rent Payments Expended To Assist HOPWA Households – N/A

In Chart B, report on the total program income and resident rent payments (as reported above in Chart A) expended during the operating year. Use Row 1 to report Program Income and Resident Rent Payments expended on Housing Subsidy Assistance Programs (i.e., TBRA, STRMU, PHP, Master Leased Units, and Facility-Based Housing). Use Row 2 to report on the Program Income and Resident Rent Payment expended on Supportive Services and other non-direct Housing Costs.

Program Income and Resident Rent Payments Expended on HOPWA programs		Total Amount of Program Income Expended (for this operating year)
1	Program Income and Resident Rent Payment Expended on Housing Subsidy Assistance costs	0
2	Program Income and Resident Rent Payment Expended on Supportive Services and other non-direct housing costs	0

End of PART 2

PART 3: Accomplishment Data Planned Goal and Actual Outputs

In Chart 1, enter performance information (goals and actual outputs) for all activities undertaken during the operating year supported with HOPWA funds. Performance is measured by the number of households and units of housing that were supported with HOPWA or other federal, state, local, or private funds for the purposes of providing housing assistance and support to persons living with HIV/AIDS and their families.

Note: The total households assisted with HOPWA funds and reported in PART 3 of the CAPER should be the same as reported in the annual year-end IDIS data, and goals reported should be consistent with the Annual Plan information. Any discrepancies or deviations should be explained in the narrative section of PART 1.

1. HOPWA Performance Planned Goal and Actual Outputs

		[1] Output: Households				[2] Output: Funding	
		HOPWA Assistance		Leveraged Households		HOPWA Funds	
		a.	b.	c.	d.	e.	f.
		Goal	Actual	Goal	Actual	HOPWA Budget	HOPWA Actual
HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance		[1] Output: Households				[2] Output: Funding	
1.	Tenant-Based Rental Assistance	8	10			\$ 48,517	\$ 6,726
Permanent Housing Facilities:							
2a.	Received Operating Subsidies/Leased units (Households Served)						
Transitional/Short-term Facilities:							
2b.	Received Operating Subsidies/Leased units (Households Served)	18	26			\$ 5,204	\$ 3,946
Permanent Housing Facilities:							
3a.	Capital Development Projects placed in service during the operating year (Households Served)						
Transitional/Short-term Facilities:							
3b.	Capital Development Projects placed in service during the operating year (Households Served)						
4.	Short-Term Rent, Mortgage and Utility Assistance	110	186			\$111,715	\$ 60,484
5.	Permanent Housing Placement Services	115	61			\$ 50,488	\$ 33,789
6.	Adjustments for duplication (subtract)	51	48				
7.	Total HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance (Columns a. – d. equal the sum of Rows 1-5 minus Row 6; Columns e. and f. equal the sum of Rows 1-5)	200	235			\$215,924	\$104,945
Housing Development (Construction and Stewardship of facility based housing)		[1] Output: Housing Units				[2] Output: Funding	
8.	Facility-based units; Capital Development Projects not yet opened (Housing Units)						
9.	Stewardship Units subject to 3 or 10 year use agreements						
10.	Total Housing Developed (Sum of Rows 8 & 9)	0	0			0	0
Supportive Services		[1] Output: Households				[2] Output: Funding	
11a.	Supportive Services provided by project sponsors/subrecipient that also delivered HOPWA housing subsidy assistance	425	498			\$210,108	\$175,208
11b.	Supportive Services provided by project sponsors/subrecipient that only provided supportive services.						
12.	Adjustment for duplication (subtract)						
13.	Total Supportive Services (Columns a. – d. equal the sum of Rows 11 a. & b. minus Row 12; Columns e. and f. equal the sum of Rows 11a. & 11b.)	425	498			\$210,108	\$175,208
Housing Information Services		[1] Output: Households				[2] Output: Funding	
14.	Housing Information Services						
15.	Total Housing Information Services						

Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report

Grant Administration and Other Activities		[1] Output Households				[2] Output: Funding	
16.	Resource Identification to establish, coordinate and develop housing assistance resources						
17.	Technical Assistance (if approved in grant agreement)						
18.	Grantee Administration (maximum 3% of total HOPWA grant)					\$ 13,534	\$ 11,818
19.	Project Sponsor Administration (maximum 7% of portion of HOPWA grant awarded)					\$ 40,770	\$ 22,759
20.	Total Grant Administration and Other Activities (Sum of Rows 16 – 19)					\$ 54,304	\$ 34,576
Total Expended						[2] Outputs: HOPWA Funds Expended	
						Budget	Actual
21.	Total Expenditures for program year (Sum of Rows 7, 10, 13, 15, and 20)					\$480,336	\$314,729

2. Listing of Supportive Services

Report on the households served and use of HOPWA funds for all supportive services. Do NOT report on supportive services leveraged with non-HOPWA funds.

Data check: Total unduplicated households and expenditures reported in Row 17 equal totals reported in Part 3, Chart 1, Row 13.

Supportive Services		[1] Output: Number of Households	[2] Output: Amount of HOPWA Funds Expended
1.	Adult day care and personal assistance		
2.	Alcohol and drug abuse services	79	\$3,799
3.	Case management	498	\$126,290
4.	Child care and other child services		
5.	Education		
6.	Employment assistance and training		
7.	Health/medical/intensive care services, if approved Note: Client records must conform with 24 CFR §574.310		
8.	Legal services		
9.	Life skills management (outside of case management)		
10.	Meals/nutritional services		
11.	Mental health services		
12.	Outreach		
13.	Transportation	408	\$45,119
14.	Other Activity (if approved in grant agreement). Specify:		
15.	Sub-Total Households receiving Supportive Services (Sum of Rows 1-14)	985	
16.	Adjustment for Duplication (subtract)	487	
17.	TOTAL Unduplicated Households receiving Supportive Services (Column [1] equals Row 15 minus Row 16; Column [2] equals sum of Rows 1-14)	498	\$175,208

3. Short-Term Rent, Mortgage and Utility Assistance (STRMU) Summary

In Row a., enter the total number of households served and the amount of HOPWA funds expended on Short-Term Rent, Mortgage and Utility (STRMU) Assistance. In Row b., enter the total number of STRMU-assisted households that received assistance with mortgage costs only (no utility costs) and the amount expended assisting these households. In Row c., enter the total number of STRMU-assisted households that received assistance with both mortgage and utility costs and the amount expended assisting these households. In Row d., enter the total number of STRMU-assisted households that received assistance with rental costs only (no utility costs) and the amount expended assisting these households. In Row e., enter the total number of STRMU-assisted households that received assistance with both rental and utility costs and the amount expended assisting these households. In Row f., enter the total number of STRMU-assisted households that received assistance with utility costs only (not including rent or mortgage costs) and the amount expended assisting these households. In row g., report the amount of STRMU funds expended to support direct program costs such as program operation staff.

Data Check: The total households reported as served with STRMU in Row a., column [1] and the total amount of HOPWA funds reported as expended in Row a., column [2] equals the household and expenditure total reported for STRMU in Part 3, Chart 1, Row 4, Columns b. and f., respectively.

Data Check: The total number of households reported in Column [1], Rows b., c., d., e., and f. equal the total number of STRMU households reported in Column [1], Row a. The total amount reported as expended in Column [2], Rows b., c., d., e., f., and g. equal the total amount of STRMU expenditures reported in Column [2], Row a.

Housing Subsidy Assistance Categories (STRMU)		[1] Output: Number of Households Served	[2] Output: total HOPWA Funds Expended on STRMU during Operating Year
a.	Total Short-term mortgage, rent and/or utility (STRMU) Assistance	186	\$60,484
b.	Of the total STRMU reported on Row a, total who received assistance with mortgage costs ONLY	4	\$3,231
c.	Of the total STRMU reported on Row a, total who received assistance with mortgage and utility costs.	6	\$4,181
d.	Of the total STRMU reported on Row a, total who received assistance with rental costs ONLY	68	\$35,243
e.	Of the total STRMU reported on Row a, total who received assistance with rental and utility costs.	24	\$11,421
f.	Of the total STRMU reported on Row a, total who received assistance with utility costs ONLY	84	\$7,576
g.	Direct program delivery costs (e.g., program operations staff time)		\$25,000

End of PART 3

Part 4: Summary of Performance Outcomes

In Column [1], report the total number of eligible households that received HOPWA housing subsidy assistance, by type. In Column [2], enter the number of households that continued to access each type of housing subsidy assistance into next operating year. In Column [3], report the housing status of all households that exited the program.

Data Check: The sum of Columns [2] (Number of Households Continuing) and [3] (Exited Households) equals the total reported in Column[1].

Note: Refer to the housing stability codes that appear in Part 5: Worksheet - Determining Housing Stability Outcomes.

Section 1. Housing Stability: Assessment of Client Outcomes on Maintaining Housing Stability (Permanent Housing and Related Facilities)

A. Permanent Housing Subsidy Assistance

	[1] Output: Total Number of Households Served	[2] Assessment: Number of Households that Continued Receiving HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance into the Next Operating Year	[3] Assessment: Number of Households that exited this HOPWA Program; their Housing Status after Exiting		[4] HOPWA Client Outcomes
Tenant-Based Rental Assistance	10	8	1 Emergency Shelter/Streets		<i>Unstable Arrangements</i>
			2 Temporary Housing		<i>Temporarily Stable, with Reduced Risk of Homelessness</i>
			3 Private Housing		<i>Stable/Permanent Housing (PH)</i>
			4 Other HOPWA		
			5 Other Subsidy	1	
			6 Institution		
			7 Jail/Prison		<i>Unstable Arrangements</i>
			8 Disconnected/Unknown		
			9 Death	1	<i>Life Event</i>
Permanent Supportive Housing Facilities/ Units	0	0	1 Emergency Shelter/Streets		<i>Unstable Arrangements</i>
			2 Temporary Housing		<i>Temporarily Stable, with Reduced Risk of Homelessness</i>
			3 Private Housing		<i>Stable/Permanent Housing (PH)</i>
			4 Other HOPWA		
			5 Other Subsidy		
			6 Institution		
			7 Jail/Prison		<i>Unstable Arrangements</i>
			8 Disconnected/Unknown		
			9 Death		<i>Life Event</i>

B. Transitional Housing Assistance

	[1] Output: Total Number of Households Served	[2] Assessment: Number of Households that Continued Receiving HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance into the Next Operating Year	[3] Assessment: Number of Households that exited this HOPWA Program; their Housing Status after Exiting		[4] HOPWA Client Outcomes
Transitional/ Short-Term Housing Facilities/ Units	26	0	1 Emergency Shelter/Streets		Unstable Arrangements
			2 Temporary Housing		Temporarily Stable with Reduced Risk of Homelessness
			3 Private Housing		Stable/Permanent Housing (PH)
			4 Other HOPWA		
			5 Other Subsidy	26	
			6 Institution		
			7 Jail/Prison		Unstable Arrangements
			8 Disconnected/unknown		
			9 Death		Life Event

B1: Total number of households receiving transitional/short-term housing	0
--	---

Section 2. Prevention of Homelessness: Assessment of Client Outcomes on Reduced Risks of Homelessness (Short-Term Housing Subsidy Assistance)

- Report the total number of households that received STRMU assistance in Column [1].
- In Column [2], identify the outcomes of the households reported in Column [1] either at the time that they were known to have left the STRMU program or through the project sponsor or subrecipient’s best assessment for stability at the end of the operating year.
- Information in Column [3] provides a description of housing outcomes; therefore, data is not required. At the bottom of the chart:
 - In Row 1a., report those households that received STRMU assistance during the operating year of this report, and the prior operating year.
 - In Row 1b., report those households that received STRMU assistance during the operating year of this report, and the two prior operating years.

Data Check: The total households reported as served with STRMU in Column [1] equals the total reported in Part 3, Chart 1, Row 4, Column b.

Data Check: The sum of Column [2] should equal the number of households reported in Column [1].

Assessment of Households that Received STRMU Assistance

[1] Output: Total number of households	[2] Assessment of Housing Status		[3] HOPWA Client Outcomes
186	Maintain Private Housing without subsidy <i>(e.g. Assistance provided/completed and client is stable, not likely to seek additional support)</i>	132	<i>Stable/Permanent Housing (PH)</i>
	Other Private Housing without subsidy <i>(e.g. client switched housing units and is now stable, not likely to seek additional support)</i>	1	
	Other HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance	0	
	Other Housing Subsidy (PH)	32	
	Institution <i>(e.g. residential and long-term care)</i>	0	
	Likely that additional STRMU is needed to maintain current housing arrangements	5	<i>Temporarily Stable, with Reduced Risk of Homelessness</i>
	Transitional Facilities/Short-term <i>(e.g. temporary or transitional arrangement)</i>	2	
	Temporary/Non-Permanent Housing arrangement <i>(e.g. gave up lease, and moved in with family or friends but expects to live there less than 90 days)</i>	11	
	Emergency Shelter/street	2	<i>Unstable Arrangements</i>
	Jail/Prison	0	
	Disconnected	0	
	Death	1	<i>Life Event</i>
1a. Total number of those households that received STRMU Assistance in the operating year of this report that also received STRMU assistance in the prior operating year (e.g. households that received STRMU assistance in two consecutive operating years).			53
1b. Total number of those households that received STRMU Assistance in the operating year of this report that also received STRMU assistance in the two prior operating years (e.g. households that received STRMU assistance in three consecutive operating years).			109

Section 3. HOPWA Outcomes on Access to Care and Support 1a. Total Number of Households

Line [1]: For project sponsors/subrecipients that provided HOPWA housing subsidy assistance during the operating year identify in the appropriate row the number of households that received HOPWA housing subsidy assistance (TBRA, STRMU, Facility-Based, PHP and Master Leasing) and HOPWA funded case management services. Use Row c. to adjust for duplication among the service categories and Row d. to provide an unduplicated household total.

Line [2]: For project sponsors/subrecipients that did NOT provide HOPWA housing subsidy assistance identify in the appropriate row the number of households that received HOPWA funded case management services.

Note: *These numbers will help you to determine which clients to report Access to Care and Support Outcomes for and will be used by HUD as a basis for analyzing the percentage of households who demonstrated or maintained connections to care and support as identified in Chart 1b. below.*

Total Number of Households	
1. For Project Sponsors/Subrecipients that provided HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance: Identify the total number of households that received the following HOPWA-funded services:	
a. Housing Subsidy Assistance (duplicated)-TBRA, STRMU, PHP, Facility-Based Housing, and Master Leasing	239
b. Case Management	498
c. Adjustment for duplication (subtraction)	239
d. Total Households Served by Project Sponsors/Subrecipients with Housing Subsidy Assistance (Sum of Rows a.b. minus Row c.)	498
2. For Project Sponsors/Subrecipients did NOT provide HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance: Identify the total number of households that received the following HOPWA-funded service:	
a. HOPWA Case Management	
b. Total Households Served by Project Sponsors/Subrecipients without Housing Subsidy Assistance	

1b. Status of Households Accessing Care and Support

Column [1]: Of the households identified as receiving services from project sponsors/subrecipients that provided HOPWA housing subsidy assistance as identified in Chart 1a., Row 1d. above, report the number of households that demonstrated access or maintained connections to care and support within the program year.

Column [2]: Of the households identified as receiving services from project sponsors/subrecipients that did NOT provide HOPWA housing subsidy assistance as reported in Chart 1a., Row 2b., report the number of households that demonstrated improved access or maintained connections to care and support within the program year.

Note: *For information on types and sources of income and medical insurance/assistance, refer to Charts below.*

Categories of Services Accessed	[1] For project sponsors/subrecipients that provided HOPWA housing subsidy assistance, identify the households who demonstrated the following:	[2] For project sponsors/subrecipients that did NOT provide HOPWA housing subsidy assistance, identify the households who demonstrated the following:	Outcome Indicator
1. Has a housing plan for maintaining or establishing stable on-going housing	239		<i>Support for Stable Housing</i>
2. Had contact with case manager/benefits counselor consistent with the schedule specified in client’s individual service plan (may include leveraged services such as Ryan White Medical Case Management)	239		<i>Access to Support</i>
3. Had contact with a primary health care provider consistent with the schedule specified in client’s individual service plan	143		<i>Access to Health Care</i>
4. Accessed and maintained medical insurance/assistance	210		<i>Access to Health Care</i>
5. Successfully accessed or maintained qualification for sources of income	45		<i>Sources of Income</i>

Chart 1b., Line 4: Sources of Medical Insurance and Assistance include, but are not limited to the following (Reference only)

- | | | |
|--|--|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MEDICAID Health Insurance Program, or use local program name • MEDICARE Health Insurance Program, or use local program name | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Veterans Affairs Medical Services • AIDS Drug Assistance Program (ADAP) • State Children’s Health Insurance Program (SCHIP), or use local program name | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ryan White-funded Medical or Dental Assistance |
|--|--|--|

Chart 1b., Row 5: Sources of Income include, but are not limited to the following (Reference only)

- | | | |
|---|--|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Earned Income • Veteran’s Pension • Unemployment Insurance • Pension from Former Job • Supplemental Security Income (SSI) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Child Support • Social Security Disability Income (SSDI) • Alimony or other Spousal Support • Veteran’s Disability Payment • Retirement Income from Social Security • Worker’s Compensation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General Assistance (GA), or use local program name • Private Disability Insurance • Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) • Other Income Sources |
|---|--|--|

1c. Households that Obtained Employment

Column [1]: Of the households identified as receiving services from project sponsors/subrecipients that provided HOPWA housing subsidy assistance as identified in Chart 1a., Row 1d. above, report on the number of households that include persons who obtained an income-producing job during the operating year that resulted from HOPWA-funded Job training, employment assistance, education or related case management/counseling services.

Column [2]: Of the households identified as receiving services from project sponsors/subrecipients that did NOT provide HOPWA housing subsidy assistance as reported in Chart 1a., Row 2b., report on the number of households that include persons who obtained an income-producing job during the operating year that resulted from HOPWA-funded Job training, employment assistance, education or case management/counseling services.

Note: This includes jobs created by this project sponsor/subrecipients or obtained outside this agency.

Note: Do not include jobs that resulted from leveraged job training, employment assistance, education or case management/counseling services.

Categories of Services Accessed	[1] For project sponsors/subrecipients that provided HOPWA housing subsidy assistance, identify the households who demonstrated the following:	[2] For project sponsors/subrecipients that did NOT provide HOPWA housing subsidy assistance, identify the households who demonstrated the following:
Total number of households that obtained an income-producing job	0	0

End of PART 4

PART 5: Worksheet - Determining Housing Stability Outcomes (optional)

1. This chart is designed to assess program results based on the information reported in Part 4 and to help Grantees determine overall program performance. Completion of this worksheet is optional.

Permanent Housing Subsidy Assistance	Stable Housing (# of households remaining in program plus 3+4+5+6)	Temporary Housing (2)	Unstable Arrangements (1+7+8)	Life Event (9)
Tenant-Based Rental Assistance (TBRA)				
Permanent Facility-based Housing Assistance/Units				
Transitional/Short-Term Facility-based Housing Assistance/Units				
Total Permanent HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance				
Reduced Risk of Homelessness: Short-Term Assistance	Stable/Permanent Housing	Temporarily Stable, with Reduced Risk of Homelessness	Unstable Arrangements	Life Events
Short-Term Rent, Mortgage, and Utility Assistance (STRMU)				
Total HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance				

Background on HOPWA Housing Stability Codes Stable Permanent Housing/Ongoing Participation

3 = Private Housing in the private rental or home ownership market (without known subsidy, including permanent placement with families or other self-sufficient arrangements) with reasonable expectation that additional support is not needed.

4 = Other HOPWA-funded housing subsidy assistance (not STRMU), e.g. TBRA or Facility-Based Assistance.

5 = Other subsidized house or apartment (non-HOPWA sources, e.g., Section 8, HOME, public housing).

6 = Institutional setting with greater support and continued residence expected (e.g., residential or long-term care facility).

Temporary Housing

2 = Temporary housing - moved in with family/friends or other short-term arrangement, such as Ryan White subsidy, transitional housing for homeless, or temporary placement in institution (e.g., hospital, psychiatric hospital or other psychiatric facility, substance abuse treatment facility or detox center).

Unstable Arrangements

1 = Emergency shelter or no housing destination such as places not meant for habitation (e.g., a vehicle, an abandoned building, bus/train/subway station, or anywhere outside).

7 = Jail /prison.

8 = Disconnected or disappeared from project support, unknown destination or no assessments of housing needs were undertaken.

Life Event

9 = Death, i.e., remained in housing until death. This characteristic is not factored into the housing stability equation.

Tenant-based Rental Assistance: Stable Housing is the sum of the number of households that (i) remain in the housing and (ii) those that left the assistance as reported under: 3, 4, 5, and 6. Temporary Housing is the number of households that accessed assistance, and left their current housing for a non-permanent housing arrangement, as reported under item: 2. Unstable Situations is the sum of numbers reported under items: 1, 7, and 8.

Permanent Facility-Based Housing Assistance: Stable Housing is the sum of the number of households that (i) remain in the housing and (ii) those that left the assistance as shown as items: 3, 4, 5, and 6. Temporary Housing is the number of households that accessed assistance, and left their current housing for a non-permanent housing arrangement, as reported under item 2. Unstable Situations is the sum of numbers reported under items: 1, 7, and 8.

Transitional/Short-Term Facility-Based Housing Assistance: Stable Housing is the sum of the number of households that (i) continue in the residences (ii) those that left the assistance as shown as items: 3, 4, 5, and 6. Other Temporary Housing is the number of households that accessed assistance, and left their current housing for a non-permanent housing arrangement, as reported under item 2. Unstable Situations is the sum of numbers reported under items: 1, 7, and 8.

Tenure Assessment. A baseline of households in transitional/short-term facilities for assessment purposes, indicate the number of households whose tenure exceeded 24 months.

STRMU Assistance: Stable Housing is the sum of the number of households that accessed assistance for some portion of the permitted 21-week period and there is reasonable expectation that additional support is not needed in order to maintain permanent housing living situation (as this is a time-limited form of housing support) as reported under housing status: Maintain Private Housing with subsidy; Other Private with Subsidy; Other HOPWA support; Other Housing Subsidy; and Institution. Temporarily Stable, with Reduced Risk of Homelessness is the sum of the number of households that accessed assistance for some portion of the permitted 21-week period or left their current housing arrangement for a transitional facility or other temporary/non-permanent housing arrangement and there is reasonable expectation additional support will be needed to maintain housing arrangements in the next year, as reported under housing status: Likely to maintain current housing arrangements, with additional STRMU assistance; Transitional Facilities/Short-term; and Temporary/Non-Permanent Housing arrangements. Unstable Situation is the sum of number of households reported under housing status: Emergency Shelter; Jail/Prison; and Disconnected.

End of PART 5

PART 6: Annual Certification of Continued Usage for HOPWA Facility-Based

The Annual Certification of Usage for HOPWA Facility-Based Stewardship Units is to be used in place of Part 7B of the CAPER if the facility was originally acquired, rehabilitated or constructed/developed in part with HOPWA funds but no HOPWA funds were expended during the operating year. Scattered site units may be grouped together on one page.

Grantees that used HOPWA funding for new construction, acquisition, or substantial rehabilitation are required to operate their facilities for HOPWA eligible individuals for at least ten (10) years. If non-substantial rehabilitation funds were used they are required to operate for at least three (3) years. Stewardship begins once the facility is put into operation.

Note: See definition of Stewardship Units.

1. General information

HUD Grant Number(s) N/A	Operating Year for this report From (mm/dd/yy) To (mm/dd/yy) <input type="checkbox"/> Final Yr <input type="checkbox"/> Yr 1; <input type="checkbox"/> Yr 2; <input type="checkbox"/> Yr 3; <input type="checkbox"/> Yr 4; <input type="checkbox"/> Yr 5; <input type="checkbox"/> Yr 6; <input type="checkbox"/> Yr 7; <input type="checkbox"/> Yr 8; <input type="checkbox"/> Yr 9; <input type="checkbox"/> Yr 10;
Grantee Name	Date Facility Began Operations (mm/dd/yy)

2. Number of Units and Non-HOPWA Expenditures

Facility Name:	Number of Stewardship Units Developed with HOPWA funds	Amount of Non-HOPWA Funds Expended in Support of the Stewardship Units during the Operating Year
Total Stewardship Units (subject to 3- or 10- year use periods)		

3. Details of Project Site

Project Sites: Name of HOPWA-funded project	
Site Information: Project Zip Code(s)	
Site Information: Congressional District(s)	
Is the address of the project site confidential?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, protect information; do not list <input type="checkbox"/> Not confidential; information can be made available to the public
If the site is not confidential: Please provide the contact information, phone, email address/location, if business address is different from facility address	

I certify that the facility that received assistance for acquisition, rehabilitation, or new construction from the Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS Program has operated as a facility to assist HOPWA-eligible persons from the date shown above. I also certify that the grant is still serving the planned number of HOPWA-eligible households at this facility through leveraged resources and all other requirements of the grant agreement are being satisfied.

<i>I hereby certify that all the information stated herein, as well as any information provided in the accompaniment herewith, is true and accurate.</i>	
Name & Title of Authorized Official of the organization that continues to operate the facility:	Signature & Date (mm/dd/yy)
Name & Title of Contact at Grantee Agency <i>(person who can answer questions about the report and program)</i>	Contact Phone (with area code)

End of PART 6

Part 7: Summary Overview of Grant Activities

A. Information on Individuals, Beneficiaries, and Households Receiving HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance (TBRA, STRMU, Facility-Based Units, Permanent Housing Placement and Master Leased Units ONLY)

Note: Reporting for this section should include ONLY those individuals, beneficiaries, or households that received and/or resided in a household that received HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance as reported in Part 3, Chart 1, Row 7, Column b. (e.g., do not include households that received HOPWA supportive services ONLY).

Section 1. HOPWA-Eligible Individuals who Received HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance

a. Total HOPWA Eligible Individuals Living with HIV/AIDS

In Chart a., provide the total number of eligible (and unduplicated) low-income individuals living with HIV/AIDS who qualified their household to receive HOPWA housing subsidy assistance during the operating year. This total should include only the individual who qualified the household for HOPWA assistance, NOT all HIV positive individuals in the household.

Individuals Served with Housing Subsidy Assistance	Total
Number of individuals with HIV/AIDS who qualified their household to receive HOPWA housing subsidy assistance.	235

Chart b. Prior Living Situation

In Chart b., report the prior living situations for all Eligible Individuals reported in Chart a. In Row 1, report the total number of individuals who continued to receive HOPWA housing subsidy assistance from the prior operating year into this operating year. In Rows 2 through 17, indicate the prior living arrangements for all new HOPWA housing subsidy assistance recipients during the operating year.

Data Check: The total number of eligible individuals served in Row 18 equals the total number of individuals served through housing subsidy assistance reported in Chart a. above.

Category		Total HOPWA Eligible Individuals Receiving Housing Subsidy Assistance
1.	<u>Continuing</u> to receive HOPWA support from the prior operating year	43
New Individuals who received HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance support during Operating Year		
2.	Place not meant for human habitation (such as a vehicle, abandoned building, bus/train/subway station/airport, or outside)	0
3.	Emergency shelter (including hotel, motel, or campground paid for with emergency shelter	26
4.	Transitional housing for homeless persons	2
5.	Total number of new Eligible Individuals who received HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance with a Prior Living Situation that meets HUD definition of homelessness (Sum of Rows 2 – 4)	28
6.	Permanent housing for formerly homeless persons (such as Shelter Plus Care, SHP, or SRO Mod Rehab)	
7.	Psychiatric hospital or other psychiatric facility	
8.	Substance abuse treatment facility or detox center	
9.	Hospital (non-psychiatric facility)	
10.	Foster care home or foster care group home	
11.	Jail, prison or juvenile detention facility	
12.	Rented room, apartment, or house	144
13.	House you own	9
14.	Staying or living in someone else's (family and friends) room, apartment, or house	11
15.	Hotel or motel paid for without emergency shelter voucher	
16.	Other	0
17.	Don't Know or Refused	
18.	TOTAL Number of HOPWA Eligible Individuals (sum of Rows 1 and 5-17)	235

c. Homeless Individual Summary

In Chart c., indicate the number of eligible individuals reported in Chart b., Row 5 as homeless who also are homeless Veterans and/or meet the definition for Chronically Homeless (See Definition section of CAPER). The totals in Chart c. do not need to equal the total in Chart b., Row 5.

Category	Number of Homeless Veteran(s)	Number of Chronically Homeless
HOPWA eligible individuals served with HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance	1	6

Section 2. Beneficiaries

In Chart a., report the total number of HOPWA eligible individuals living with HIV/AIDS who received HOPWA housing subsidy assistance (*as reported in Part 7A, Section 1, Chart a.*), and all associated members of their household who benefitted from receiving HOPWA housing subsidy assistance (resided with HOPWA eligible individuals).

Note: See definition of HOPWA Eligible Individual

Note: See definition of Transgender.

Note: See definition of Beneficiaries.

Data Check: The sum of each of the Charts b. & c. on the following two pages equals the total number of beneficiaries served with HOPWA housing subsidy assistance as determined in Chart a., Row 4 below.

a. Total Number of Beneficiaries Served with HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance

Individuals and Families Served with HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance	Total Number
1. Number of individuals with HIV/AIDS who qualified the household to receive HOPWA housing subsidy assistance (equals the number of HOPWA Eligible Individuals reported in Part 7A, Section 1, Chart a.)	235
2. Number of ALL other persons diagnosed as HIV positive who reside with the HOPWA eligible individuals identified in Row 1 and who benefitted from the HOPWA housing subsidy assistance	16
3. Number of ALL other persons NOT diagnosed as HIV positive who reside with the HOPWA eligible individual identified in Row 1 and who benefitted from the HOPWA housing subsidy	38
4. TOTAL number of ALL <u>beneficiaries</u> served with Housing Subsidy Assistance (Sum of Rows 1,2, & 3)	289

b. Age and Gender

In Chart b., indicate the Age and Gender of all beneficiaries as reported in Chart a. directly above. Report the Age and Gender of all HOPWA Eligible Individuals (those reported in Chart a., Row 1) using Rows 1-5 below and the Age and Gender of all other beneficiaries (those reported in Chart a., Rows 2 and 3) using Rows 6-10 below. The number of individuals reported in Row 11, Column E. equals the total number of beneficiaries reported in Part 7, Section 2, Chart a., Row 4.

HOPWA Eligible Individuals (Chart a, Row 1)						
		A.	B.	C.	D.	E.
		Male	Female	Transgender M to F	Transgender F to M	TOTAL (Sum of Columns A-D)
1.	Under 18	0	2	0	0	2
2.	18 to 30 years	27	20	0	0	47
3.	31 to 50 years	72	32	1	0	105
4.	51 years and Older	60	19	2	0	81
5.	Subtotal (Sum of Rows 1-4)	159	73	3	0	235
All Other Beneficiaries (Chart a, Rows 2 and 3)						
		A.	B.	C.	D.	E.
		Male	Female	Transgender M to F	Transgender F to M	TOTAL (Sum of Columns A-D)
6.	Under 18	24	15	0	0	39
7.	18 to 30 years	5	4	0	0	9
8.	31 to 50 years	3	1	0	0	4
9.	51 years and Older	1	1	0	0	2
10.	Subtotal (Sum of Rows 6-9)	33	21	0	0	54
Total Beneficiaries (Chart a, Row 4)						
11.	TOTAL (Sum of Rows 5 & 10)	192	94	3	0	289

c. Race and Ethnicity*

In Chart c., indicate the Race and Ethnicity of all beneficiaries receiving HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance as reported in Section 2, Chart a., Row 4. Report the race of all HOPWA eligible individuals in Column [A]. Report the ethnicity of all HOPWA eligible individuals in column [B]. Report the race of all other individuals who benefitted from the HOPWA housing subsidy assistance in column [C]. Report the ethnicity of all other individuals who benefitted from the HOPWA housing subsidy assistance in column [D]. The summed total of columns [A] and [C] equals the total number of beneficiaries reported above in Section 2, Chart a., Row 4.

Category		HOPWA Eligible Individuals		All Other Beneficiaries	
		[A] Race [all individuals reported in Section 2, Chart a., Row 1]	[B] Ethnicity [Also identified as Hispanic or Latino]	[C] Race [total of individuals reported in Section 2, Chart a., Rows 2 & 3]	[D] Ethnicity [Also identified as Hispanic or Latino]
1.	American Indian/Alaskan Native	6	1	5	1
2.	Asian	2	0	0	0
3.	Black/African American	41	1	23	0
4.	Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0
5.	White	186	38	25	20
6.	American Indian/Alaskan Native & White	0	0	0	0
7.	Asian & White	0	0	0	0
8.	Black/African American & White	0	0	1	0
9.	American Indian/Alaskan Native & Black/African American	0	0	0	0
10.	Other Multi-Racial	0	0	0	0
11.	Column Totals (Sum of Rows 1-10)	235	40	54	21

Data Check: Sum of Row 11 Column A and Row 11 Column C equals the total number HOPWA Beneficiaries reported in Part 3A, Section 2, Chart a., Row 4.

*Reference (data requested consistent with Form HUD-27061 Race and Ethnic Data Reporting Form)

**Section 3. Households
Household Area Median
Income**

Report the area median income(s) for all households served with HOPWA housing subsidy assistance.

Data Check: The total number of households served with HOPWA housing subsidy assistance should equal Part 3C, Row 7, Column b and Part 7A, Section 1, Chart a. (Total HOPWA Eligible Individuals Served with HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance).

Note: Refer to http://www.huduser.org/portal/datasets/il/il2010/select_Geography_mfi.odn for information on area median income in your community.

Percentage of Area Median Income		Households Served with HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance
1.	0-30% of area median income (extremely low)	149
2.	31-50% of area median income (very low)	61
3.	51-80% of area median income (low)	25
4.	Total (Sum of Rows 1-3)	235

Part 7: Summary Overview of Grant Activities

B. Facility-Based Housing Assistance

Complete one Part 7B for each facility developed or supported through HOPWA funds.

Do not complete this Section for programs originally developed with HOPWA funds but no longer supported with HOPWA funds. If a facility was developed with HOPWA funds (subject to ten years of operation for acquisition, new construction and substantial rehabilitation costs of stewardship units, or three years for non-substantial rehabilitation costs), but HOPWA funds are no longer used to support the facility, the project sponsor or subrecipient should complete Part 6: Annual Certification of Continued Usage for HOPWA Facility-Based Stewardship Units (ONLY).

Complete Charts 2a., Project Site Information, and 2b., Type of HOPWA Capital Development Project Units, for all Development Projects, including facilities that were past development projects, but continued to receive HOPWA operating dollars this reporting year.

1. Project Sponsor/Subrecipient Agency Name (Required)

Southern Arizona AIDS Foundation

2. Capital Development

N/A

2a. Project Site Information for HOPWA Capital Development of Projects (For Current or Past Capital Development Projects that receive HOPWA Operating Costs this reporting year)

Note: If units are scattered-sites, report on them as a group and under type of Facility write "Scattered Sites."

Type of Development this operating year	HOPWA Funds Expended this operating year (if applicable)	Non-HOPWA funds Expended (if applicable)	Name of Facility:
<input type="checkbox"/> New construction	\$	\$	Type of Facility [Check <u>only one</u> box.] <input type="checkbox"/> Permanent housing <input type="checkbox"/> Short-term Shelter or Transitional housing <input type="checkbox"/> Supportive services only facility
<input type="checkbox"/> Rehabilitation	\$	\$	
<input type="checkbox"/> Acquisition	\$	\$	
<input type="checkbox"/> Operating	\$	\$	
a.	Purchase/lease of property:		Date (mm/dd/yy):
b.	Rehabilitation/Construction Dates:		Date started: Date Completed:
c.	Operation dates:		Date residents began to occupy: <input type="checkbox"/> Not yet occupied
d.	Date supportive services began:		Date started: <input type="checkbox"/> Not yet providing services
e.	Number of units in the facility:		HOPWA-funded units = _____ Total Units = _____
f.	Is a waiting list maintained for the facility?		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <i>If yes, number of participants on the list at the end of operating year</i>
g.	What is the address of the facility (if different from business address)?		
h.	Is the address of the project site confidential?		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, protect information; do not publish list <input type="checkbox"/> No, can be made available to the public

2b. Number and Type of HOPWA Capital Development Project Units (For Current or Past Capital Development Projects that receive HOPWA Operating Costs this Reporting Year)

For units entered above in 2a. please list the number of HOPWA units that fulfill the following criteria:

	Number Designated for the Chronically Homeless	Number Designated to Assist the Homeless	Number Energy-Star Compliant	Number 504 Accessible
Rental units constructed (new) and/or acquired with or without rehab				
Rental units rehabbed				
Homeownership units constructed (if approved)				

3. Units Assisted in Types of Housing Facility/Units Leased by Project Sponsor or Subrecipient

Charts 3a., 3b. and 4 are required for each facility. In Charts 3a. and 3b., indicate the type and number of housing units in the facility, including master leased units, project-based or other scattered site units leased by the organization, categorized by the number of bedrooms per unit.

Note: The number units may not equal the total number of households served.

Please complete separate charts for each housing facility assisted. Scattered site units may be grouped together.

3a. Check one only

- Permanent Supportive Housing Facility/Units
- Short-term Shelter or Transitional Supportive Housing Facility/Units

3b. Type of Facility

Complete the following Chart for all facilities leased, master leased, project-based, or operated with HOPWA funds during the reporting year.

Name of Project Sponsor/Agency Operating the Facility/Leased Units:

Southern Arizona AIDS Foundation

Type of housing facility operated by the project sponsor/subrecipient	Total Number of <u>Units</u> in use during the Operating Year Categorized by the Number of Bedrooms per Units					
	SRO/Studio/0 bdrm	1 bdrm	2 bdrm	3 bdrm	4 bdrm	5+bdrm
a. Single room occupancy dwelling						
b. Community residence						
c. Project-based rental assistance units or leased units						
d. Other housing facility Specify: Hotel/motel vouchers	26					

4. Households and Housing Expenditures

Enter the total number of households served and the amount of HOPWA funds expended by the project sponsor/subrecipient on subsidies for housing involving the use of facilities, master leased units, project based or other scattered site units leased by the organization.

Housing Assistance Category: Facility Based Housing		Output: Number of Household	Output: Total HOPWA Funds Expended during Operating Year by Project Sponsor/subrecipient
a.	Leasing Costs		
b.	Operating Costs		
c.	Project-Based Rental Assistance (PBRA) or other leased units		
d.	Other Activity (if approved in grant agreement) <u>Specify:</u> Hotel/Motel Vouchers	26	\$3,946
e.	Adjustment to eliminate duplication (subtract)	0	
f.	TOTAL Facility-Based Housing Assistance (Sum Rows a. through d. minus Row e.)	26	\$3,946

CR-60 - ESG 91.520(g) (ESG Recipients only)

FY 2013 ESG Supplement to the CAPER in e-snaps

For Paperwork Reduction Act

1. Recipient Information—All Recipients Complete

Basic Grant Information

Recipient Name	Tucson
Organizational DUNS Number	072450869
EIN/TIN Number	866000266
Identify the Field Office	San Francisco
Identify CoC(s) in which the recipient or subrecipient(s) will provide ESG Assistance	Tucson/Pima County CoC

ESG Contact Name

Prefix	Mrs.
First Name	Susanna
Middle Name	
Last Name	Rodriguez
Suffix	
Title	Project Coordinator

ESG Contact Address

Street Address 1	P O Box 27210
Street Address 2	
City	Tucson
ZIP Code	85726-7210
Phone Number	520-837-5343
Extension	
Fax Number	520-791-2529
Email Address	Susanna.Rodriguez@tucsonaz.gov

ESG Secondary Contact

Prefix	Ms
First Name	Jodie
Middle Name	
Last Name	Earll-Barnes
Suffix	
Title	Project Supervisor
Phone Number	520-837-5363
Extension	
Email Address	Jodie.Barnes@tucsonaz.gov

2. Reporting Period—All Recipients Complete

Program Year Start Date **07/01/2013**
Program Year End Date **06/30/2014**

3a. Subrecipient Form – Complete one form for each subrecipient

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: **Chicanos Por La Causa**
City: **Tucson**
State: **AZ**
Zip Code: **85716**
DUNS Number: **136249609**
Is subrecipient a VAWA-DV provider: **N**
Subrecipient Organization Type: **Other Non-Profit Organization**
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: **\$55,501**

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: **CODAC**
City: **Tucson**
State: **AZ**
Zip Code: **85716**
DUNS Number: **139453005**
Is subrecipient a VAWA-DV provider: **N**
Subrecipient Organization Type: **Other Non-Profit Organization**
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: **\$27,156**

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: **Emerge! Center Against Domestic Abuse**
City: **Tucson**
State: **AZ**
Zip Code: **85716**
DUNS Number: **842812061**
Is subrecipient a VAWA-DV provider: **Y**
Subrecipient Organization Type: **Other Non-Profit Organization**
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: **\$183,631**

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: **Esperanza En Escalante**
City: **Tucson**
State: **AZ**
Zip Code: **85716**
DUNS Number:
Is subrecipient a VAWA-DV provider: **Y**
Subrecipient Organization Type: **Other Non-Profit Organization**
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: **\$38,000**

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: **La Frontera**
City: **Tucson**
State: **AZ**
Zip Code: **85719**
DUNS Number: **021623366**
Is subrecipient a VAWA-DV provider: **N**
Subrecipient Organization Type: **Other Non-Profit Organization**
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: **\$24,829**

Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: **Old Pueblo Community Services**
City: **Tucson**
State: **AZ**
Zip Code: **85711**
DUNS Number: **002623366**
Is subrecipient a VAWA-DV provider: **N**
Subrecipient Organization Type: **Other Non-Profit Organization**
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: **\$85,582**

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: **Open Inn**
City: **Tucson**
State: **AZ**
Zip Code: **85703,**
DUNS Number: **170358659**
Is subrecipient a VAWA-DV provider: **N**
Subrecipient Organization Type: **Other Non-Profit Organization**
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: **\$30,000**

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: **Our Family Services**
City: **Tucson**
State: **AZ**
Zip Code: **85712**
DUNS Number: **071820844**
Is subrecipient a VAWA-DV provider: **N**
Subrecipient Organization Type: **Other Non-Profit Organization**
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: **\$113,575**

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: **Pima County**
City: **Tucson**
State: **AZ**
Zip Code: **85701**
DUNS Number: **033738662**
Is subrecipient a VAWA-DV provider: **N**
Subrecipient Organization Type: **Unit of Government**
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: **\$5,000**

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: **The Primavera Foundation**
City: **Tucson**
State: **AZ**
Zip Code: **85713**
DUNS Number: **148847700**
Is subrecipient a VAWA-DV provider: **N**
Subrecipient Organization Type: **Other Non-Profit Organization**
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: **\$45,829**

CR-65 - Persons Assisted

4. Persons Served

4a. Complete for Homelessness Prevention Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	50
Children	65
Don't Know/Refused	0
Missing Information	4
Total	119

Table 1 – Household Information for Homeless Prevention Activities

4b. Complete for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	90
Children	124
Don't Know/Refused	0
Missing Information	0
Total	214

Table 2 – Household Information for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

4c. Complete for Shelter

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	458
Children	425
Don't Know/Refused	0
Missing Information	0
Total	883

Table 3 – Shelter Information

4d. Complete for Street Outreach

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	226
Children	4
Don't Know/Refused	0
Missing Information	0
Total	230

Client Contacted (DQ)	382
Clients Engaged (DQ)	230

Table 4 - Street Outreach Information

4e. Totals for all Persons Served with ESG

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	824
Children	618
Don't Know/Refused	0
Missing Information	4
Total	1446

Table 5 – Household Information for Persons Served with ESG

5. Gender—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Male	615
Female	827
Transgendered	5
Unknown	4
Total	1446

Table 6 – Gender Information

6. Age—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Under 18	612
18-24	138
Over 24	692
Don't Know/Refused	0
Missing Information	4
Total	1446

Table 7 – Age Information

7. Special Populations Served—Complete for All Activities

Number of Persons in Households

Subpopulation	Total Persons Total Served - Prevention	Total Persons Served – RRH	Total Persons Served – Emergency Shelters	
Veterans	7	1	9	17
Victims of Domestic Violence	22	149	598	769
Elderly	2	2	6	10
HIV/AIDS	0	2	2	4
Chronically Homeless	4	18	7	29
Persons with disabilities:				
Severely Mentally Ill	22	21	59	102
Chronic Substance Abuse	4	14	14	32
Other disability	13	29	164	206
Total (Unduplicated if possible)	119	75	214	602

Table 8 – Special Population Served

CR-70 – Assistance Provided

8. Shelter Utilization

Number of New Units - Rehabbed	0
Number of New Units - Conversion	0
Total Number of bed-nights available	3,282
Total Number of bed-nights provided	3,282
Capacity Utilization	100.00%

Table 9 – Shelter Capacity

CR-75 – Expenditures

11. Expenditures

11a. ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	\$18,627		\$74,425
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	0		0

Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	\$6,582		\$45,688
Expenditures for Homeless Prevention under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0		0
Subtotal Homelessness Prevention	\$25,209		\$120,113

Table 10 – ESG Expenditures for Homeless Prevention

11b. ESG Expenditures for Rapid re-Housing

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	\$5,562		\$68,417
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	0		0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	\$67,368		\$186,161
Expenditures for Homeless Prevention under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0		0
Subtotal Rapid Re-Housing	\$72,930		\$254,578.26

Table 11 – ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

11c. ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Essential Services	\$46,957		\$50,512
Operations	\$61,719		\$92,110
Renovation	0		0
Major Rehab	0		0
Conversion	0		0
Subtotal	\$108,676		\$184,291

Table 12 – ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

11d. Other Grant Expenditures

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
HMIS	0		\$5,000
Administration	\$20,183		\$28,327
Street Outreach	\$41,495		\$49,183

Table 13 – Other Grant Expenditures

11e. Total ESG Grant Funds

Total ESG Funds Expended	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
	\$226,998		\$599,824

Table 14 – Total ESG Funds Expended

11f. Match Source

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Other Non-ESG HUD Funds	0		0
Other Federal Funds	\$168,320		\$117,694
State Government	0		0
Local Government	\$20,202		\$184,117
Private Funds	\$7,650		\$162,749
Other			
Fees/Program Income	\$23,184		\$130,788
Total Match Amount	\$219,356		\$595,347

Table 15 – Other Funds Expended on eligible ESG Activities

11g. Total

Total Amount of funds Expended on ESG Activities	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
	\$446,354		\$599,824

Table 16 – Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities

CITY OF TUCSON FY2014 CAPER - NSP INFORMATION

HUD Program Year 2013

NSP1

Award \$7,286,911

Program Income from July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2014 - \$267,969.93

Program Income Cumulative as of June 30, 2014 - \$3,097,604.56

Expenditures from July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2014 -\$1,255,229.54

Cumulative Expenditures as of June 30, 2014 - \$10,016,466.26

Goals

The City of Tucson has expended all NSP1 grant funds, and continues to purchase foreclosed homes using NSP1 Program Income only. The 25% set aside requirement, assisting families earning less than 50% Area Median Income in the designated target areas, are rehabilitated and placed in the City's EI Portal rental portfolio. The remaining homes that are purchased will continue to be conveyed to the Pima County Community Land Trust for rehabilitation and resale to individuals/families who earn between 50-80% Area Median Income.

Outcome as of June 30, 2014

The City of Tucson continues to exceed goals with a total of 69 homes purchased through June 30, 2014, using NSP1 and NSP1 Program Income. During July 1, 2013 thru June 30, 2014, the City of Tucson purchased 8 homes with NSP1 Program Income, 2 of which were duplexes that were rehabilitated and placed in the City of Tucson's EI Portal rental program, meeting the NSP 25% set aside requirement. The additional 6 homes were purchased and conveyed to the Pima County Community Land Trust for rehabilitation and resale to qualified buyers between 50-80% Area Median Income.

NSP3

Award \$2,083,771

Program Income for June 30, 2014 - \$345,167.21

Program Income Cumulative as of June 30, 2014 - \$409,451.76

Expenditures from July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2014 - \$450,048.87

Cumulative Expenditures as of June 30, 2013 - \$2,191,577.70

Goals

The City of Tucson expended all NSP3 grant funds by the March 9, 2014 deadline, and will continue to purchase foreclosed homes using NSP3 Program Income only. Homes that were purchased to meet the 25% set aside requirement, assisting families earning less than 50% Area Median Income in the

designated target areas, will be rehabilitated and placed in the City's El Portal rental portfolio. The remaining homes to be purchased with Program Income will continue to be conveyed to the Pima County Community Land Trust for rehabilitation and resale to individuals/families who earn between 50-80% Area Median Income.

Outcome as of June 30, 2014

The City of Tucson continues to exceed goals with a total of 15 homes purchased through June 30, 2014, using NSP3 grant funds. During July 1, 2013 thru June 30, 2014, the City of Tucson purchased 2 homes and conveyed them to the Pima County Community Land Trust for rehabilitation and resale to qualified buyers between 50-80% Area Median Income. The NSP 25% set aside requirement has been met and exceeded with a total of 3 homes, 2 of which are triplexes, that have been rented thru the City's El Portal rental program to individuals/families with incomes at or below 50% Area Median Income.

**ARIZONA DAILY
STAR**

Tucson, Arizona

STATE OF ARIZONA)
COUNTY OF PIMA)

Debbie Capanear, being first duly sworn deposes and says: that she is the Advertising Representative of **TNI PARTNERS**, a General Partnership organized and existing under the laws of the State of Arizona, and that it prints and publishes the Arizona Daily Star, a daily newspaper printed and published in the City of Tucson, Pima County, State of Arizona, and having a general circulation in said City, County, State and elsewhere, and that the attached ad was printed and

Legal Notice

published correctly in the entire issue of the said Arizona Daily Star on each of the following dates, to- wit:

SEPTEMBER 10, 2014

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 26 day of September, 2014

My commission expires

LYDIA FIMBRES
Notary Public - Arizona
Pima County
My Comm. Expires Oct 18, 2015

1

Public Comment Needed on 2014 Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER)

The City of Tucson, Housing and Community Development Department is accepting comments on the draft Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER). The report provides information on city expenditures from July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014 for the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Program, Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA), Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG) Program, as well as for the Home Investment Partnership (HOME) Program.

A draft of the report will be available beginning on Thursday, September 11, 2014 on the City's website: <http://hcd.tucsonaz.gov/hcd/whats-new>

Comments will be accepted through September 25, 2014 at 5:00 p.m., and may be faxed to 520-791-2529 o/c Ann Vargas Ann.vargas@tucsonaz.gov

AO NO. 8274206

Se tendrá disponible el borrador del reporte a partir del jueves 11 de Septiembre de 2014 en el sitio de Internet de la Ciudad de Tucson: <http://hcd.tucsonaz.gov/hcd/whats-new>.

Se aceptarán comentarios hasta las 5:00 PM del 25 de Septiembre de 2014, los cuales pueden enviarse por fax al 520-791-2529 o/o Ann Vargas Ann.vargas@tucsonaz.gov



Publish September 11, 2014
Arizona Daily Star

Lydian Kunkus

Notary Public



See attached IDIS PR26 - CDBG Financial Summary Report Program Year 2013