

Survey Results for two of the proposed new code revisions for the NPO

Survey dates - June 06, 2013 through June 21, 2013

#1 Do you support having a code to regulate the size of vehicles allowed to be parked on a residential lot?

Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	71.9%	323
No	28.1%	126
<i>answered question</i>		449
<i>skipped question</i>		5

#2 If you support this restriction, would you support a code prohibiting vehicles larger than 10 ft in height or longer than 22 ft in length on residential property?

Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
too restrictive	22.5%	88
too lenient	37.9%	148
acceptable size	39.6%	155
<i>answered question</i>		391
<i>skipped question</i>		63

#3 If the previous size is too lenient, would you support a code prohibiting vehicles larger than 8 ft in height or longer than 21 ft in length on residential property?

Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
too restrictive	32.7%	102
too lenient	34.3%	107
acceptable size	33.0%	103
<i>answered question</i>		312
<i>skipped question</i>		142

#4 If the previous size is too lenient, would you support a code that prohibits vehicles larger than 7 ft in height and 20 ft in length?

Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
too restrictive	39.4%	111
too lenient	15.6%	44
acceptable size	45.0%	127
<i>answered question</i>		282
<i>skipped question</i>		172

#5 Do you support having a code to regulate the number of yard/rummage sales permitted on residential property within a calendar year?		
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	69.6%	312
No	30.4%	136
<i>answered question</i>		448
<i>skipped question</i>		6

#6 If so, do you support restricting the number of yard/rummage sales to a maximum of six sales per calendar year?		
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
too restrictive	19.4%	74
too lenient	48.7%	186
acceptable number of sales	31.9%	122
<i>answered question</i>		382
<i>skipped question</i>		72

#7 If six yard/rummage sales a year is too lenient, do you support restricting the number of sales to four per calendar year?		
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
too restrictive	32.5%	109
too lenient	12.8%	43
acceptable number of sales	54.6%	183
<i>answered question</i>		335
<i>skipped question</i>		119

#8 For each yard/rummage sale event, do you support restricting the number of days permitted for each event?		
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	77.5%	328
No	22.5%	95
<i>answered question</i>		423
<i>skipped question</i>		31

#9 If you support restricting the number of days for each event, do you support restricting the number to four consecutive days?		
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
too restrictive	11.4%	44
too lenient	70.5%	273
acceptable number of days for an event	18.1%	70
<i>answered question</i>		387
<i>skipped question</i>		67

#10 If four consecutive days for one event is too lenient, do you support restricting one event to three consecutive days?		
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
too restrictive	17.4%	62
too lenient	34.3%	122
acceptable number of days	48.3%	172
<i>answered question</i>		356
<i>skipped question</i>		98

#11 For statistical purposes, please indicated in which ward you reside?		
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Ward 1	14.5%	64
Ward 2	8.2%	36
Ward 3	14.5%	64
Ward 4	4.5%	20
Ward 5	6.1%	27
Ward 6	39.2%	173
Unknown	12.9%	57
<i>answered question</i>		441
<i>skipped question</i>		13