

## **RONSTADT TRANSIT CENTER JOINT DEVELOPMENT PROJECT**

PHASE II REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS (RFP)

## **COMMENT SUMMARY Based on Public Comments**



<u>ke</u>	Project Timeline (RFP Section I)
V	More specificity re steps; relook at whether sufficient time for some steps
Re Project Goals (RFP Section III)	
	Some edits suggested. <i>NOTE</i> : <u>No edits made</u> . Goals developed with stakeholders and originally provided in Phase I RFP.
$\checkmark$	Suggestion that "Submittal Requirements" be reviewed to be sure they reflected goals as appropriate.
Re	FTA Guidance (RFP Section IV)
$\checkmark$	Clarify whether Eligibility Criteria text is directly quoted from FTA Joint Development Guidance (Circular 7050.1, 2014)
$\checkmark$	Clarify City's estimate re federal investment in RTC (est. \$7 million)
Re Instructions to Offerors (RFP Section V)	
V	Several suggested revisions for consistency with RFP development focus
	Concern whether "confidentiality" provisions for financial information are sufficient. <i>NOTE:</i> Procurement & City Attorney consulted; no edits made.
Re	Submittal Requirements (RFP Section VI)
V	Suggested word and organizational edits for further clarification and more specificity. <i>NOTE:</i> Majority of suggestions addressed.
$\checkmark$	Suggestions expanding on "Project Description," "Integration of Transit Use, "Public Engagement Plan," "Business Plan & Financial Capacity," and "Public Presentation." NOTE: Majority of suggestions addressed
Re	Evaluation Criteria (RFP Section VII)

<b>V</b>	Suggested word and organization edits for further clarification and more specificity. <i>NOTE</i> : <u>Majority of suggestions addressed</u> .
	Suggestion that "scoring percentages" be provided with evaluation criteria.  NOTE: Criteria revised to be shown in order of relative importance. Scoring
	percentages will be provided for Evaluation Committee proposal review process.