Udall Park
Master Plan Update
May 2011

Approved by Mayor and Council June 7, 2011
Resolution No. 21770
# CONTENTS

1. Acknowledgements ................................................. 5
2. Introduction .......................................................... 9
3. Needs Assessment ................................................... 15
4. Preliminary Master Plan Options ............................... 45
5. Preferred Master Plan ............................................. 53
6. Funding Sources and Strategies ............................... 61
7. Appendices .......................................................... 67
   - Cost Estimate
   - Monitoring Wells & Landfill Facilities
   - Preliminary Signal Warrant Analysis
   - Advisory Committee 06.02.10 Meeting Summary
   - Advisory Committee 06.16.10 Meeting Summary
   - Public 06.29.10 Meeting Summary
   - Public Questionnaire Cards
   - Advisory Committee 08.11.10 Meeting Summary
   - Advisory Committee 09.15.10 Meeting Summary
   - Public 10.06.10 Meeting Summary
   - Public Comment Cards
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The City of Tucson Parks and Recreation and Norris Design would like to acknowledge and extend our gratitude to the community and the following individuals for their efforts and input in the creation of this master plan update. This document, plan and process could not have been as comprehensive and effective without all who have participated.

City of Tucson City Council
Robert E. Walkup Mayor
Regina Romero Ward One – Council Member
Paul Cunningham Ward Two – Council Member
Karin Uhlich Ward Three – Council Member
Shirley Scott Ward Four – Council Member, Vice Mayor
Richard Fimbres Ward Five – Council Member
Steve Kozachik Ward Six – Council Member

City of Tucson Parks and Recreation Department
Fred Gray, Jr. Director
John Sefton Deputy Director
Jane Duarte Capital Program Manager
Robert Just Landscape Architect, Capital Planning and Development
Jim Conroy East District Administrator
Midge Irwin East District Superintendent
AJ Rico East District Supervisor

City of Tucson Parks and Recreation Commission
Jonathan Rothschild Vice Chair, Mayor’s Appointment
Kristin Almquist Ward 1
Caroline Grey-Ganz Ward 2
Deborah Tosline Ward 3
Steve Anderson Ward 4, Chairperson
Vickie Mesimer Ward 5
Bob Kovitz Ward 6

Parks and Recreation Planning and Design Consultants
Stacey Weaks Principal, Norris Design
Karen Ryan Director of Parks and Recreation Planning, Norris Design
Jason Kuklinski Senior Associate, Norris Design
Hampton Uzzelle Designer, GIS Manager, Norris Design
Jordan Dame Director – 3D Services, Norris Design
Katrina Kowalski Graphic Design, Norris Design
Kevin Thornton Traffic Engineer, PSOMAS
Alejandro Angel Traffic Engineer, PSOMAS
Udall Park Advisory Committee Representatives
Bob McDaniel | Dorado Country Club Estates
Caroline Grey-Ganz | Udall Senior Addition Representative
Christie Powers | Softball Fan and Parent
Collin Layel | Youth Representative
Dale Crockatt | East Pantano/Pima Neighborhood Association
Diana Lussier | Softball Fan and Parent
Fran Veres | Arizona Symphonic Winds
Gene Brown | Colonia Verde HOA
Jonathan Mabry | City of Tucson Historic Preservation
Julianna Lussier | Tanque Verde Baja Softball
Kenneth Madaus | Arizona Symphonic Winds
Laszlo Veres | Arizona Symphonic Winds
Mary Fimbres | Ward 2 Council Aide to Paul Cunningham
Mike Pellar | Sabino Canyon Little League
Pat Dunham | Pima County Junior Soccer
Pat Wiedhopf | Vista del Rio Neighborhood Association
Pete & Jeanne Snell | Fleet Feet
Richard DeBernardis | Perimeter Bicycling Association of Arizona
Taylor Powers | Tanque Verde Baja Softball
Terry Lingel | Dorado Country Club Estates
The purpose of this project is to update the 2001 Master Plan for the City of Tucson’s Udall Park; a 172.8-acre park that is located in a fairly densely populated and north central geographic location that experiences a high amount of use from a wide variety of demographic and interest groups within the Tucson community. The vision for this project is to create a community and stakeholder-supported master plan and general concept site plan representing the needs of the City and the community. The master plan provides a long-term vision for the park, emphasizes functionality, provides a unique sense of place, integrates stewardship of resources and best meets the needs of the City and its residents.

Udall Park currently serves the community well, but has the potential to be an incredible community gathering place and recreation resource, not only for the current residents of Tucson but also for future residents and visitors. In addition, future programming opportunities and connectivity to the surrounding neighborhoods and regional trails are of critical importance.

The master plan is being developed in conjunction with the Kolb Road: Connection to Sabino Canyon, a Regional Transportation Authority (RTA) funded project. The Kolb Road RTA project provides enhanced vehicular and pedestrian connectivity for the City. The Kolb Road: Connection to Sabino Canyon includes the following proposed improvements that integrate with the Udall Park Master Plan.

- Signalized intersection at Crestline Road and Sabino Canyon Road (Kolb Road: Connection to Sabino Canyon) to improve the vehicular and pedestrian circulation at the intersection enhancing pedestrian safety.
- Divided Urban Trail connection along the Kolb Road: Connection to Sabino Canyon from the Crestline Road intersection at Udall Park linking to the Pantano Wash Linear Park.
- Trail connection from the Kolb Road: Connection to Sabino Canyon to the Pantano Wash Linear Park, establishing a major link to the City and County bike routes to enhance pedestrian connectivity.
- Sound wall along Udall Park, as warranted by the noise study.
- Improved bus turnaround to provide a public transit hub for Udall Park.

Project Vision & Purpose
Introduction

Park History

On September 11, 1982, Morris Udall officially opened the City’s Morris K. Udall Regional Park at Tanque Verde and Sabino Canyon roads by tossing out the first soccer ball for the first official match. Udall represented the Tucson region in the US House of Representatives from 1961 to 1991. While in Washington, he spent more than a decade as chairman of the House Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs. The 172-acre Udall Park Zone includes picnic and parking areas and multi-use fields. Before the site became a park, it had been the location of the United States Coast Guard and Geodetic Survey Magnetic Observatory since 1909. Today, Udall Park is a public park that features the Morris K. Udall Regional Center (indoor basketball and volleyball, fitness facilities, indoor jogging track, and heated outdoor pool) and Carol W. West Senior Addition. The dog park opened in December of 2004. Most recently, two soccer fields were opened in October of 2010.

Planning Integration

An important part of this process is to analyze and integrate with previous and current planning projects; enabling the City and its partners to streamline planning efforts and to maximize the use of resources. Previous planning documents of the organization were reviewed to gain an appreciation of the history and standards that were expected of the organization in the past. These studies included review of planning documents, budgets and various reports. The master plan reflects the goals of the City General Plan, the Parks and Recreation 10-Year Strategic Plan, as well as the 1991 Udall Park Master Plan and 2001 Udall Park Master Plan Update and Senior Addition, in order to maintain continuity.

Previous Studies

Previous studies conducted at Udall Park include the following:

- Udall Park Master Plan Update and Senior Addition, The Architecture Company, 2001
- Udall Park Master Plan, Rogers & Gladwin, 1981.
1991 Udall Park Master Plan Update

In 1991 the City of Tucson, a team of planning and design consultants and members of the general public participated in an analysis of the existing regional park in order to identify long-range improvements for the undeveloped areas within the park, as well as adjacent lands that could be used for park purposes. Key considerations in this process involved the relocation of the United States Coast Guard and Geodetic Survey Magnetic Observatory Property, potential utilization of the Mullin’s Landfill, incorporation of the 1989 Parks and Recreation Master Plan, response to public needs, and integration of cultural exhibits. This planning process resulted in the following recommendations for the development of park amenities and infrastructure:

- Develop entries on the west side at Crestline Dr. and to the south at Prudence Rd.
- Buffer zones between activities and neighborhoods
- Auto circulation and parking within park
- Develop historic and cultural exhibits
- Create a trail and path system
- Playing fields and multi-use areas
- Children’s play area
- Ramadas, picnic areas, and comfort stations
- Senior center and activities
- Children’s pool and shade structure
- Tennis, volleyball and outdoor basketball
- Large amphitheater and performance center
- Equestrian facility
- Golf course and driving range

2001 Udall Park Master Plan Update and Senior Addition

In 2001 the City of Tucson completed a strategic planning effort to update the 1991 Udall Park Master Plan. This planning effort utilized an Advisory Committee and public input to guide the development of new facilities, such as the Carol W. West Senior Addition, youth soccer fields, additional parking, tennis courts, a dog run, as well as amenities and infrastructure to support future sports fields and park access. This planning and design process also took into consideration previous archeological surveys and studies of the site, environmental and ecological assets, transit and access needs, and public art requirements, to name a few. This planning process resulted in the following recommendations for the development of park amenities and infrastructure:

- Senior Addition
- West park entrance
- Vehicular circulation and parking
- Transit center on the northwest corner of the site
- Additional parking
- Outdoor restrooms
- Outdoor amphitheater
- Lighted tennis courts
- Dog run
- Lighted youth soccer field (approved by Resolution # by Mayor and City Council 2008)
- Lighting for existing baseball field
It is evident that in the nearly ten years since this plan was developed, there have been significant changes to the demographics and recreation trends of the area served by Udall Park. Additionally, given the country and area’s recent economic recession, the importance of sustainability, conservation and stewardship practices have become even more important design and management considerations.

**Project Approach**

The consultant team’s approach to this project included comprehensive information gathering and analysis, a community needs assessment (demographics, programs and services analysis, and public and stakeholder input), the development of two preliminary site plan options, development of a preferred conceptual site plan and cost estimations. The result of this approach is a Master Plan Update and Preferred Master Plan that documents the process, findings from the needs assessment, as well as implementation strategies to put the plan into action. The preferred master plan in the master plan is intended to provide a guide for the development of detailed design and construction documents and physical renovation and improvements of the park site.
NEEDS ASSESSMENT
Udall Park is a 172.8-acre Metro Park, within the City of Tucson’s Ward 2, serving the City of Tucson’s northeast side. The park is located at 7200 E. Tanque Verde Rd. at the southeast corner of Tanque Verde and Sabino Canyon Roads and its current zoning is SR. Located north of the park is the commercial and retail development. East of Udall Park is a residential neighborhood identified as the Pantano Ridge Estates Subdivision, which contains parcels with varying Residential Zoning (R) designations and is a part of the Udall Park Neighborhood Association. West of the park is residential neighborhood identified as a portion of the Tanque Verde Heights Subdivision containing parcels with various Residential Zoning (R). South of Udall Park is a City of Tucson-owned landfill and private landfill both zoned SR.

Per the City of Tucson’s Parks and Recreation Ten-Year Strategic Service Plan (October 31, 2006) Udall Park is identified as a Metro Park and is defined as the following:

**METRO PARK**

**Size:** 40-200 acres

**Service Area:** The surrounding community to a distance of 2.5-3.0 miles radius.

**Guidelines:**
Core/Mid-city: 3 acre/1000 persons; Edge/Future: 3.5 acre/1000 persons

**Description:**
Developed to provide more active recreation than passive recreation, this large park serves as a social and recreational focal point for a large area of the community. Designed to serve users of all ages and a diversity of community-based recreational needs, it is often located on major arterial streets and is accessible predominantly by vehicle or bicycle.

**Typical Facilities:**
Through the input of a public participation process, these parks are designed to address the recreational needs of the community served, so they differ in character and reflect the community they serve. Typical facilities include:

- Landscape Buffers (adjacent to neighboring development)
- Natural Landscape Areas
- Lakes
- Zoo (typically only one per community)
- Botanical Garden
- Water Features
- Outdoor Amphitheater/Concert Area
- Swimming Pool or Water-oriented Recreation
- Regional Recreation Center
- Restrooms
- Children’s Playgrounds
- Open Turf Areas
- Sports Fields (lighted)
- Volleyball Courts (lighted)
- Fitness Course
- Multi-use Courts (lighted)
- Off-street Vehicle Parking
• Landscaping (includes trees, turf and inert ground covers)
• Walkways
• Benches
• Large Group Picnic Areas with Ramadas, Tables and
• Barbecue Grills
• Support Amenities (such as trash receptacles, drinking fountains and signage)
• Security Lighting

Udall Parks’ existing key recreational amenities include the following:
• Recreation Center
• Senior Center
• Aquatics Center
• Amphitheater
• Softball and Baseball Fields (Lighted)
• Soccer Fields (Lighted)
• Tennis Courts (Lighted)
• Dog Park (Lighted)
• Playgrounds
• Volleyball Courts (Lighted)
• Trails And Walking Paths
Figure 3.1: Udall Park Inventory - Aerial Overview

*Source: Pima County Association of Governments 2008 Orthophoto Imagery*
Site Analysis

Land Ownership/BLM
Arizona Congressman Morris K. Udall, for whom the park is named, provided his support to negotiate the original lease agreement with the Federal Bureau of Land Management (BLM) and the U.S. Department of the Interior to allow the City of Tucson to utilize the site for a park. The BLM maintains a patent with the City of Tucson that governs park uses on this site. In conjunction with this Master Plan Update, a Plan of Development will be submitted to BLM for review and comment.

Archaeology
Per the Assessment and Survey of the United States Coast Guard and Geodetic Survey Magnetic Observatory Property (located in the Morris K. Udall Regional Park) conducted by Desert Archeology, Poster Frost Associates and the City of Tucson (2005), the site contains six (6) areas of archeological and historical significance.

Endangered Species
Per the Udall Park Master Plan Update in 2001, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service provided clearance in a letter stating that it is not necessary to conduct a survey of Udall Park for the presence of the cactus ferruginous pygmy-owl. Since the 2001 plan, the cactus ferruginous pygmy-owl has been delisted from the endangered species list.

Native Plant Preservation Ordinance
All future improvements to Udall Park will adhere to the City of Tucson Development Standard NO. 2-15.0 Native Plant Preservation Ordinance.

Preservation Areas/Buffers
Per the 2001 Udall Park Master Plan Update and Senior Addition, the park is surrounded by residential zoning except to the south where the Mullin’s Landfill exists. As a part of this planning effort one of the public’s priorities was to maintain the existing natural desert buffer between the park and adjacent neighborhoods.

Viewsheds
Prominent views from the park are to the north and the east. To the north the Catalina Mountains provide an excellent backdrop for the park and to the east views of the Rincon Mountains are visible.

Monitoring Wells and Landfill Facilities
The Vincent Mullins Landfill, a City of Tucson facility, and the Speedeway Construction Debris Landfill, a privately owned and operated facility, are located along the southern boundary of Udall Park. A chain-link fence delineates the southern boundary of the park from the landfills. The fence along the boundary of the Vincent Mullins Landfill and Udall Park is located within the park area avoiding the bottom of an existing drainage swale and providing the monitoring equipment a location on undisturbed ground. In addition, groundwater well monitoring equipment, landfill gas monitoring equipment and landfill gas flares are located on park property along the southern boundary to provide a safety mechanism to monitor potential contaminants infiltrating from the landfill onto the park site. Refer to Figure 7.1 in the Appendix highlighting the location of the monitoring equipment and gas flares along the southern edge of Udall Park.

Vincent Mullins Landfill
The City of Tucson Environmental Services monitors groundwater semi-annually at the Vincent Mullins Landfill in accordance with an Aquifer Protection Permit (APP) issued by ADEQ in January 2002. The APP requires ongoing groundwater monitoring, capping the landfill and upgrading the landfill gas extraction system. Per APP requirements the landfill was officially closed in November 2007. Semi annual groundwater monitoring and quarterly landfill gas monitoring at the property boundaries is on-going. (Source: City of Tucson Environmental Services, http://esd.tucsonaz.gov/node/124)
Site Asset Inventory and Assessment Methodology

In 2009, The City of Tucson Parks and Recreation Department collaborated with Norris Design to develop a Geographic Positioning Survey (GPS) Inventory, Geographic Information Survey (GIS) Spatial Mapping Services, and an Asset Assessment of all City of Tucson park assets, which included Morris K. Udall Park. The inventory process was completed in three phases:

- Preliminary mapping and identification of park assets based on high resolution aerial photography.
- Site visits for GIS information gathering of both primary and support assets and an evaluation of the condition of all park assets.
- Database development and information processing resulting from the subsequent site visits.

The GPS inventory utilized a quantitative and qualitative analysis. The quantitative analysis included an accounting of all park assets; from the largest assets such as park parcels and land holdings, to smaller support assets such as playground structures and drinking fountains. The qualitative analysis was a conditional evaluation and ranking of park assets. Park assets were divided into two categories, primary assets and secondary assets. The primary assets are those amenities the community would specifically visit the park or recreation facility to utilize. Support assets include items such as seating, bike racks, restroom facilities, etc. are considered amenities which enhance a user’s experience but are not features of the park which are typically the primary reason for use of the facility.

A standard list of typical secondary assets was created prior to the site visit, and while on-site each element on the list was evaluated, based on the following criteria:

- Does a facility with the existing primary assets and of this size, need this secondary asset? If it is not present, should it be?
- Is there a sufficient number of each secondary asset present for a facility of this size and capacity?
- Is this secondary asset located appropriately within the facility for convenient use by the public?
- Is this secondary asset operational and functional, or should it be repaired or replaced?

In addition to tangible features within the category of support assets, additional criteria were developed to evaluate the function of the facility’s design and of the overall “sense of place”. These design based criteria were evaluated by assessing the overall facility, access, as well as the site’s setting. Both primary and support assets were assigned a conditional ranking or scale of 1-5.

- 5: A primary asset which meets the needs of the community in a manner significantly above the average of park amenities in Udall Park and is of excellent quality and condition. A secondary asset which more than adequately serves the needs of the users of the facility, based on condition and/or quantity. No additions or enhancements are necessary in either asset level; however, continued maintenance to maintain this elevated level of service is required.

- 4: A primary asset which provides above-average service to the community and is of great quality and condition. A secondary asset which serves the needs of the users of the facility in an above-
average manner, based on the condition and/or quantity.

- 3: A primary asset which adequately meets the needs of the community and is of average quality and condition. A secondary asset which adequately serves the needs of the users of the facility, condition and quantity are at an acceptable level enhancements or additional quantities may be appropriate for either asset level, but are not necessary.

- 2: A primary asset and/or secondary asset which is less than adequate at meeting the needs of the community and is of below-average quality and condition.

- 1: A primary asset which is significantly below average in meeting the needs of the community, and is significantly below average in quality and condition. A secondary asset which does not adequately serve the needs of the users of the facility. In both categories, the amenity may be unusable or already removed but not replaced with this ranking. For secondary assets, in most cases, additional quantities or improvements are recommended.

- 0: Either a primary asset which is significantly below average in meeting the needs of the community, because it is dangerous in quality and condition or a secondary asset that needs to be added to the facility in order to adequately serve it’s users. If the primary amenity has this score, it is a hazard and needs to be removed or replaced.

- X: Some secondary assets show an X instead of a ranking in the evaluation matrices. This indicates that the asset is not present and is not necessary for the facility, and therefore not evaluated.

These conditional scores results in an a overall conditional score for each type of asset and the facility as whole compared to other parks of similar size in the entire City of Tucson Parks system. A spreadsheet showing the inventory and conditional scores of the assets in Udall Park are shown in Figure 3.5.

The breadth of the GPS inventory provides the City of Tucson Parks and Recreation Department an accurate and comprehensive accounting and assessment of all park assets and amenities along with their respective conditions. The Parks and Recreation Department can utilize the inventory for future planning efforts by understanding the current parks system in its entirety and analyzing the data gathered to determine the Level of Service (LOS) the parks system is providing the community and how the parks and recreation needs of the community may change in the future. The inventory and assessment also will assist the Parks and Recreation Department’s operations and maintenance staff with maintenance schedules and the life cycle of park amenities and equipment.
Needs Assessment

Site Asset Inventory and Assessment
Analysis and Findings

The conditional rankings (described in the previous section) of the assets were compiled to create Primary, Support, and Overall scores for each park (see Table 3.1). Primary scores represent the average of all the conditional rankings of the primary assets in the park. Likewise, support scores are the average of the conditional rankings for the support assets. The overall score is a weighted average of the primary and support scores. These values are a means to compare the quality of parks of various sizes across the parks system. A comparison of the Primary, Support, and Overall scores of other Metro parks (40-200 acre parks) in the City of Tucson Parks and Recreation Department can be seen in Table 3.1. For reference, a score of 60% indicates that a park adequately meets the needs of the community and is of average quality and condition.

Udall Park has relatively high scores which indicate that the park is of high quality and condition. Specifically, Udall Park’s primary score of 77% was the result of several high quality, well supported primary assets such as the Senior Addition, Regional Center, and baseball complex which included lights, ramadas, a snack bar, covered bleachers, bullpens, and a batting cage. Several other primary assets unique to Udall Park are lighted horseshoe pits, bocce courts, and a loop walk. Udall Park’s support score of 71% is above average, but slightly lower than the primary score. Specific areas for improvement are increased parking and natural shade. Based on this analysis, future development in Udall Park should focus on meeting the projected needs of the community as it grows around the park and assuring that quality of new primary assets are reinforced with adequate support assets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Park</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Overall</th>
<th>Primary</th>
<th>Support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Morris K. Udall</td>
<td>172.8 Acres</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Lowell</td>
<td>61.5 Acres</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John F. Kennedy</td>
<td>174.2 Acres</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joaquin Murrietta</td>
<td>49.9 Acres</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abraham Lincoln</td>
<td>197.2 Acres</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silverlake</td>
<td>53.4 Acres</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rudy Garcia</td>
<td>45.8 Acres</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.1: Comparative Conditional Assessment of Tucson’s Metro Parks*

*Note: This is a sample set of Metro Parks. Not all Metro Parks in the City of Tucson park system are shown. The parks listed above are similar in the amount of developed acreage and mix of amenities.
Figure 3.2 – Parks and Schools within the Service Area of Udall Park (3-mile Radius)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amenity</th>
<th>NRPA LOS</th>
<th>Current Facilities</th>
<th>Estimated Need</th>
<th>Current Shortfall/Overage</th>
<th>2014 Estimated Need</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor Basketball</td>
<td>1 per 5,000</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennis</td>
<td>1 per 2,000</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>-18</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volleyball</td>
<td>1 per 5,000</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseball/Softball</td>
<td>1 per 5,000</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Football</td>
<td>1 per 20,000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soccer</td>
<td>1 per 10,000</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swimming Pool</td>
<td>1 per 20,000</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Running Track</td>
<td>1 per 20,000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trail System</td>
<td>1 mile per 3,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Playground</td>
<td>1 per 1,000</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>-54</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultural Center</td>
<td>1 per 100,000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Center</td>
<td>1 per 50,000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Picnic Pavilion*</td>
<td>1 per 2,000</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skate Park</td>
<td>1 per 100,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Ramadas (not all picnic)

Baseball/Softball = 7 Adult Baseball, 11 Softball, 14 Youth Baseball
Per the National Recreation and Parks Association (NRPA) and the City of Tucson Strategic Plan, Udall Park falls within the Metro Park category and has a variety of developed amenities and activities in order to serve the surrounding public’s recreational needs. Udall Park is considered a Metro Park by both the NRPA and City of Tucson Parks and Recreation Department Standards and therefore has a Service Area of a three (3) mile radius around the park. **Table 3.2** compares Udall Park’s existing amenities along with all other City parks (of various sizes) and any school recreational facilities against the National Recreation and Parks Association NRPA standards for parks (the 2014 needs are also calculated). Shortfalls are represented by a negative number and overages are represented by a positive number. As compared to NRPA standards Udall Park and the facilities within the service area (parks and schools) are not providing adequate Level of Service in the following amenity categories; Tennis, Volleyball, Football, Swimming, Track, Playgrounds, Community Centers, and Skate Parks.
Figure 3.3 – Parks within the Service Area of Udall Park (3-mile Radius)
### Table 3.3: National Recreation and Parks Association (NRPA) Level of Service Comparison (Parks Only)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amenity</th>
<th>NRPA LOS</th>
<th>Current Facilities</th>
<th>Estimated Need</th>
<th>Current Shortfall/ Overage</th>
<th>2014 Estimated Need</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor Basketball</td>
<td>1 per 5,000</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>-17</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennis</td>
<td>1 per 2,000</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>-28</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volleyball</td>
<td>1 per 5,000</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>-14</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseball/Softball</td>
<td>1 per 5,000</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Football</td>
<td>1 per 20,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soccer</td>
<td>1 per 10,000</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swimming Pool</td>
<td>1 per 20,000</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Running Track</td>
<td>1 per 20,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trail System</td>
<td>1 mile per 3,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Playground</td>
<td>1 per 1,000</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>-75</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultural Center</td>
<td>1 per 100,000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Center</td>
<td>1 per 50,000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Picnic Pavilion*</td>
<td>1 per 2,000</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skate Park</td>
<td>1 per 100,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Ramadas (not all picnic)

Baseball/Softball = 5 Adult Baseball, 7 Softball, 9 Youth Baseball

### NRPA standards-based facility needs assessment (All)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amenity</th>
<th>NRPA LOS</th>
<th>Current Facilities</th>
<th>Estimated Need</th>
<th>Current Shortfall/ Overage</th>
<th>2014 Estimated Need</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor Basketball</td>
<td>1 per 5,000</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennis</td>
<td>1 per 2,000</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>-18</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volleyball</td>
<td>1 per 5,000</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseball/Softball</td>
<td>1 per 5,000</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Football</td>
<td>1 per 20,000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soccer</td>
<td>1 per 10,000</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swimming Pool</td>
<td>1 per 20,000</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Running Track</td>
<td>1 per 20,000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trail System</td>
<td>1 mile per 3,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Playground</td>
<td>1 per 1,000</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>-54</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultural Center</td>
<td>1 per 100,000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Center</td>
<td>1 per 50,000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Picnic Pavilion*</td>
<td>1 per 2,000</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skate Park</td>
<td>1 per 100,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Ramadas (not all picnic)

Baseball/Softball = 7 Adult Baseball, 11 Softball, 14 Youth Baseball
Table 3.3 compares Udall Park's existing amenities along with all other parks of various sizes against the National Recreation and Parks Association NRPA standards for parks within a three (3) mile Level of Service Area for the park (the 2014 needs are also calculated). Shortfalls are represented by a negative number and overages are represented by a positive number. As compared to NRPA standards Udall Park and all surrounding parks within the service area are not providing adequate recreation opportunities in the following amenity categories: Outdoor Basketball, Tennis, Volleyball, Football, Swimming, Track, Playgrounds, Community Centers, Picnic Pavilions and Skate Parks.

The GIS Inventory conducted by Norris Design collected additional information about distribution of amenities within the Udall Park service area. Table 3.4 lists the additional amenities not assessed by the NRPA standards and compares total amenities for Udall Park to the total amenities available within the 3 mile service area. This analysis shows that Udall Park contributes significantly to the level of service for several categories of amenities, but does not add a proportionate number of playgrounds / play structures, basketball courts, or tennis courts.

Both national and local Recreation and Parks Level of Service comparisons yielded beneficial information in developing additional information for the needs assessment. Based on national standards for recreation amenities and opportunities, this analysis provided critical information to develop a program for Udall Park Master Plan.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3.4: Udall Park Contribution to the Level of Service</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parks and Schools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QUANTITY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 3.4: Udall Park Inventory - Udall Park – Existing Inventory*

*Source: Aerial Photography provided by Pima County Association of Governments 2008 Orthophoto Imagery
### Parks and Recreation Outdoor Recreation Asset Inventory

#### City of Tucson Parks

**Location**: Morris K Udall Park

**June 2, 2010**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>QTY SUPPORT ASSETS</th>
<th>QTY PRIMARY ASSETS</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Access (general)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>ADA Accessibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bike Racks</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connectivity (Internal)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog Station</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drinking Fountains</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grills</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Shade</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park Entrance</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Picnic Tables</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Plus 5 Portable Picnic Tables</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restrooms</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seasonal/Ornamental Paintings</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seating</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>30-Benches, 6-Bleachers, 2-Seat Walls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security Lighting</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Setting</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trail Access</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trash Receptacles</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2 Fixed location, <em>steel drums not included in inventory</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>QTY PRIMARY ASSETS</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amphitheater</td>
<td>Nice Udall!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquatics - Pool</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Batfield</td>
<td>6: Adult Baseball</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Batfield</td>
<td>5: Little League</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Batfield</td>
<td>5: Little League</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Batting Cage</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Batting Cage</td>
<td>4: 1 softball, 4 dugouts, bleachers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bocce</td>
<td>4: Wood edge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog Park</td>
<td>4: Many dog stations w/ no bags, spotty turf, 7 picnic tables</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fitness Structure</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horseshoes</td>
<td>4: X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loop Walk</td>
<td>5: Asphalt w/ centerline stripe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>3: X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soccer Field</td>
<td>4: X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soccer Field</td>
<td>3: Closed for turf repair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soccer Field</td>
<td>3: Closed for turf repair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soccer Field</td>
<td>5: New Summer 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soccer Field</td>
<td>5: New Summer 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Turf</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Turf</td>
<td>3: X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Play Structure</td>
<td>4: Sand, ADA rubber, concrete header, 5-12 yr olds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Art</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramada - Shields</td>
<td>4: Aluminum over bleachers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Udall Park Master Plan Update (2010) addresses the deficits in outdoor basketball, aquatics, play areas, picnic pavilions, and skate parks. Following are key findings that resulted from the conditional assessment and system-wide inventory of Tucson’s park system:

- 70 acres of the total 172.8 acres is developed.
- Udall Park has a diverse mix of activities.
- Udall Park is well maintained and is a safe place to recreate.
- Benefit from improved vehicular and pedestrian circulation and wayfinding signage.
- Opportunity to provide access to intermodal transportation opportunities adjacent to the park i.e. bus stops, bike routes, etc.
- The introduction of the current park improvements and the park’s parking availability should meet the current parking demand.
- “Park within a park” – improve the relationships between large activity spaces, for example, the addition of more play areas.
Demographics Analysis

Community Overview
Located in the Southwest United States, in Southern Arizona, Tucson is one of the oldest continually inhabited areas in North America. Hohokam Indians lived and farmed here for 4,000 years before Spanish missionaries and soldiers arrived in the late 1600s. Tucson officially was founded on August 20, 1776 -- an event celebrated annually at Tucson’s birthday party, La Fiesta de San Agustín.

Tucson is now the second-largest city in Arizona after the state capital, Phoenix. Additionally, it is the county seat of Pima County, which includes the towns of Marana, Oro Valley, Catalina, South Tucson, Sahuarita, Vail, and Green Valley. Metropolitan Tucson’s population is more than 1 million; this includes roughly 50,000 students and employees at the University of Arizona, the first university in Arizona, founded in 1885.

Boasting an average 350 sunny days a year and warm dry air, Tucson’s climate is ideal for year-round outdoor recreation. Winter temperatures average highs of 64-75 °F, which supports activities such as golfing, hiking, cycling, rodeos and outdoor festivals. Summer days can get quite warm and often promote more indoor leisure activities, such as exploring Tucson’s excellent shopping, museums, and art galleries. However, summer’s cooler early mornings and late evenings allow for outdoor dining and activities like hiking and horseback riding.

Population Growth
The population of the City of Tucson is an urban community that is projected to experience slight growth over the next five years, 1.07% for the period 2009-2014. In comparison, the 3-mile service area surrounding Udall Park is somewhat more mature and is expected to grow at a lesser rate of 0.75%. This pace is slower than both the rate of growth for Pima County (1.68%), the State of Arizona (2.45%), but is in line with national trends for the United States (0.91%). By 2014, Tucson’s population is projected to be 570,268 and the Udall Park service area will be 96,382.

For Parks and Recreation planning and programming, an average rate of population growth generally provides steadiness and predictability in park, facility, and program user rates and demands, with fluctuations due to changes in the makeup of users, rather than the absolute number of users. Therefore, it is important for Tucson to understand the demographics of its residents, the service area, as well as trends in parks and recreation that may cause programs and facilities to become more or less popular among users.
Age Breakdowns

For the purpose of Parks and Recreation planning, there are seven age groups which are useful to classify the population. These age groups generally define how a group prefers to use parks and recreation facilities, physical abilities, types of programs they may be interested in and the amount of time available to spend participating in recreational activities. These groups are:

- Under 5 – those with limited physical abilities and who need constant supervision;
- 5-14 – children’s needs and programming, and a this is a significant time to create habits of being active;
- 15-24 – young adults who use facilities and programs independent of their family, primarily with friends and as part of their social time;
- 25-34 – those whose needs primarily center on relationships and starting families;
- 35-54 – people whose needs generally revolve around their family, which likely contains children ranging from toddlers to young adults;
- 55-64 – empty nesters, those focused on new grandchildren and those preparing for retirement;
- 65+ - a group that is more active than at any point in history, has more leisure time, and whose abilities may be physically constrained.
City of Tucson - When compared to the State of Arizona and the United States in Figure 3.7, Tucson’s population is projected over the next five years to be significantly younger than both (median age – 33.3 compared to Pima County (37.1), AZ (35.8), and the US (36.9). In 2014, the City is projected to have fewer persons in every age group over 35 years than both the state and country, and more persons in those age groups under the age of 34.

Udall Park Service Area – The 3-mile service area for Udall Park contrasts significantly with City trends and is projected to be significantly older (median age – 44) than the Tucson, Pima County, AZ and the US. In 2014, the largest portion of the area’s population falls in the 45 and older, with the greatest segment in the 65+ age category.

A profile of the population’s age is critical to recreation programming since different age groups typically have extremely different needs and desires for recreation facilities. It is evident based on the age breakdowns for the City of Tucson in comparison to the Udall Park Service Area, that there are two growing and distinct groups that are in need of service – these include the youth of the community as well as older adults.

Relevant to parks and recreation planning and programming, a lot of attention nationally and statewide will be paid to meeting the need for recreational amenities for older adults (55+). Udall Park’s demand for programs and facilities serving the needs of those 55 and over should also increase, however, not at the expense of meeting the needs of the City and County’s younger than average population.

Race / Ethnicity
Race and ethnicity play a role in the population’s parks and recreation needs and desires. Trends can be found in the ways that different races/ethnic groups use parks and recreation facilities and the types of programming they seek. As Figures 3.8 and 3.9 illustrate, the City of Tucson and Udall Park’s projected race/ethnicity makeup is predominately “White Alone.” However, it is important to recognize that within the “White Alone” category, those of Hispanic origin make up 46.3% of the City and 22.2% of the Udall Park Service Area, both of which are higher than the national average of 17.5%.
In Udall Park’s programming and design, the City of Tucson should consider any special needs or desires of the various races/ethnicities within the Town. Given the high Hispanic population being served by the Park, the Department should consider facilities, programming and services specifically geared towards this community, such as family-oriented activities and special events, as well as the popularity of sports such as soccer. In addition, increased marketing to minorities for existing programming may be an effective use of resources.

**Education**

Research shows that a person’s physical activity level, which by extension is a large determinant of their overall health, is determined by many factors, including education, income, number of household members and gender. ESRI Business solutions data illustrates that in 2009, 25.8% of the population in Tucson has an Associate’s, Bachelor’s or higher educational degree. In comparison the Udall Park Service Area is made of 28.2% of the population that has a higher education degree. These are in line with state and national trends (AZ – 25.2%, US – 26.8%), but given the increasing levels of obesity in the general population the City should continue to focus its efforts in educating and increasing participation in health and wellness programs to counterbalance the impact that this may have on residents’ physical activity levels.

**Household Income**

Data shows that income and physical activity are positively correlated: when one rises, the other rises. A national parks and recreation trends report cites that lower income individuals are significantly less likely to engage in regular physical activity. The City of Tucson and Pima County have economically diverse populations – ranging from the very wealthy to low-income workers, some of which are living below the poverty line and are in need of public assistance.

Tucson ($44,343) and the Udall Park Service Area’s median household income levels ($53,026) are lower than 2014 projected State and national averages, $58,294 and $56,938 respectively. It is important to consider that lower income households typically have limited access to private recreation opportunities and are more in need of public recreation than other demographic groups. A lack of access to public recreation often leads to a lack of physical activity and related health problems.
Health & Related Factors:
Research shows that a person’s physical activity level, which by extension is a large determinant of their overall health, is determined by many factors, including education, income, number of household members and gender. Some revealing facts found by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and reported in Physical Activity and Good Nutrition: Essential Elements to Prevent Chronic Diseases and Obesity, At a Glance 2008 include:

- More than 50% of U.S. adults do not get enough physical activity to provide health benefits;
- 25% of U.S. adults are not active at all in their leisure time;
- Sufficient activity is less common among women than men;
- Those with lower incomes and less education are less active; and
- About two-thirds of young people in grades 9–12 are not engaged in recommended levels of physical activity.

Arizona is ranked 26th in regard to its adult obesity rate in comparison to the rest of the nation. This is very concerning news considering that approximately ¼ of the adult population is obese (24.8%). In addition, it is extremely alarming that 30.7% of children 10-17 years of age are overweight or obese. Parks and recreation programming can play a large role in providing safe places for children to exercise and recreate and should be used to continue the encouragement of youth in physical activities.

Programming, Services and Trends Analysis

The City of Tucson Parks and Recreation Department is committed to “Providing a variety of parks, recreation facilities and program experiences equitably throughout the community. Existing facilities will be aggressively maintained and additional facilities added as growth and demand dictate. Programs will be developed and maintained to the highest quality, ensuring a safe environment with exceptional service while developing a lifetime customer. Services will demonstrate a positive economic investment through partnerships with other service providers, both public and private, contributing to a high quality of life for Tucsonans.”

These programs and services make available activities for a wide variety of interests and for all ages and abilities. Based on the differing demographics of the Park’s service area, as well as the larger Tucson and Pima County communities – the three primary park users are youth, older adults and the Hispanic community. The City of Tucson’s Parks and Recreation Department needs to position its programming and facilities to accommodate the surround area’s aging population, but cannot do so at the expense of meeting the needs of the City and County’s younger than average population.

Youth - Participation in out-of-school activities and programs offer support for youth and working families; and benefit the youth socially, emotionally, and academically. After-school programs have been proven to decrease juvenile crime and violence, reduce drug use, cut smoking and alcohol abuse, and decrease teen pregnancy. According to the Sporting Goods Manufacturers Association, six (6) of the 15 most popular activities for children are team sports. Organized, after-school activities, club sports, and programs targeted to school-age children in communities around the country could fill the fitness void that is growing wider in United States schools.

In recent years, the City has seen an increased demand and interest in growing activities, such as youth athletics. Stakeholders and elected officials expressed concern...
that the City’s existing facilities are limited in providing for additional sports and athletic programs. Although there are a fairly high number of athletic programs and leagues provided, the Department could be offering even more if funding were identified to support the development of new facilities and additional fields at Udall Park.

Although the City and its partner organizations are doing quite well to provide the youth of the community a fair number of sports activities and teams, there is a need for additional non-traditional programming to provide for the wide variety of interests of the Tucson youth, such as extreme sports, fitness, environmental education, and cultural and arts programs. Drop in activities, one-time day trips or “sampler” programs might better serve to introduce youth to new activities and programs to be provided at Udall Park.

**Favorite Outdoor Activities of American Youth (Age 6-17) by Number of Outings:**

1. Bicycling
2. Running / Jogging / Trail Running
3. Skateboarding
4. Fishing
5. Wildlife Viewing

**Older Adults** - Older Americans’ leisure time is increasingly being spent engaging physical activities, in educational classes, partaking in adventure travel, and attending sporting events. These trends may be the result of the fact that for many, retirement is starting earlier than it has in the past. Approximately 70% of the current retired population entered retirement before the age of 65. These new retirees are younger, healthier, and have more wealth to spend for the services they want. These trends may explain the changing demands, nationally, from traditional low-cost social services to more active programming for which older residents are willing to pay.

**Hispanic Community** – Given the relatively high portion of the City’s population that is Hispanic, it is important to consider the cultural and recreation trends associated with this portion of the community. The three valued and influential aspects of Hispanic culture are family, community and personalization. Hispanic outdoor recreation participants often:

- Prefer to recreate in larger groups and prefer forested sites with water features and amenities to support a day-long, extended family social outing with on-site meal preparation
- Are interested in an outdoor experience with a strong social recreation component, such as facilities and programs that involve families, programs for children and youth, and family oriented entertainment events and festivals
- Identify stress relief and having a good family experience as the most important features of a satisfying outdoor recreation excursion
- Enjoy picnicking, day hiking, camping, and large family gatherings in outdoor settings

Hispanics today recreate in very similar ways as the general population. They have embraced American football to the point that it is now more popular than soccer. With regard to sporting activities, team sports are popular; however, Hispanics also tend to participate in individual sports, which is more popular with those of Caucasian ethnicity. While 89% of Hispanics find outdoor activities fun and 82% believe outdoor activities are healthy, 71% of males and 64% of female Hispanics report that they do not participate in outdoor activities due to lack of time.

**Most Popular Physical Activities Among Hispanics:**

1. Running / Jogging
2. Weightlifting / Gym
3. Bicycling
4. Aerobics
5. Dancing
**Fitness and Health** - Since we spend less time exercising and participating in outdoor recreation, the number of overweight and obese Americans has increased drastically. In 1990, there were only ten states where less than 10% of the population was obese and the remaining states had 10-14%. In 2007, not a single state has less than 10% obesity rate. In fact only one state (Colorado) has less than 20%, 30 states have less than 25% and in three states over 30% of the population are obese. These scary statistics show the need for parks and recreation facilities to reevaluate their programs and consider providing programs that teach and show our youth and young adults how to better integrate outdoor recreation and physical activity into their daily lives.

The prevalence of childhood obesity has nearly tripled over the past 25 years, so that more than 1 in 6 children between the ages of 6 and 19 are obese today. The availability of neighborhood facilities for physical activity may be particularly relevant for youth, who are unable to drive and whose activity is often limited to the immediate distance they are able to walk or bicycle. Therefore, making Udall Park accessible for pedestrians, bicyclist and skateboarders through local and regional trail connections will be critical to increasing access to Udall Park.

**Extreme Sports** - Participation in recreation has shifted over the past couple of years and the demand for “extreme” sports has been increasing. According to the 15th annual SUPERSTUDY® of Sports Participation, from 1998–2001, the largest gains in sports participation have come from the new “Extreme” Sports, which includes sports such as Skateboarding (+73%), Artificial Wall Climbing (+57%), Paintball (+30%) as well as activities like BMX, mountain biking and in-line skating. These new action sports have gained ground during the same period at the expense of traditional American pastimes such as Baseball (-7%), Basketball (-9%) or Touch Football (-4%).

**Special Events & Festivals** - According to William Gartner, author of Trends in Outdoor Recreation, Leisure and Tourism, the parks and recreation industry has seen a rapid increase in the demand for and number of special events and festivals, ranging from arts, culture, sports and tourism in recent years. Influences impacting these trends may include increases in population, mobility and travel. Additionally, ties to corporate sponsors, philanthropic causes, and marketing opportunities, the retirement and diverse interests of the Baby Boomers, and desires of urban-based consumers to engage in unique experiences are potential contributors to the growing demand for special events.

The 1999 Tucson Parks and Recreation Citizen Survey indicated that the most important programs were concerts, special events and adult fitness and health-related programs. Given its central location, this data may warrant consideration for providing additional community events throughout the year at Udall Park (i.e. – Fun Runs, Concert Series, Easter Egg Hunt, etc.).

**Tourism** - The FAST Track (Family, Athletics and Sports Tourism) is a recent trend in recreation planning that encapsulates the growing sports and athletics-related tourism industry. To capture this audience, facilities should include a variety of sports and activities, as well as accommodations and proximity to other tourist attractions. Additionally, it is important to recognize the draw that warm weather climates, such as Tucson, have to recreation enthusiasts and sports participants during the winter.
Increasing tourism may strengthen the City’s economy and provide for stability to counter fluctuations in revenue generation and tax dollars. This effort should also include a focus on *Heritage Tourism* travelers, which are defined as someone who visited an historic area, an historic museum and/or a historic site/landmark and are looking to experience the places and activities that authentically represent the stories and people of the past and present. Heritage Tourists stay longer and spend about 22% more per person, per trip than other leisure visitors. Given the older demographics of the Udall Park service area, and the state, this trend ties into the increasing demand for special events and festivals.

**Udall Park and Center – Usage Analysis**

The Tucson Recreation Division provides a very high number and variety of recreation services and activities, many of which are provided at Udall Park. With a recreation center, outdoor amphitheater, sports fields, tennis courts, sand volleyball courts, bocce courts, horseshoe pits, ramadas, a playground, a dog park, and a 0.75 mile walking path and exercise equipment, there are facilities to serve a wide variety of interests at Udall Park. During the public input period of the project, existing and potential recreation partners, program participants, and facility users expressed their high satisfaction with the current offering of programs and services.

However, there were a few requests for additional facilities and programming for specific demographic groups. For example, participants indicated the need for additional baseball and softball fields, as well as walking paths and a larger amphitheater. City staff also provided insight as to the demand they are seeing for programs and facilities, as well as which existing facilities are being used to capacity. For example, Udall’s athletic fields are programmed to maximum capacity, as well rentals and usage of the Park’s ramadas. Additionally, staff indicated that the dog park is heavily used by the community and is often at capacity. Another amenity that is not able to meet existing demand is the existing children’s play structure, which is heavily used in the morning, with approximately 5 kids per hour playing on it.

Table 3.5 illustrates the usage of existing facilities at Udall Park and Center.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3.5: Udall Park Center Participation Numbers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Daily Center Use</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memberships &amp; Daily fees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rentals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leisure Classes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior activity Participation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Special events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIDCO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer Club</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Daily Pool Use</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Daily Park Use</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseball</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Softball</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soccer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramadas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking Track</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Park Events</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symphonic Winds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public planned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL PARTICIPATION</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Based on these participation numbers, it is evident that Udall Park is a heavily used park that serves a wide variety of users and activities. It is also important to recognize the heavy use by community and user groups (“public planned”), such as the Arizona Children’s Home Adoption Event, the Sunriders Motorcycle Ride, and the El Tour de Tucson. However, it is important to consider that currently these groups are not currently paying for park usage, which could increase sustainable operations and park revenue generation.

Given that the Park is only 42% built-out, residents and staff recognize the opportunity to develop the remainder of the park to provide for activities with high demand. For example, Reid Park’s three (3) mile loop trail attracts approximately 10,000 users a week during peak times and has higher volume of demand than it can provide for. The City is in need of additional long-distance trails to supplement this need. Another popular activity is the Symphonic Winds concerts, which attract up to 1,500 people in a very small amphitheater and seating area. Staff indicated that a larger facility, with additional amenities and more design appeal would increase marketability and allow use for a wide variety of special events.

Other facilities that were requested most often between resident and staff include a skatepark (there is not a public skatepark within the 3-mile service area), additional children’s play structures, rental pavilions, leisure aquatics, open turf, and covered basketball. City Staff would like to see defined spaces, yet allowing for flexible uses, in order to streamline management and operations.

Public Input

Advisory Committee Meetings

The first Advisory Committee meeting for the Udall Park Master Plan Update was held at 6:00 p.m. on June 2, 2010 at the Udall Regional Center- Carol W. West Senior Addition. The consultant team and department staff facilitated the meeting attended by five (5) committee members including representatives of the neighborhoods in the vicinity to the park, sports league representatives, representative of the Symphonic Winds, and interested residents. The purpose of the meeting was to present the master planning process and the role of the Advisory Committee. A strengths, weaknesses, opportunities and threats (SWOT) analysis was conducted in the meeting to obtain input from the committee to guide the design and planning process.

The second Advisory Committee meeting was held at 6:00 p.m. on June 16, 2010. The consultant team and department staff facilitated the meeting attended by nine (9) committee members including representatives of Neighborhood and Homeowner Associations in the vicinity to the park, sports league representatives, business owners, representatives of the Symphonic Winds, the Ward representative and interested residents. The purpose of the meeting was to present the master planning process and preliminary findings from the information gathering task conducted by Norris Design. Information presented included a summary of demographics, inventory data and a summary of programs and services in a powerpoint format. During the meeting, Richard DeBernardis, President of the Perimeter Bicycling Association of Arizona (PBAA), introduced the concept of a potential Velodrome for the site.
The third Advisory Committee meeting was held at 6:00 p.m. on August 11, 2010. The consultant team and department staff facilitated the meeting attended by fourteen (14) committee members including representatives of Neighborhood and Homeowner Associations of the neighborhoods in the vicinity to the park, sports league representatives, business owners, representatives of the Symphonic Winds, the Ward representative and interested residents. The purpose of the meeting was to present the key findings and the two preliminary master plan options. Information presented included a summary of recreation trends, the key findings and the two preliminary master plan options in a powerpoint format. Following the presentation, the team facilitated a small group session to review the master plan options in further detail. Each small group presented a summary of the group’s findings with the entire committee. The Advisory Committee preferred the preliminary master plan ‘Option A’ with some minor modifications.

The fourth Advisory Committee meeting was held at 6:00 p.m. on September 15, 2010. The consultant team and department staff facilitated the meeting attended by ten (10) committee members including sports league representatives, representatives of the Symphonic Winds, the Ward representative and interested residents. The purpose of the meeting was to present the preferred master plan. Information presented included a summary of the draft master plan options, committee input and the preferred master plan in a powerpoint format. The committee provided feedback and suggested a few minor refinements for the preferred master plan. The Advisory Committee expressed their strong support of the preferred master plan.

Public Meetings
A Public Meeting was held at 7:00 p.m. on June 29, 2010 at the Udall Regional Center- Carol W. West Senior Addition. The consultant team and department staff facilitated the meeting attended by forty-five (45) residents. The purpose of the meeting was to present the master planning process and preliminary findings from the information gathering task conducted by Norris Design. Information presented included a summary of demographics, inventory data and a summary of programs and services in a powerpoint format. The team introduced the draft master plan to present programming opportunities for the park. A facilitate question and answer session followed the presentation. During the meeting, Richard DeBernardis, President of the Perimeter Bicycling Association of Arizona (PBAA), introduced the potential Velodrome for the site. After further review, the PBAA determined other locations in the Tucson area are preferred for the Velodrome. The attendees provided feedback in an interactive discussion complementing the approach and master planning program for the park.
The second and final Public Meeting was held on October 6, 2010 at the Udall Regional Center - Carol W. West Senior Addition. The consultant team and Parks and Recreation Department Staff facilitated a meeting attended by fifty-six (56) local residents. The purpose of the meeting was to present and gain public support for the draft Udall Park Master Plan Update which had been directly through the design teams research, demographic and need analysis and input from the Advisory Committee. The power point included information on the master plan process, the Advisory Committee’s input, a summary of the programs, services and trends analysis along with key findings, the integration of the 1991 Udall Park Master Plan Update and the 2001 Udall Park Master Plan Update and Senior Addition documents into this Udall Park Master Plan update, review of the two diagrammatic Udall Park Master Plan Options, and thorough discussion of the Draft Udall Park Master Plan.

The attendees were also asked to fill out a comment card which asked for the individual to provide any comments or opinions and whether they supported or did not support the Udall Park Master Plan Update. Out of fifty-six (56) attendees, twenty-three (23) comment cards were returned. Of the twenty-three (23) comment cards that were returned sixteen (16) comments showed support of the Udall Park Master Plan Update. Six (6) comment cards did not select either option to support or not to support the Udall Park Master Plan Update, however those six (6) comment cards requested that a ice rink should be considered in the Udall Park Master Plan Update. One (1) comment card provided a question mark by the option to support or not to support the Udall Park Master Plan Update and provided a comment regarding the person’s concern over the traffic modifications to Tanque Verde Road.
PRELIMINARY MASTER PLAN OPTIONS
Site and Spatial Analysis – Option 1

The preliminary master plan options are based directly on the findings from the site inventory and assessment, the demographics analysis, programs and service analysis, public input and City of Tucson Parks and Recreation Staff recommendations. Option 1 is focused on creating a community experience. Generally, the conceptual design focuses on building a central core around a new amphitheater and interpretive center, which utilizes the existing historic United States Coast Guard and Geodetic Survey Magnetic Observatory Property, as well as creating a children’s play area and a large open turf area which could be utilized as additional space for large events at the amphitheater. The court play area east of the existing recreation center was expanded to include lighted covered basketball courts and repurposing the existing dog park into a new lighted skate park facility. The plan also includes expanding the existing trail system, improved vehicular circulation, a signalized intersection at Crestline Drive, additional parking facilities, lighted quad ball field complex, lighted soccer fields, a new expanded lighted dog park facility and maintaining open space and buffering from the adjacent neighborhoods. The following is a list of improvements illustrated in the Preliminary Master Plan Option 1, Figure 4.1.

Concept 1:

- Skate park area enlarged from .5 acres to 1.0 acre
- Parking area northeast of amphitheater changed to open turf with ramadas
- 15,000SF play area added between the interpretive center and proposed lighted sports fields
- Dog park enlarged (from 3.5 to 4.8 acres) and relocated to southeast of existing ball fields
- Additional lighted sports field added to replace the relocated dog park
- Youth baseball half-quad shifted east and rotated 90 degrees to accommodate dog park
- Parking added between dog park and proposed youth baseball half-quad
- Quad ball field complex sized to accommodate fields with 330FT foul lines
- Quad ball field complex shifted east
- Spine road shifted east
- Eastern buffer enlarged
Site and Spatial Analysis – Option 2

The preliminary master plan Option 2 is based directly on the findings from the site inventory and assessment, the demographics analysis, programs and service analysis, public input and City of Tucson Parks and Recreation Staff recommendations. The conceptual design’s main focus is creating a centrally located lighted sports complex, building on the location of the existing lighted sports fields that would be conducive to supporting softball/baseball and soccer tournaments. Option 2 retains the interpretive center around the existing United States Coast Guard and Geodetic Survey Magnetic Observatory Property’s historic buildings. The amphitheater was located to the south of the site to take advantage of the existing topography. A lighted skate park was added north of the existing lighted tennis courts and the covered lighted basketball courts are located to the east of the site, adjacent to the existing volleyball courts. The preliminary master plan conceptual graphic Option 2 includes expanding the existing trail system, improved vehicular circulation, a signaled intersection at Crestline Drive and Kolb Road extension, additional parking facilities, a lighted quad ball field complex, lighted soccer fields and maintaining open space and buffering from the adjacent neighborhoods. The following is a list of improvements as illustrated in Figure 2.11 on the following page.

Concept 2:
- Covered basketball moved to site of existing dog park
- Open turf area added in to replace the relocated covered basketball
- Dog park enlarged (from 1.0 to 4.9 acres) and moved to where the velodrome was located
- Small parking areas on the NE and SE corners of the quad ball field complex replaced with open turf areas
- 15,000SF play area and ramadas added to added to the open turf areas described above
- Proposed lighted sports fields were reduced (from 6.25 to 5.25 acres) and shifted north
- 1.5 acre parking area added south of lighted sports fields
- Play area added south of lighted sports fields
- Parking added between dog park and proposed amphitheater
- Quad ball field complex sized to accommodate 330FT foul line fields
- Parking between existing ball fields and amphitheater enlarged (from 1.9 to 2.5 acres)
- Community garden removed
- Eastern buffer enlarged
Figure 4.2: Udall Park Preliminary Master Plan – Option 2, August 11, 2010
Draft Plan Presentation – Advisory Committee Input

At the third Advisory Committee meeting held on August 11, 2010, the consultant team and department staff facilitated the meeting attended by 14 committee members. The purpose of the meeting was to present the key findings and the preliminary master plan options. Information presented included a summary of recreation trends, key findings and the preliminary master plan options in a powerpoint format. Following the presentation, the team facilitated a small group session to review the master plan options in further detail. Each small group presented a summary of the group’s findings with the entire committee. The Advisory Committee preferred the preliminary master plan ‘Option A’ with some minor modifications per the committees recommendations.

Comments

Committee feedback was generally positive in regard to the overall design concept which includes historical elements throughout the park. The following are key points from the meeting.

Option 1: Strengths

- **Circulation**
  - Vehicular access from Pima and Prudence
  - Likes the connectivity of Option 1, minimizes pedestrian/vehicular conflicts
  - Likes having separate parking areas for the softball fields

- **Amenities**
  - Playgrounds (increased number and provide for all ages & abilities)
  - Open turf by big playground and amphitheater (can be used for community events)
  - Larger dog park (current one is heavily used)
  - Lighted path (provide safety – wildlife concerns)
  - Skate park (want adjacent to ball fields)
  - Aquatic feature
  - Basketball court
  - Likes the relationship of the amphitheater, interpretive area and open turf.

- Do we have enough restrooms?
- Requested batting cages to be incorporated into the master plan so the girl’s softball teams have a place to warm up.
- Identified the relocated recycling center to the group.
- Trails- Feels the trails are a huge amenity for the park.

- **Site Considerations**
  - Buffer between park and neighbors
  - Relationship between amphitheater and interpretive center
  - Likes Option 1 because people could be involved in multiple activities at the park without conflicting with each other but connected by the trails and circulation.

Option 1: Concerns

- **Amenities**
  - Sports field lighting and noise pollution may create conflicts with amphitheater
  - Need large batting cages with fields
  - Opportunity for dual purpose of facilities in some areas
  - Add concessions & restroom in area of amphitheater and ball fields

Option 2: Strengths

- **Circulation**
  - Likes the southeast entrance into the park.

- **Amenities**
  - Play areas – Provide variety for various ages and abilities
  - The location of the dog park is good for short visits and discourages owners from allowing dogs off leash in open space and on sports fields
  - Location and access of amphitheater- Some did not like the decentralization of the amphitheater, interpretive and play area.
• Didn’t think the location of the amphitheater was optimal because it didn’t take advantage of the mountain views and the spectators would be looking into the sun.
• Suggested creating multipurpose fields by turning the outfields of ball fields so that they can fit a soccer field in them.
• Liked Option 2 because it creates the opportunity for sports tournaments.
• Loved the aquatics expansion and liked the amphitheater location however the committee thought that needed more open space around it for additional activities during a concert
• Liked the location and size of the dog park.
• Did not like the location of the dog park because of its proximity to the neighborhood to the east and the potential for noise issues.
• Suggested that the skate park be made larger, be more flexible with modular interchangeable elements and be multi-purpose/multi-use for BMX/bikes, inline skaters and skateboarders.
• The girls’ softball players want more and nicer fields. Like the trails.
• Site Considerations
  • Asked if the open space would remain native desert. Open space would remain native desert.
  • Liked that there were large space/buffers between activities.
  • Discussed how the park is programmed vs. the amount of parking spaces required for each activity and use. Explained the balance of design and programming to avoid parking conflicts.

Option 2: Concerns
• Circulation
  • Need stronger connection from north to south
  • Southeast area of the park security
  • Satellite parking (1/2 acre) is isolated and should be moved

• Amenities
  • The orientation of the amphitheater doesn’t take into consideration the mountain views and the sun may be in visitors’ eyes
  • Play structures are all attached to programmed space – want a separate one
  • Is the skate park big enough for shared usage (i.e. – skateboarding and BMX)
  • Locate the skate park closer to the sports fields
  • The basketball courts are too big, switch with the skate park
  • Amphitheater’s location is vulnerable to snakes, critters because of its location to open space

These discussions and recommendations were used to make minor adjustments and transition into the preferred Udall Park Master Plan.
The preferred Udall Park Master Plan integrates the program elements identified in the Advisory Committee and Public Meetings while responding the community’s needs and recreation trends. Udall Park serves a diverse range of users and the program for the park provides a diverse range of options to satisfy the current and future users. The master plan focuses on creating a community experience. The plan creates a central core integrating the amphitheater, interpretive center which utilizes the existing historic United States Coast Guard and Geodetic Survey Magnetic Observatory facilities and creating a children’s play area with a large open turf area which could be utilized as additional space for large events at the amphitheater. The core becomes the arts and culture hub for the park and a destination for the community and region. The plan includes expanding the existing trail system, developing the interior network of paths to link amenities, improved vehicular circulation, a signalized intersection at Crestline Drive and additional parking facilities. The plan maintains the open space and buffering from the adjacent neighborhoods. The following summarizes the key amenities proposed in the master plan

### Master Plan Program

#### Proposed Amenities

- 2 Soccer Fields (All Lighted)
- 1 Multi-purpose Sports Fields (Lighted)
- Quad Ball Field Complex (Lighted)
  - 4 Ball Fields-
    - 2 Softball
    - 2 Full Size Ball Fields
- Trail and path system (Lighted)
  - 3 Miles of Trails
  - Perimeter Loop Trail - 2 Miles
  - Interior paths and trails
  - Trailheads
- Multi-use trail connection to the Pantano River Park
- Large central play area / Play areas located at activity areas
- Children’s pool and shade structure (Aquatics Expansion)
- Skate park (Lighted)
- Dog park (Lighted, relocated and expanded)
- Covered basketball (Lighted, outdoor)
- Ramadas, pavilions, picnic areas, restrooms

#### Cultural and Educational Amenities

- Amphitheater and performance center
- Interpretive Center - develop historic and cultural exhibits at United States Coast Guard and Geodetic Survey Magnetic Observatory

#### Circulation

- Access on west and southeast (Access at Prudence and Pima) sides
- Parking and vehicular circulation within park
- Trail and vehicular circulation within park
- Trail and pedestrian connectivity off-site

#### Program Description

The amenities will enhance the user experience at Udall Park. Key amenities include the amphitheater and interpretive center which utilizes the existing historic United States Coast Guard and Geodetic Survey Magnetic Observatory facilities
anchoring the arts and culture hub for the park to facilitate events for the community. A children’s play area and a large open turf area support the amphitheater and interpretive area. The court play area east of the existing recreation center is expanded to include lighted covered basketball courts and repurposing the existing dog park into a lighted skate park facility. The plan includes an expanded trail system with ramadas along the trail network. Lighted quad ball field complex, lighted little league fields, lighted soccer fields, an expanded aquatics facility, and an expanded dog park facility are key additions to the park.

**Cultural and Educational Amenities** - The amphitheater and interpretive center will serve as the key cultural and educational amenities. The facilities will provide a venue to host special events and festivities for the community. The facilities shall provide for future Symphonic Winds performances, concerts, weddings, arts and craft shows, automobile shows, sporting events i.e. the El Tour of Tucson, etc. The interpretive center will provide an opportunity to share the history of the area and site with park users. Weaving the education elements into the park will bring a new dynamic to the park experience. The interpretive features can connect to the trail system to present education opportunities in the open space areas to highlight plant identification, wildlife identification and environmental facts.

**Open Space** - Open space areas are integrated into the plan to provide a buffer to the adjacent neighborhoods. The open space areas can be used for the trail corridors and picnic/gathering areas located throughout the park. The open space areas will remain undisturbed when possible. Some open space areas may require enhancement to restore the quality of the landscape.

**Support Amenities** - The master plan includes numerous comfort and convenience features that enhance a user’s experience at the park. These elements include ramadas, play areas, restrooms and shade. The features are illustrated on the plan. The improved amenities will include appropriate seating opportunities, shade, accessible access and signage.

**Udall Park Circulation and Access** - The preferred Udall Park Master Plan improves pedestrian circulation by the addition of interior and perimeter paths and trails. The paths and trails will create the desired internal connection to promote enhanced connectivity within the park. Wayfinding elements will be integrated into the pedestrian network to improve the user’s experience to navigate the park. In addition to the internal and perimeter paths and trails, Udall Park will benefit from the connection of the divided urban path to the Pantano Wash River Park and Linear Park. The connection links Udall Park to the extensive linear park system and the regional trail system. Udall Park is a popular location for bicyclists and runners. The connection will provide better access to the regional trail system to serve as a key destination for the community.

The preferred Udall Park Master Plan includes three access points on the north side of the park (on Tanque Verde Road), including an existing signalized access across from Paseo Rancho Esperanza, one proposed signalized intersection/entrance on the west side of the park (on Sabino Canyon Road across from Crestline Drive) as a part of the Kolb Road: Connection to Sabino Canyon Project, and access at the southeast corner of the park which would provide access to Prudence Road and Pima Road. The access points on Tanque Verde Road and Sabino Canyon Road provide access for vehicles traveling to/from any direction, particularly at the traffic signal on Sabino Canyon Road and Tanque Verde Road. When an entrance is provided in the future at the southeast corner of the park, it will allow for more direct access to/from areas southeast of the park.
The internal vehicle circulation for the park will be provided by a major spine road and two smaller roadways. The proposed internal spine road shall have bike lands, sidewalks and a landscape buffer on each side of the road. In addition to the aforementioned roadway design components the spine roads may allow parallel parking. Parking areas are easily accessible off the internal spine road and strategically located near each of the proposed amenities or activity areas within the park. Further, a lighted multi-use path which is designed to separate pedestrians and cyclists from vehicles will be provided around the edge of the park which will connect to the existing paths in the park providing for safer and more efficient pedestrian circulation with the park.

The master plan provides an improved and expanded parking scenario to serve the specific amenities and special events. The parking is distributed around the park and clustered in key areas to support the demands of the amenities. The following table (Table 5.1) summarizes the parking allocation for the master plan.
### Table 5.1: Preferred Master Plan Parking Allocation

#### Existing Facilities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity by Area</th>
<th>Parking Provided</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recreation Center &amp; Senior Addition</td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ball Fields (2)</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soccer Fields (2)</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soccer Fields (3)/Tennis/Dog Park</td>
<td>273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ball Fields (3)</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>664</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Proposed Parking Improvements by Amenity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity by Area</th>
<th>Users Assumption</th>
<th>Parking Spaces Required</th>
<th>Teams</th>
<th>Parking Spaces Required per Activity</th>
<th>Fields</th>
<th>Required</th>
<th>Provided</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recreation Center Expansion</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing Tri-Ball Field Field Complex</td>
<td>12 Users/Team</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quad Ball Field Field Complex</td>
<td>12 Users/Team</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>477</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-Purpose Sports Field</td>
<td>12 Users/Team</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soccer Field</td>
<td>15 Users/Team</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog Park</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skate Park</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basketball Courts</td>
<td>5 Users/Team</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interpretive Center</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphitheater</td>
<td>3,500</td>
<td>1,400</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1,400</td>
<td>452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recycling Center</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,687</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Parking Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Existing</td>
<td>664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed</td>
<td>1,687</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,351</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Eco-Efficiency
The design approach for the Udall Park Master Plan embraces development practices that preserve the historical integrity of the site, conserve resources, increase energy efficiency, educate the user and enhance the human experience. Following are suggested eco-efficient techniques for the implementation of Udall Park Master Plan.

Sustainable Sites
- Erosion control and sedimentation plan
- Porous paving
- Rainwater harvesting/storage
- Light pollution reduction

Water Conservation
- Water efficient landscape
- Expand the reclaimed water infrastructure within the park

Energy Efficiency
- Sustainable design
- Building/paving shading
- Alternative energy production

Material and Resource Efficiency
- Access to public transportation
- Alternative transportation (bikes)
- Recycling center

Human Experience
- Interpretive signage and education
- Active and passive recreation
Figure 5.1 – Udall Park Preferred Master Plan Concept
FUNDING SOURCES AND IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGIES
Pima County Bonds - Pima County typically funds the construction of facilities and land acquisitions with revenue generated from the sale of general obligation and revenue bonds. Types of facilities and acquisitions funded this way include: parks and recreational facilities, community centers, libraries, public health clinics, museums, sheriff substations, jail expansions, court facilities, food control improvements, sewer system improvements, affordable housing, neighborhood reinvestment projects and land purchased to conserve natural areas. Voter approval is required for Pima County to sell bonds to fund these facilities.

Pima County is planning for a future bond election, potentially to be held in 2012. Pima County representatives have stated that this money will largely be focused on quality of life amenities, such as parks, trails and open space. Given that a significant portion of Udall Park’s service area falls outside of the City boundaries and within the County, the City of Tucson should work with the County in order to add Udall Park Master Plan Implementation to the list of tentatively approved projects.

City Bonds - Historically in the City of Tucson capital funds for the development of public projects are most often developed through General Obligation Bonds. Given these tight economic times, it may be challenging to gain support for a bond referendum. However, it is believed by the Department and partners that in the future the community would be supportive of passing a bond issue, given a strong educational campaign and grassroots effort. Yet, it will be important to consider what Department priorities a campaign should be used for, given that voters are often hesitant to approve multiple bond referendums within a short timeframe.

Benefit Assessment Districts - are separate units of government that manage specific resources within defined boundaries. Districts vary in size, encompassing single cities or several counties. They can be established by local governments or by voter initiative, depending on state laws and regulations. As self-financing legal entities they have the ability to raise a predictable stream of money, such as taxes, user fees or bonds, directly from the people who benefit from the services and are often created specifically for parks and recreation. Benefit assessments can be used to fund capital improvements, land acquisition and related long-term debt service, as well as the costs of ongoing maintenance.

Fundraising - Local fundraising is a mechanism that has worked effectively for park and recreation agencies around the country. Although a vast amount of local effort is involved, this mechanism typically generates a vast amount of support and publicity. Local businesses, organizations, and private individuals can pledge funding over a specific period of time.

The Udall Park Master Plan Advisory Committee Members could play an active role in fundraising, which could include a campaign dedicated to implementing the Udall Park Master Plan. The Committee can be proactive by initiating a variety of fundraising tasks, such as establishing a Friends of Udall Park nonprofit or collaborating with an existing nonprofit to send direct mail letters, promoting sponsorship of programs and naming rights, seeking in-kind donations, hosting special events (i.e. – charity concerts, golf tournaments, fundraiser dinners, events to honor volunteers, silent auctions, and themed socials), and soliciting charitable donations of money and in-kind services.

Grants - Grants are available to park and recreation agencies from both public and private sources. Grant opportunities exist for a wide variety of purposes including parks and recreation. Tucson should look for the alignment between its request and the objectives of the grant program. The request for funds should provide a solid basis for a positive response from the funder. There are numerous sources of information and assistance available to grant-seekers. Initial efforts should be on Arizona-based foundations and companies.

Corporate Sponsorships - Historically Udall Park has hosted a limited number of tournaments and other special events and the opportunities for revenue generation
and corporate sponsorships associated with the similar events and the redesign of Udall Park could greatly supplement existing funding and revenue sources in the future. The Department should put efforts into developing corporate sponsorship program and naming rights for the development of new regional facilities and programs (i.e. – the Amphitheater, Skate Park, 4-Field Baseball Complex) that would support these types of revenue generation. These sponsorship opportunities should be offered with a tiered level of benefits, should quantify marketing exposure for each level, bundle packages on a system-wide level, and bundle the assets of sponsors (i.e. - money, marketing, and product supply).

Private Concessionaires* - Contract with a private business to provide and operate desirable recreational activities financed, constructed, and operated by the private sector with additional compensation paid to the City. *City can and currently does contract for concessions.

User Fees/Ticket Sales/Admissions - Dedicated user fees can be established by a local ordinance or other government procedures for the purpose of constructing and maintaining recreation facilities. The fee can apply to all organized activities, which require a reservation of some type, or other purposes as defined by the local government. Examples of such activities include adult basketball, volleyball, and softball leagues, youth baseball, soccer, and softball leagues, and special interest classes. The fee allows participants an opportunity to contribute toward the upkeep of the facilities being used. Ticketed sales and admissions are a revenue source is assessed on facilities for self-directed activities such as pools, ice skating rinks, ballparks and entertainment activities. These user fees help offset operational costs.

Recommendations and Implementation Strategies

The implementation of the Udall Park Master Plan needs to be done in context with the priorities identified in the Tucson Parks and Recreation system. For example, tying in with the findings from the Needs Assessment portion of this plan, the recommended facilities in the Preferred Master Plan for Udall Park are very important to address some of the gaps in service currently existing in regard to specific individual assets (i.e. – skatepark, ramadas, playgrounds and lighted ball fields). Therefore, it is challenging to prioritize what components should be completed first.

However, it is important to consider the user groups and distribution of benefits associated with the facilities that were agreed upon for the Preferred Master Plan. The inclusion of facilities such as an amphitheater, trail network, a skate park, covered basketball court, as well as additional parking, restrooms, ramadas and picnic areas are generally considered “community” facilities that benefit a wide variety of users and interest groups. The lighted ball fields, although are much needed facilities for this active and sports-oriented community, serve a smaller niche group within the community, but can help increase revenue generation and the sustainable operations of the park.
It is also important to consider that phasing and construction will largely be influenced by the development of roadways, utilities and supporting infrastructure. Therefore, it is recommended that the City of Tucson work with consultants during the detailed design phase of the project to identify physical factors that will influence construction as well as continue communications with community and user groups during the bond process to establish community demands for priorities, as funds are identified.

In regard to funding, it is recommended that the Parks and Recreation Department utilizes a combination of the potential funding sources listed previously, such as City of Tucson and Pima County bond dollars, establishing a grassroots fundraising campaign, applying for and allocating money for grant matches, and soliciting corporate sponsorships to gain revenue through paid advertisements, product supply, and naming rights for the different facilities within the park, which also helps promote local businesses.

Through the community input process of the Udall Park Master Plan, it became evident that public support for the park is very strong and that in these tough economic times community and user groups are going to be integral in the implementation of the plan. Therefore, the Department should work to utilize community support and partners to help gain traditional funding, as well as grants, fundraising and sponsorships. Additionally, it will be important to work with other relevant City Departments and government agencies to develop the regional trail connections within the parks, as well as to exterior walks and roadways.

The success of this planning and design process has been the result of extensive public input, a thorough needs assessment, and interactive design process. This approach has resulted in overwhelming consensus on the Preferred Master Plan, as well as a comprehensive document that will guide Department decision-making and capital projects for the park over the next 20+ years. The vision established by this Master Plan Update document and site plan is intended to shape and positively impact the landscape, amenities, community interaction and quality of life for current residents, as well as future generations, of Udall Park and the City of Tucson.
## Udall Park Master Plan Update

### Appendix

#### Morris K. Udall Park

418 N Toole Ave.  
Tucson, Arizona 85701  
520.622.9565

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recreation Center Improvements</strong></td>
<td>Demo/Cleaning and Grubbing</td>
<td>88,000 sf</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>8,800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grading</td>
<td>88,000 sf</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>8,800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Landscape</td>
<td>15,000 sf</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>5,250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Irrigation</td>
<td>15,000 sf</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>3,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aquatics Expansion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Splashpad</td>
<td>1 ls</td>
<td>400,000.00</td>
<td>400,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Children's Pool</td>
<td>1 ls</td>
<td>600,000.00</td>
<td>600,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shade Structure</td>
<td>2 ea</td>
<td>35,000.00</td>
<td>70,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Open Turf</td>
<td>27,000 sf</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>27,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Parking Lot - 50 stalls</td>
<td>1 ls</td>
<td>175,000.00</td>
<td>175,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Amenities</td>
<td>1 ls</td>
<td>20,100.00</td>
<td>20,100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,317,950.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Skate Park</strong></td>
<td>Demo/Cleaning and Grubbing</td>
<td>83,000 sf</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>8,300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grading</td>
<td>83,000 sf</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>8,300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Landscape</td>
<td>15,000 sf</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>5,250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Skate Elements</td>
<td>1 ls</td>
<td>1,800,000.00</td>
<td>1,800,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shade Structure</td>
<td>1 ea</td>
<td>35,000.00</td>
<td>35,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ramada</td>
<td>1 ea</td>
<td>40,000.00</td>
<td>40,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Parking Lot - 50 stalls</td>
<td>1 ls</td>
<td>175,000.00</td>
<td>175,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Walks</td>
<td>1,075 lf</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>5,375.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Amenities</td>
<td>1 ls</td>
<td>19,000.00</td>
<td>19,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2,099,225.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Interpretive Center</strong></td>
<td>Demo/Cleaning and Grubbing</td>
<td>180,000 sf</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>18,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grading</td>
<td>180,000 sf</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>18,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Landscape</td>
<td>180,000 sf</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>63,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Irrigation</td>
<td>180,000 sf</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>36,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Building Renovation</td>
<td>1 ls</td>
<td>450,000.00</td>
<td>450,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pavilion</td>
<td>1 ea</td>
<td>150,000.00</td>
<td>150,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ramadas</td>
<td>8 ea</td>
<td>40,000.00</td>
<td>320,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trails</td>
<td>1,500 lf</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>11,250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Parking Lot - 35 stalls</td>
<td>1 ls</td>
<td>122,500.00</td>
<td>122,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Walks</td>
<td>2,675 lf</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>13,375.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Amenities</td>
<td>1 ls</td>
<td>77,800.00</td>
<td>77,800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2,279,925.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Amphitheater</strong></td>
<td>Demo/Cleaning and Grubbing</td>
<td>350,000 sf</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>35,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grading</td>
<td>350,000 sf</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>35,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Landscape</td>
<td>100,000 sf</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>35,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Irrigation</td>
<td>100,000 sf</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>20,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Facility</td>
<td>1 ls</td>
<td>5,000,000.00</td>
<td>5,000,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Parking Lot - 280 stalls</td>
<td>1 ls</td>
<td>980,000.00</td>
<td>980,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Drop-off Area</td>
<td>1 ls</td>
<td>25,000.00</td>
<td>25,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Walks</td>
<td>2,200 lf</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>11,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Amenities</td>
<td>1 ls</td>
<td>33,200.00</td>
<td>33,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6,174,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Central Picnic Area</strong></td>
<td>Demo/Cleaning and Grubbing</td>
<td>115,000 sf</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>11,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grading</td>
<td>115,000 sf</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>11,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Landscape</td>
<td>60,000 sf</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>21,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Irrigation</td>
<td>60,000 sf</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>12,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Play Area</td>
<td>1 ls</td>
<td>175,000.00</td>
<td>175,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shade Structures</td>
<td>2 ea</td>
<td>35,000.00</td>
<td>70,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Restroom</td>
<td>1 ls</td>
<td>200,000.00</td>
<td>200,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ramadas</td>
<td>8 ea</td>
<td>40,000.00</td>
<td>320,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Open Turf</td>
<td>57,000 sf</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>57,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Walks</td>
<td>1,000 lf</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>5,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Amenities</td>
<td>1 ls</td>
<td>56,600.00</td>
<td>56,600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6,174,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>New Soccer Complex</strong></td>
<td>Demo/Cleaning and Grubbing</td>
<td>400,000 sf</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>40,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grading</td>
<td>400,000 sf</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>40,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Landscape</td>
<td>175,000 sf</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>61,250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Irrigation</td>
<td>175,000 sf</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>35,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Soccer Fields</td>
<td>2 ea</td>
<td>90,000.00</td>
<td>180,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lighting</td>
<td>2 ea</td>
<td>200,000.00</td>
<td>400,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Parking Lot - 350 stalls</td>
<td>1 ls</td>
<td>1,050,000.00</td>
<td>1,225,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Walks</td>
<td>1,675 lf</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>8,375.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Amenities</td>
<td>1 ls</td>
<td>16,200.00</td>
<td>16,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2,005,825.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Area</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>SF/EA</td>
<td>Rate</td>
<td>Amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>New Ball Field Quad</strong></td>
<td>Demo/Cleaning and Grubbing</td>
<td>890,000 sf</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>89,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grading</td>
<td>890,000 sf</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>89,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Landscape</td>
<td>250,000 sf</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>87,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Irrigation</td>
<td>250,000 sf</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>50,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Concessions/Restrooms</td>
<td>1 ea</td>
<td>350,000.00</td>
<td>350,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Softball Fields</td>
<td>2 ea</td>
<td>165,000.00</td>
<td>330,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baseball Fields</td>
<td>2 ea</td>
<td>185,000.00</td>
<td>370,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lighting</td>
<td>4 ea</td>
<td>200,000.00</td>
<td>800,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Play Area</td>
<td>1 ls</td>
<td>125,000.00</td>
<td>125,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shade Structures</td>
<td>1 ea</td>
<td>35,000.00</td>
<td>35,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ramadas</td>
<td>5 ea</td>
<td>40,000.00</td>
<td>200,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Parking Lot - 475 stalls</td>
<td>1 ls</td>
<td>1,662,500.00</td>
<td>1,662,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Walks</td>
<td>3,000 ft</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>15,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Amenities</td>
<td>1 ls</td>
<td>80,000.00</td>
<td>80,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4,283,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recycling Center</strong></td>
<td>Demo/Cleaning and Grubbing</td>
<td>80,000 sf</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>8,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grading</td>
<td>80,000 sf</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>8,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Landscape</td>
<td>30,000 sf</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>10,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Irrigation</td>
<td>30,000 sf</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>6,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Building</td>
<td>1 ls</td>
<td>200,000.00</td>
<td>200,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Parking Lot - 14 stalls</td>
<td>1 ls</td>
<td>49,000.00</td>
<td>49,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Walks</td>
<td>125 ft</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>625.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>282,125.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Multi Purpose Sports Fields</strong></td>
<td>Demo/Cleaning and Grubbing</td>
<td>165,000 sf</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>16,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grading</td>
<td>165,000 sf</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>16,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Landscape</td>
<td>15,000 sf</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>5,250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Irrigation</td>
<td>15,000 sf</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>3,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Little League Fields</td>
<td>2 ea</td>
<td>165,000.00</td>
<td>330,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lighting</td>
<td>2 ea</td>
<td>200,000.00</td>
<td>400,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Walks</td>
<td>550 ft</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>2,750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Amenities</td>
<td>1 ls</td>
<td>9,200.00</td>
<td>9,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>783,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dog Park</strong></td>
<td>Demo/Cleaning and Grubbing</td>
<td>365,000 sf</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>36,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grading</td>
<td>365,000 sf</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>36,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Landscape</td>
<td>75,000 sf</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>26,250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Irrigation</td>
<td>75,000 sf</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>15,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Turf</td>
<td>55,000 sf</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>55,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ramadas</td>
<td>10 ea</td>
<td>40,000.00</td>
<td>400,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fencing</td>
<td>3,200 ft</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>35,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Parking Lot - 235 stalls</td>
<td>1 ls</td>
<td>822,500.00</td>
<td>822,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Walks</td>
<td>675 ft</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>3,375.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Amenities</td>
<td>1 ls</td>
<td>39,600.00</td>
<td>39,600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>783,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Existing Ballfield Complex Improvements</strong></td>
<td>Demo/Cleaning and Grubbing</td>
<td>48,000 sf</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>4,800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grading</td>
<td>48,000 sf</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>4,800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Landscape</td>
<td>15,000 sf</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>5,250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Irrigation</td>
<td>15,000 sf</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>3,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Play Area</td>
<td>1 ls</td>
<td>75,000.00</td>
<td>75,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shade Structures</td>
<td>1 ea</td>
<td>35,000.00</td>
<td>35,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Parking Lot - 65 stalls</td>
<td>1 ls</td>
<td>227,500.00</td>
<td>227,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Parking Lot - 20 stalls</td>
<td>1 ls</td>
<td>70,000.00</td>
<td>70,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Screen wall</td>
<td>500 ft</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>50,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Walks</td>
<td>650 ft</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>3,250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Amenities</td>
<td>1 ls</td>
<td>3,800.00</td>
<td>3,800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,469,925.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maintenance Area</strong></td>
<td>Demo/Cleaning and Grubbing</td>
<td>80,000 sf</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>8,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grading</td>
<td>80,000 sf</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>8,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Landscape</td>
<td>15,000 sf</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>5,250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Irrigation</td>
<td>15,000 sf</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>3,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Building</td>
<td>1 ls</td>
<td>250,000.00</td>
<td>250,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fencing</td>
<td>13,000 ft</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>143,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>482,400.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Exterior Loop Trail</strong></td>
<td>Demo/Cleaning and Grubbing</td>
<td>125,000 sf</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>12,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grading</td>
<td>125,000 sf</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>12,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Landscape</td>
<td>25,000 sf</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>8,750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Irrigation</td>
<td>25,000 sf</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>5,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Multi-use Trail</td>
<td>8,000 ft</td>
<td>45.00</td>
<td>360,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lighting</td>
<td>1 ls</td>
<td>400,000.00</td>
<td>400,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Amenities</td>
<td>1 ls</td>
<td>43,800.00</td>
<td>43,800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>842,550.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Existing Tanque Verde Rd. Sports Fields Improvements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Unit Cost</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Demo/Cleaning and Grubbing</td>
<td>55,000 sf</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>5,500.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grading</td>
<td>55,000 sf</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>5,500.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landscape</td>
<td>15,000 sf</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>5,250.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irrigation</td>
<td>15,000 sf</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>3,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covered Basketball</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Courts</td>
<td>2 ea</td>
<td></td>
<td>80,000.00</td>
<td>160,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramada</td>
<td>1 ea</td>
<td></td>
<td>300,000.00</td>
<td>300,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bleachers</td>
<td>4 ea</td>
<td></td>
<td>4,000.00</td>
<td>16,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Play Area</td>
<td>1 ls</td>
<td></td>
<td>75,000.00</td>
<td>75,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shade Structures</td>
<td>1 ea</td>
<td></td>
<td>35,000.00</td>
<td>35,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protective screen</td>
<td>1 ls</td>
<td></td>
<td>10,000.00</td>
<td>10,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking Lot - 25 stalls</td>
<td>1 ls</td>
<td></td>
<td>87,500.00</td>
<td>87,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walks</td>
<td>975 ft</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>4,875.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amenity</td>
<td>1 ls</td>
<td></td>
<td>3,800.00</td>
<td>3,800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>711,425.00</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Spine Roads

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Unit Cost</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Demo/Cleaning and Grubbing</td>
<td>500,000 sf</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>50,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grading</td>
<td>500,000 sf</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>50,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landscape</td>
<td>100,000 sf</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>35,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irrigation</td>
<td>100,000 sf</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>20,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roadway</td>
<td>5,000 ft</td>
<td></td>
<td>150.00</td>
<td>750,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walks</td>
<td>8,000 ft</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>40,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amenity</td>
<td>1 ls</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>21,600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>966,600.00</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Subtotal** 24,055,200.00  
**Mobilization (5%)** 1,202,760.00  
**Contingency (10%)** 120,276.00  
**Total** 25,378,236.00  

**Surveying/Construction Testing (3%)** 761,347.08  
**Estimated Design Fees (10%)** 2,537,823.60  
**Estimated Construction Administration Fees (2%)** 507,564.72  
**Soft Cost Total** 3,808,735.40  

**GRAND TOTAL** 29,184,971.40
MONITORING WELLS & LANDFILL FACILITIES
This exhibit is provided to illustrate the existing conditions along the southern boundary of Udall Park. Groundwater well monitoring equipment, landfill gas monitoring equipment and landfill gas flares are shown for informational purposes based on approximate locations to be verified by GPS and included in the Udall Park inventory database.

Legend
- Gas Monitoring Well
- Water Monitoring Well
- Gas Flare
- Speedway Construction Debris Landfill
- Vincent Mullins Landfill - City of Tucson Environmental Services
- Tucson Department of Transportation - Material Storage Facilities
- Tucson Water
- Fence Line
MEMORANDUM

DATE: July 15, 2010

TO: Fred Gray
Director
Parks and Recreation

FROM: Andrew H. Quigley
Director
Environmental Services

SUBJECT: Udall Park
Response to Bureau of Land Management (BLM) Inspection Summary

Environmental Services (ES) received the enclosed BLM Udall and Kennedy Parks Inspection Summary from your office on June 3, 2010. Staff has reviewed the letter and the boundary area between Udall Park and the Vincent Mullins Landfill cited in the letter and offer the following response with the BLM questions listed and an ES response following in italics:

1. Udall Park was inspected and the landfill/salvage operation yard, trash and portions of the south boundary fence are not in compliance.

   *The landfill/salvage operation noted on page 2 of the inspection letter is the City of Tucson Department of Transportation (DOT) eastern maintenance yard. ES does not control this area but we do allow DOT access to the yard from a gate located on the northwest boundary of the landfill. There is currently fencing located around the maintenance yard along with concrete jersey barriers. To address the maintenance yard and fencing/barriers DOT should be contacted.*

2. Presently, parts of the south boundary fence alignment are offset from the park’s property line. Please provide an explanation for this fencing alignment.

   *The property line fence is offset to protect and secure the landfill gas monitoring well network and the landfill gas flares that are located just north of the landfill and the property line. The property line between Udall Park and Vincent Mullins Landfill is located on previously excavated and landfill/covered ground. Both the monitoring wells and the flares must be placed on undisturbed ground at the boundaries of the landfill to operate properly, thereby necessitating the offset north of both equipment and fencing. The additional fencing north of the landfill secures the DOT eastern maintenance yard. The yard and security fencing around the yard are DOT property. The compound at the southwest corner of Udall Park is a Tucson Water booster pump facility. A reference map showing the area is attached.*
To: Fred Gray, Director, Parks and Recreation
Date: July 15, 2010
Page 2

3. Several scattered trash mounds of dirt and construction debris sites were found within the
southern portion of the property.

*The debris piles and dirt piles are located within the DOT eastern maintenance yard. ES
has no control over this area and DOT should be contacted to address this item.*

Please call me at 791-3175 should you have additional questions or concerns.

AHQ/JD/mv

Enclosure

cc: Jim Glock, Director, DOT
Nancy Petersen, Deputy Director, ES
Jeff Drumm, Environmental Manager, ES
Vincent Mullins File

S:\EMCOMM\GN\Vincent_Mullins\CORRESP\DalPark.doc
PRELIMINARY SIGNAL WARRANT ANALYSIS
June 23, 2010

Michael Graham  
Public Information Officer  
City of Tucson Department of Transportation  
201 N. Stone Avenue  
Tucson, AZ 85701

Re: Preliminary Signal Warrant Analysis at Crestline Drive/Udall Park (Opening Year)  
Kolb Road Connection to Sabino Canyon Road Project

Mr. Graham:

The purpose of this memorandum is to provide a preliminary signal warrant analysis for the intersection of Crestline Drive/Udall Park and the Kolb Road Connection to Sabino Canyon Road for the opening year of the Kolb Road Connection project.

Introduction to Traffic Signal Warrants

In order to evaluate the need for a traffic signal at the intersection of Crestline Drive/Udall Park and the Kolb Road connection, a signal warrant analysis was conducted for the opening year of the Kolb Road Connection project, expected to be 2012. The analysis was conducted using the 2009 Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD), which includes nine (9) signal warrants, as listed below. Note that an intersection must meet at least one warrant in order for a traffic signal to be installed, but simply meeting one or more warrants does not dictate that a traffic signal must be installed.

1. Eight-Hour Vehicular Volume  
2. Four-Hour Vehicular Volume  
3. Peak Hour  
4. Pedestrian Volume  
5. School Crossing  
6. Coordinated Signal System  
7. Crash Experience  
8. Roadway Network  
9. Intersection Near a (railroad) Grade Crossing

Because there are no schools or railroad grade crossings near the intersection, Warrants #5 and #9 do not apply. Warrants #6 and #8 are concerned with creating better concentration of traffic to provide gaps for vehicles entering or exiting minor streets along the roadway. Given the proximity of this intersection to the signalized intersection at Sabino Canyon Road and Tanque Verde Road, Warrants #6 and #8 are not relevant. Further, because the roadway will be completely redesigned and reconstructed, the crash experience warrant (#7) is not applicable.
The major roadway (Kolb Road connection, in this case) does not yet exist, so Warrants #1 and #2, which require traffic volume counts for several hours of the day, cannot be evaluated. And while there are expected to be more pedestrians at this crossing than a typical intersection (due to the Udall Park entrance), the minimum number of pedestrians to meet the warrant for a signal is 75 per hour for four hours of a day. It is not expected that this number will be met.

Therefore, the signal warrant analysis will be based on Warrant #3, Peak Hour. This warrant is typically evaluated for a location where a facility served by the minor street (in this case, Udall Park) attracts or discharges a large number of vehicles over a short period of time. The development of traffic volumes for 2012 (the projected opening year of the project) and the evaluation of the warrant are discussed in the following sections.

**Existing Traffic Conditions**

While traffic volumes are typically collected in the middle of the week on major roadways, the highest volumes at Udall Park occur on Friday and Saturday. Therefore, to ensure that the highest volumes at the park were collected, the traffic volume counts at and around the Udall Park driveways were completed on Friday, April 30, 2010 and Saturday, May 1, 2010. It was found that the traffic volumes at Udall Park were slightly higher on Saturday than on Friday, but the difference was not significant.

When evaluating the traffic signal warrant, volumes for the major street and the minor street are used as inputs. Because the volumes on the Kolb Road connection to Sabino Canyon Road will be higher on weekdays than during the weekends, the minor street volumes from Friday were used, even though the volumes at Udall Park were slightly higher on Saturday. Further, volumes for both the major and minor streets should be from the same time period. The PM peak hour volumes on the Kolb Road connection are expected to be significantly higher than the AM peak hour volumes. The volumes exiting Udall Park in the AM and PM peak hours are comparable, but because the volumes on the major street are higher in the PM peak hour, the PM peak hour was used for the signal warrant evaluation.

Figure 1 shows the existing traffic volumes entering and exiting Udall Park in the PM peak hour on a weekday. As seen in the figure, the westernmost driveway along Tanque Verde Road serves the heaviest inbound and outbound volumes. It should be noted that while this driveway is located where there is a full median opening on Tanque Verde Road, left turns onto Tanque Verde Road are prohibited. However, some of the right turns from the driveway make a u-turn at Paseo Rancho Esperanza to head west.

Figure 2 shows the existing distribution of outbound trips from the park’s four access points. The westernmost driveway serves 46% of the outbound traffic in the PM peak hour under existing conditions, despite the fact that it only allows right turns onto Tanque Verde Road. Currently, the driveway on the west side of the park serves 27% of outbound traffic in the PM peak hour.
Figure 1. Existing Udall Park Traffic Volumes (PM Peak Hour)

Figure 2. Existing Distribution of Udall Park Outbound Trips
Projected 2012 Traffic Volumes

Kolb Road connection to Sabino Canyon Road Volumes
For the Kolb Road connection to Sabino Canyon Road, the 2012 volumes were calculated based on the volumes shown in the Preliminary Analysis of Opening Year (2012) Operations, Kolb/Sabino Canyon Road Extension Project memo, dated April 7, 2010. Volumes in the memo were calculated using the same assumptions in the traffic engineering report for this project. Based on the information in the memo, there will be 1,557 vehicles per hour on the Kolb Road connection in the PM peak hour in 2012.

Crestline Drive/Udall Park Volumes
Crestline Drive and the Udall Park driveway, which will make up the west and east legs of the intersection, respectively, were analyzed separately to determine the future volumes on each leg. Because the area is built out and drivers can currently access Sabino Canyon Road from Crestline Drive, it was assumed that the future volumes from that leg will be similar to current volumes. However, it is expected that the volumes to/from Udall Park will increase in the future. Although the Udall Park Master Plan is still in progress, future additions to the park are expected to include an amphitheater (expected to seat 3,000 to 4,000 people), an education/interpretive center, and additional sports fields. The plan may increase the developed area of the park from 75 acres to 130 acres, with 40 acres of the park still undeveloped after the expansion. Based on the existing volume exiting the park in the PM peak hour (205 vehicles) and the existing developed area of the park (75 acres), it was found that the park generates 2.73 outbound trips per acre in the PM peak hour. In addition, the park generates 3.31 inbound trips per acre in the PM peak hour.

Because there are not specific trip generation rates for an amphitheater or sports fields, the existing trip generation rate was extrapolated to calculate the projected traffic volumes for the park. Using the trip generation rate and the proposed developed area of the park (130 acres), it was determined that the park will generate 355 outbound trips in the PM peak hour once the proposed elements are constructed. Table 1 shows the trip generation for both the inbound and outbound traffic volumes for the park in the PM peak hour. Recall that the outbound volume will be used for the traffic signal warrant analysis even though the inbound volume is higher because the outbound traffic represents the approach volume from the minor street.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weekday PM Peak Hour</th>
<th>Inbound</th>
<th>Outbound</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Existing Udall Park Volume (veh/hr)</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing Udall Park Size (acres)</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exiting Udall Park Trip Generation Rate (veh/hr/acre)</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td>2.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projected Udall Park Size (acres)</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projected Udall Park Volume (veh/hr)</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>355</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Trip Distribution
With construction of the Kolb Road Connection to Sabino Canyon Road project, the west entrance of Udall Park will provide the most convenient access from the south, southwest, and southeast. Therefore, it is anticipated that a greater portion of the outbound volume from Udall Park will use this access after the roadway is constructed. Figure 3 shows the projected distribution of outbound...
trips from the park’s four access points. As shown in the figure, an estimated 45% of the traffic from the park (when the new development is complete) will use the access along the Kolb Road connection. Given the distribution and the trip generation, there will be 160 outbound trips from the park in the PM peak hour at the Crestline Drive/Udall Park/Kolb Road connection intersection if the park is developed as previously discussed, including 124 left turns and 36 right turns.

**Figure 3. Projected Distribution of Udall Park Outbound Trips (2012)**

**Evaluation of Signal Warrant – Opening Year (2012)**

As discussed earlier, the signal warrant analysis for the intersection of Crestline Drive/Udall Park and the Kolb Road connection will be based on the Peak Hour warrant from the MUTCD (Warrant #3b). Because the volumes on Crestline Drive are not expected to increase with this project, the signal warrant was evaluated using the volumes approaching the intersection from the east (vehicles exiting Udall Park). Given the calculated volumes in the previous section, the evaluation of the warrant is shown in Figure 4.

The proposed configuration for the Kolb Road connection to Sabino Canyon Road and Crestline Drive intersection consists of two through lanes per direction on the Kolb Road connection to Sabino Canyon Road and two lanes exiting Udall Park (one left turn lane and one right turn lane). Because the right turns exiting the park will have an exclusive right turn lane, they will be able to turn right on red (if a signal is installed). Therefore, the right turn volume will not be included in the signal warrant analysis. As a result, the effective lanes include two lanes per direction on the major street and one lane (for left turns) on the minor street, so the middle curve of the warrant was used for the evaluation. As seen in the figure, the intersection will serve 1,557 vehicles per hour on the major
street and 124 vehicles per hour on the minor street, which falls slightly above the warrant line. Therefore, the intersection will meet the warrant for signalization in the opening year of the Kolb Road Connection project (2012) assuming Udall Park has been developed as previously outlined.

![Figure 4. Peak Hour Warrant Evaluation (2012)](image)

**Conclusion**

With the construction of the Kolb Road Connection to Sabino Canyon Road project and the future expansion of Udall Park, the new intersection of Crestline Drive/Udall Park and the Kolb Road connection will serve as a significant access point for Udall Park. Based on the assumption that the park expansion will occur before the opening year of the Kolb Road Connection project (2012), the intersection will meet the Peak Hour signal warrant from the *MUTCD* (Warrant #3b). This finding is subject to the final Udall Park Master Plan including uses of equal or greater intensity than those assumed for this analysis. Should the intensity of the proposed park uses be reduced, the conclusion of this analysis should be reevaluated.

If you have any questions related to the preceding discussion, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Respectfully Submitted,

**Darlene Danehy, P.E., LEED AP**
Traffic Engineering Designer
Meeting Summary
The first Advisory Committee meeting for the Udall Park Master Plan Update was held at 6:00 p.m. on June 2, 2010 at the Udall Regional Center- Carol W. West Senior Addition. The consultant team and department staff facilitated the meeting attended by 5 committee members including representatives of the neighborhoods in the vicinity to the park, sports league representatives, representative of the Symphonic Winds, and interested residents. The purpose of the meeting was to present the master planning process and the role of the Advisory Committee. A SWOT analysis was conducted in the meeting to determine the strengths, weaknesses, opportunities and threats from the committee’s perspective. The following summarizes the discussion points from the meeting.

Meeting Notes
- Introduction of the master plan update process.
- Question on relationship of the project to the Kolb Road Extension
  - Jim Conroy commented this is an appropriate time to review the plan with a significant transportation improvement in design adjacent to a major COT park.
- A couple of key programming issues to review include the 1) Proposed Velodrome 2) Amphitheater 3) Future Sports Fields 4) Pantano Wash Connection 5) Geodetic Survey Magnetic Observatory Reuse

Facilitated Questions
- Park Strengths?
  - Diverse and dedicated park user group
  - Preservation / Existing natural environment / Desert Landscape
  - Water conservation- park on reclaimed water
  - Variety of age groups are served
  - Senior component / Senior programs
  - Organized leagues / Community support for the leagues
  - Community support / Community contributions to construct bleachers, construct and operate concessions and provide maintenance
  - Community gathering place
  - Safety- park feels safe and has many eyes on the park / Safe gathering area
  - Organization of spaces / amenities create unique settings for each space creating an intimate recreation setting
  - Historic significance of park and amenities
  - Scenic views
- Park Challenges?
  - Parking
  - Coordination of reservations for leagues and special events
  - Accessibility / Connections between facilities- park lacks sidewalks to connect areas
  - Vehicular circulation patterns- could be an issue with emergency services
  - Lighting- Certain areas lack ample lighting
- More play areas- near sports fields
- Potential Park Improvements?
  - Parking
    - Little league fields / striping
  - Wayfinding- Pedestrian and vehicular signage
  - Community event space- Gazebo for weddings / parties
  - Add fitness stations for trail network
  - More trails- use area to connect major linkages and embrace the natural landscape
  - Perimeter path
  - Play structures
  - Additional softball / baseball
  - Basketball Courts
  - Investigate a vehicular connection / access to south
  - Repurpose / Relocate the recycling center
  - Additional programs / performing arts
  - Skate space
  - Formalized BMX facilities
  - Interpretive opportunities- Desert interpretive area / plant identification path
  - Integrate views into programming of amenities
- Park Improvement Concerns?
  - Noise of amphitheater
  - Noise of landfill operations
  - Lighting
  - Southern entry traffic impacts
  - Kolb Extension access impacts
- Park Opportunities?
  - Benefits of the park to the community
  - Place for people to connect with the community
  - Positive venue for the community
  - Relationship with the schools
  - Benefits of the leagues for the kids

Action Items
- Facilitate the next Advisory Committee on June 16th at 6:00pm
- ND to complete data reconnaissance
- ND to prepare a draft Needs Assessment
- ND to prepare a preliminary programming exhibit for the master plan

These meeting minutes are Norris Design’s interpretation and understanding of the items discussed. If there are any comments or discrepancies, please contact Stacey Weaks at sweaks@norris-design.com.
Meeting Summary
The second Advisory Committee meeting for the Udall Park Master Plan Update was held at 6:00 p.m. on June 16, 2010 at the Udall Regional Center- Carol W. West Senior Addition. The consultant team and department staff facilitated the meeting attended by 9 committee members including representatives of Neighborhood and Homeowner Associations of the neighborhoods in the vicinity to the park, sports league representatives, business owners, representatives of the Symphonic Winds, the Ward representative and interested residents. The purpose of the meeting was to present the master planning process and preliminary findings from the information gathering task conducted by Norris Design. Information presented included a summary of demographics, inventory data and a summary of programs and services in a powerpoint format. The committee provided feedback in an interactive discussion to inform the program for the park. The following summarizes the discussion points from the meeting.

Facilitated Questions
General Comments on Udall Park
- Udall Park serves the community very well.
- Turf provides important gathering spaces and provides cooling.
- Parking, ingress, egress and the total number of parking spaces are a concern, provide parking if you want the park to function effectively. Phasing of construction is critical.
- Conservation and preservation of natural areas.
- The existing desert is in poor condition to the east and may need revegetation.
- Security is of concern, i.e. additional lighting, potential for a police sub-station, bike cops stationed at the park, finding a potential location for the police to share in the Community Center to have access to fax, phone, etc.
  - Discussed the desire to have a park police patrol and that the Park has a great working relationship with the police department. However, in these economic times it would be difficult to have a dedicated police presence at the park. The consensus was to identify this in the master plan so the City Council is aware the Committee wants a greater police presence and the security at the park is a major concern.
  - Discussed the idea of volunteer groups to self-police the park i.e. Neighborhood Watch and Junior Rangers Programs.

Big Picture Ideas
- The idea that the landfill could be used by the City and turned into additional trails or preserved open space.
  - Discussed the idea of turning the landfill into a potential soccer field/sports complex however no structures could be build on the landfill.
- Discussion on the potential for a southeast entrance/exit at either Prudence or Pima with the potential for a road along the east side of the landfill.
  - The Parks Dept. is working with the owner as a part of the PAD review to discuss potential right of way for a future road connection into the park.
  - The landfill is currently operational and processing environmental and construction debris.
- The southeast entrance would decrease traffic congestion along Tanque Verde.
The Pima Road access is also an option if the Prudence option is not achieved however at this point Prudence is the preferred route.

Park Challenges
- Cruising is an issue at the park. Need to address this during the planning effort.
- Discussed the speed bumps that have been installed recently have successfully decreased the amount of cruising.

Potential Park Opportunities / Improvements
- The idea that open space should be a priority is critical.
  - Open space is a priority and is incorporated into the existing Udall Park master plan.
- Additional trails and walking paths were requested and seemed to be a top priority with the additional of lighting for security purposes.
  - 1,500 additional linear feet of path is currently under construction to complete the existing loop around the soccer fields.
- Van Horne Elementary is scheduled for closure.
- Provide enough trails to stage runs or competitions at the park for the running community.
- Discussed pedestrian connectivity as a major component of this master plan update.
- The idea of this park is the community's park and the neighbors enjoy the ability to walk out their backyards and enter the park. ADA access from the neighborhoods is a concern and activities for people with disabilities should be considered when programming the park.
- Highlighted the value of the dog park.
- Access to the future Pantano River Park.
- It was requested to have a walk/run path to be used day or night and the potential for fitness equipment along the trail. It was questioned how successful the fitness equipment is when installed along the trail.
  - Fitness equipment sees significant use and is a valuable activity for the park.
- Add women's' restrooms to the park.

Richard DeBernardis – Velodrome Presentation
- Discussed the velodrome concept.
  - The velodrome will be a cycle training, racing and educational facility to teach all age groups the value of training on a velodrome and promote a healthy lifestyle.
- The velodrome is planned to occupy 10 acres which may not include all the necessary parking and could have supplemental structures including class room space.
- The location of the velodrome can not be confirmed at this point in time and other locations are in consideration.

Advisory Committee Comments on Velodrome Presentation
- One Committee representative likes the idea of the velodrome but doesn't think Udall is the right location for it.
- One representative thought it would better on a golf course and the park is doing well, don't screw it up.
- As it is not certain the facility will be located in Udall Park, alternative uses will be identified for the same area.

Action Items
- Upcoming Public Meeting on June 29th at 7:00pm
- ND to finalize the draft Needs Assessment
- ND to prepare a preliminary programming exhibit for the master plan

These meeting minutes are Norris Design's interpretation and understanding of the items discussed. If there are any comments or discrepancies, please contact Stacey Weaks at sweaks@norris-design.com.
General Comments
- Little league and softball needs at least one more field each
- Softball fields specifically design for the girl’s leagues at the park

Water Feature
Q: Water features? An aquatic park would be very beneficial! What about a lake or a significant water feature?
A: We have discussed splash pads related to aquatic center. The park does not have public significant water feature at this time. The plan will investigate opportunities to integrate water features into the park.

Q: Several pools have been closed this past winter, could we use solar panels to heat the pool at Udall?
A: Parks and Recreation Department is considering alternative sources for heating pool.

Access
Q: Will there be access from Pima St?
A: Prudence Rd. is the preferred access point. Pima St. is the alternative access point for a southern entry to Udall Park. A traffic count has been completed. We are working with a consultant to prepare a traffic analysis for the master plan. We will also look at internal circulation and access to park. The plan will integrate multiuse paths. It is possible to increase trail mileage with interior loops. The Pantano Wash Linear Park is currently being improved south of the park and the plan is create a connection the linear park and Pantano Wash trail.

General Comments
- Access could be improved by a better system of sidewalks on Sabino Canyon Road

Parking
Q: If the park attracts more people how do you expect to accommodate parking space?
A: The master plan process will evaluate the proposed uses within park, programming and participation levels to accommodate and meet the parking demands.

General Comments
- Add charging stations for electric vehicles
- More parking is needed near sports fields, move the recycling facility
- Could a shuttle system be implemented?

Program / Amenity Suggestions
Q: Should we think of offering specific amenities that are not offered elsewhere in Tucson?
A: We are evaluating the other master plans for the City and County parks, ex Fort Lowell. We will continue to evaluate the plans and strategic plan and look at the trends to identify potential amenities.

Q: Provide opportunities kids art shows, nature tours?
A: Great suggestion. We have 100 acres to create recreational facilities for such uses.

Q: What about rental studios for artists, arts and crafts classes or Public Art?
A: Great ideas to consider in the planning process. City does have public art requirement. 1% of the total budget is allocated for public art.

Q: Opportunities for design competitions for features within park? Different ramada designs?
A: There are great examples from around the country for these types of competitions (i.e. – annual public art displays that rotate with each competition, etc.) and we will consider this idea.
Q: The idea of having park as commercial enterprise detracts from it's use by neighbors and the community. I prefer the 3 mile window versus 300 mile window. If park becomes kid's park, it will compete with activities of older adults...how to you separate activities?
A: We must be sensitive to major user groups and find balance for all users. We are evaluating demands and needs for the park as part of the needs assessment. We must understand who the park is serving. The goal is to provide amenities that serve broad demographic groups. For example, trails serve a wide range of users.

General Comments
- Little league and softball needs at least one more field each
- Softball fields specifically design for the girl's leagues at the park
- Host art events / Rental Studios for artists / Provide arts and crafts classes
- Public art opportunities
- Indoor swimming pool / therapy pool
- Provide more shaded seating areas / opportunity for UA CALA to design shade structures
- More trees around fields to provide shade
- Better lighting at the sport field pavilion areas and parking areas
- Provide separate bike paths
- Provide a perimeter loop path
- Create separation of adult and kid activities
- Tennis facility is not a popular amenity
- Provide flexibility with the park design to accommodate repurposing of facilities as sports trends change
- The 'nature preserve' is a well received idea
- Aquatic Center would be a great addition
- Heat pool with solar?
- Reopen the Udall Rec Center on Sundays
- Needs more picnic facilities
- Provide restrooms throughout the park

Site Considerations
Q: Can we address the buffer on east side of park?
A: We are planning to limit the encroachment into the existing buffer and providing a reasonable buffer for the proposed amenities on east and south west sides of the park.

Q: Udall Park seems more desert-like...do you foresee a greener park?
A: We have discussed a balance of passive and active recreation needs and to preserve green open spaces. We ask the question, how are we conserving our water resources and using water efficiently. What are the opportunities to reuse it? Reclaimed water is available for park and currently used to irrigate the park! The natural open space is currently an attribute to park, though it needs some enhancement.

Q: Will you look at xeriscape in the buffer areas between residential homes?
A: Yes, xeriscape will be promoted in the master plan for the buffers. Landscaping and water conservation is required by the City and will be incorporated into the design process.

Master Plan Process
Q: What is the anticipated time frame from drawing board to city council?
A: The master plan is planned for completion in the fall and will be presented to Mayor and Council for approval in the fall. The master plan will then be used to identify capital and construction monies. Park improvement funding can come from other sources than the City (i.e. – general fund, grants, partnerships, naming rights, etc.).

Q: Why are there so many steps in planning process and why prepare a master plan?
A: Several factors influence the master plan and generate the need to update the master plan including the change in demographics, parks and recreation needs change and trends evolve. The planning process can help identify potential funding opportunities and planning process is a tool to reveal the opportunities. The project presents an opportunity to address circulation and the opportunities the Kolb Extension presents to improve circulation and connectivity for the park.

Q: Given the timeline of 10-20 years, can we tap into the youth in the area for suggestions??
A: We do intend to engage youth user groups. Youth are extremely in touch with how the needs can be met and are very creative!

Q: How do you intend to keep public informed?
A: Information will be available at the recreation center. This meeting is the first opportunity to present to the public. We will send a notice through the mail. The Advisory Committee will keep the HOAs informed as well. Please feel free to contact Norris Design.

Q: Can you give us a better idea of how large 172 acres is?
A: Reid Park is approximately 132 acres. An acre is 43,560SF and approximately the size of half a soccer field.

General Comments
- Major groups that use the park need to be heard to share what they are willing to invest into the vision of the park. Soccer has new fields and baseball and softball have not had a field built in the same time frame. Baseball has been asking for fields for at least 6 years. Sabino Canyon little League has invested in the park building and maintaining a snack bar, two batting cages, scores boards and filed maintenance.
- Too much time dedicated to process, would prefer to hear public comments
- Hope the process will 'listen' to the public's comments and feedback
- Provide better outreach for upcoming community meetings i.e. published/noticed meetings

Funding
Q: Where is the money coming from for future improvements?
A: Funds for Udall Park improvements may be available from bond money and other available sources from parks and recreation funding. Public/Private partnerships are a potential opportunity. The challenging economic times should not impede us from planning for the future. The master plan needs to be in place to prioritize project improvements for future funding, such as grants and capital planning.

Udall Park Strengths
- Keep it a community park
- Family friendly environment
- Keep the park local and do not commercialize the park
- Location of the park for the community
- Great rec center, walking track, dog park and softball fields
- Farmer's Market is great. Please have more similar activities/events.

Draft Master Plan Comments
The following are comments from the attendees before and after the public meeting heard by Norris Design Staff in front of the Conceptual Master Plan Boards.

Amenities
- One person like the Amphitheater located centrally to mitigate noise pollution in option 1 rather than its location in option 2 which locates the Amphitheater at the southwest side of the park site.
- The group liked the additional trail system.
- One person was glad to see additional softball/baseball fields.
- One person asked why additional soccer fields were necessary considering the fact the 2 fields are currently under construction.
- One person commented they like that we maintained a buffer between the park and the eastside neighborhood.
- One person liked the idea of gathering methane to generate power for the recreation center.
Meeting Summary
A Public Meeting for the Udall Park Master Plan Update was held at 7:00 p.m. on June 29, 2010 at the Udall Regional Center- Carol W. West Senior Addition. The consultant team and department staff facilitated the meeting attended by 45 residents. The purpose of the meeting was to present the master planning process and preliminary findings from the information gathering task conducted by Norris Design. Information presented included a summary of demographics, inventory data and a summary of programs and services in a powerpoint format. The team introduced the draft master plan to present programming opportunities for the park. A facilitate question and answer session followed the presentation. The attendees provided feedback in an interactive discussion to complement the program for the park. The following summarizes the discussion points from the meeting.

Velodrome
Q: How have the proposed programs/facilities (Velodrome) generated money. Are there any relevant case studies and how do they apply to Tucson? Can we see some evidence?
A: The proposed programs/facilities create opportunities to host regional events that will generate money. In addition, Arizona Bicycle Center hopes to supplement the school system by providing programs to engage the youth. There are approximately 20 similar facilities and programs in other parts of the country which have been very successful for the associated communities.

Q: What age group does a Velodrome appeal to?
A: Because cycling is a low impact sport it appeals to a wide age group. In addition, the Velodrome is multipurpose and has an additional internal area to facilitate other activities (i.e. – special events, farmers markets, recreation programming). Nationally, there are several successful Velodrome examples.

General Comments
- Arizona bicycle center (Velodrome) is an economic producer. The facility will upgrade community. We are sensitive to local residents. Want to infuse money into community to make it healthier.
- Do not support the velodrome idea since it will bring people from all over and the expense of the facility. Would rather see other facilities built with the money.

Amphitheater
Q: The Symphonic Winds audience deserves a better amphitheater. In the meantime, let’s improve what we have! Arts cutbacks cause lack of music education. People want musical education and to enjoy live music.
A: Yes, the amphitheater has been identified by the City as a major amenity in the program. We will consider the input as we evaluate the master plan.

General Comments
- Amphitheater is a needed addition
- Location in proximity to the dog park could be an issue

Softball Facilities
Q: Softball fields on Tanque Verde were designed for adults. Boys have fields...can we have girl's softball fields?
A: Good point, girls softball participation numbers are rising and this is a developing trend to watch.
Circulation and Parking
- One person mentioned to make sure enough parking is provided for the proposed amenities.
- Two people didn't like the potential vehicular access off of Pima Rd.

Overall the comments from the public were positive regarding both conceptual master plans with a preference towards option 1.

These meeting minutes are Norris Design's interpretation and understanding of the items discussed. If there are any comments or discrepancies, please contact Stacey Weaks at sweaks@norris-design.com.
# SIGN-IN SHEET

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>EMAIL/PHONE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Judy Lynn Stephens</td>
<td>8626 E Helen</td>
<td>248-0367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mildred Bevilacqua</td>
<td>5041 E Stanglory Dr</td>
<td>298-2191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diane Ahlburg-Just</td>
<td>850 E. Bella Vista</td>
<td>896-9414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shirley Parkey</td>
<td>7576 E Speedway</td>
<td>8280 2228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beverley Abbey</td>
<td>2230 N Sahuaro Dr</td>
<td>265-1459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kathy Nell</td>
<td>1051 E. Camino Tafur</td>
<td>855-2131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piotruszki Henry</td>
<td>7423 E Piestach Estates</td>
<td>886 5613</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ken Madsen</td>
<td>10810 E. Upland Dr</td>
<td>733-1884</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laszlo Veres</td>
<td>4301 N. Ventana Loop</td>
<td>721-2060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rodney Roberts</td>
<td>755 E. Voelker Ranch Rd</td>
<td>520 298 4602</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bill &amp; Mary Knecht</td>
<td>3310 Riverbend Place</td>
<td>886-9832</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martin Metcalf</td>
<td>7502 E. Clarence CIR.</td>
<td>721-8665</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brian Garriot</td>
<td>1500 N. Esrnx</td>
<td>721-7897</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mike Hartung</td>
<td>9040 E. Altezza Vista</td>
<td>721-9227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pat Downey</td>
<td>2361 W. Ridgeway Rd</td>
<td>721-9227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Address</td>
<td>Phone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John &amp; Helga White</td>
<td>2602 E Camino Vecino</td>
<td>731-1692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Feider</td>
<td>7630 E. Linda St. 85715</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dale Gourley</td>
<td>8272 E. Robbins Walk 78575</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee Pama</td>
<td>7701 E. Seneca</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiona Andrews</td>
<td>4305 E. Shoreward Loop</td>
<td><a href="mailto:FionaAndrews@msn.com">FionaAndrews@msn.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosemary</td>
<td>518 W. Parkwood Ct., Green Valley, AZ 85616</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brian Andrews</td>
<td>7501 E. Clarence Circle</td>
<td>(520) 722-9373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Betty Dunn</td>
<td>1888 N. Camino Alegre</td>
<td>628-7155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Katherine Nielsen</td>
<td>7611 E. Tanque Verde Rd</td>
<td>840-1597</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chuck Martin</td>
<td>841 N. Pantano Rd</td>
<td>298-2048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul H. Bade</td>
<td>7601 E. Linda St</td>
<td>886-7855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheryl Schumacher</td>
<td>781 E. Lee St</td>
<td>296-5763</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anhele Pratt</td>
<td>781 E. Elida</td>
<td>296-2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marilyn Jackwiny</td>
<td>2489 N. Shade Tree</td>
<td>546-2204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandy Evans</td>
<td>1819 E. Hampton St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correll Anderson</td>
<td>1600 N. Kelly Rd</td>
<td>296-8804</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geraldine Halsey</td>
<td>9000 E. Speedway Rd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Address</td>
<td>Phone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Fimbres</td>
<td>2020 E Calle Descanso</td>
<td>791-4487</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diane Lussier</td>
<td>2611 N. Ina Rd.</td>
<td>749-1635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ron Wall</td>
<td>7027 E Creosote Dr.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carl Topolinski</td>
<td>4301 N. Summerzet</td>
<td>886-6460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Lamanna</td>
<td>7524 E Hampton Pl.</td>
<td>954-1126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barbara Belesh</td>
<td>2406 N Shade Tree Ln</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan Goudary</td>
<td>8840 E Bell Lane</td>
<td>298-7565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stan &amp; Barbara Schwartz</td>
<td>7130 E Onda Circle</td>
<td>546-3498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nancy &amp; Art Schwartz</td>
<td>7142 E Onda Circle</td>
<td>615-4728</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jonathan Mabry</td>
<td>COT Historic Museum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Just</td>
<td>PARKS &amp; RECREATION</td>
<td>837-8037</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PUBLIC QUESTIONNAIRE
CARDS
1. What do you consider the strengths of Udall Park?
   The undisturbed desert open space. This area provides habitat for native species, easy for people to walk without the noise inherent in athletic fields and a buffer for adjacent residences from the lights and noise of athletic events, concerts under the stars and traffic flow to those events.

2. What are potential improvements (i.e., facilities, amenities, events) for Udall Park?
   Native desert open space, golf course, ball fields walking paths.

3. What suggestions can you share with us to prepare a comprehensive master plan for the park?
   Utilize the desert open space to buffer adjacent neighborhoods from park activities. Locate proposed athletic fields in the southeast portion of the park to utilize lower elevations to contain noise & light pollution and provide access to Kolb/Sabino connection road.

4. What aspects of the meeting were most helpful and what suggestions can you provide for the next meeting?
   To learn of the changes that have been made to the original Master Plan that are in direct conflict with commitments made to adjacent residents to preclude access to the park from within the neighborhood.

5. What expectations do you have for the public process during the course of the project?
   I have the belief the public process is without merit as many elements from the original Master Plan were changed or eliminated contrary to the desires of past public participants.

6. General Comments

Thank you for your participation and comments.

Please mail, fax or email comments by July 6th, 2010
Mail to: Norris Design 419 N. Toole Avenue, Tucson, AZ 85701
Fax to: 520.622.8316
Email to: sweaks@norris-design.com
1. What do you consider the strengths of Udall Park?

2. What are potential improvements (i.e. facilities, amenities, events) for Udall Park?
   - Indoor studios for artists
   - Swimming pool
   - Therapy pool
   - More art/craft classes (overhead)
   - Public art
   - More comfortable covered benches
   - Sports events
   - Exhedral/Covered areas for people watching art exhibits (children/adults)

3. What suggestions can you share with us to prepare a comprehensive master plan for the park?
   - New amphitheatre

4. What aspects of the meeting were most helpful and what suggestions can you provide for the next meeting?
   - New amphitheatre

5. What expectations do you have for the public process during the course of the project?

6. General Comments
   - Keep it a community park!
   - Bike paths definitely separate from walking paths.

Thank you for your participation and comments.
1. What do you consider the strengths of Udall Park?

The outdoor path around the fields, pool and a recreation center that offers activities for all ages.

2. What are potential improvements (i.e. facilities, amenities, events) for Udall Park?

I would like to see softball fields built for GIRLS. The two front fields were built for men and are scaled for adults. The baseball fields serve boys. Girls need equitable facilities.

3. What suggestions can you share with us to prepare a comprehensive master plan for the park?

4. What aspects of the meeting were most helpful and what suggestions can you provide for the next meeting?

Giving the background of planning was helpful.

5. What expectations do you have for the public process during the course of the project?

Published community meetings.

6. General Comments

Thank you for your participation and comments.

Please mail, fax or email comments by July 6th, 2010
Mail to: Norris Design 418 N Toole Avenue, Tucson, AZ 85701
Fax to: 520.622.8316
Email to: sweaks@norris-design.com
1. What do you consider the strengths of Udall Park?

2. What are potential improvements (i.e. facilities, amenities, events) for Udall Park?

3. What suggestions can you share with us to prepare a comprehensive master plan for the park?
   - Keep it local, not commercialized
   - Separate kids activities from adult activity

4. What aspects of the meeting were most helpful and what suggestions can you provide for the next meeting?

5. What expectations do you have for the public process during the course of the project?

6. General Comments
   - Add electric charging stations for hybrid cars, Segways, etc.
   - Tennis courts have turned into organ sites - Soccer fields are current need. Design fields to be updated to next ortho field when soccer fields
   - Love the nature preserved idea

Thank you for your participation and comments.

Please mail, fax or email comments by July 6th, 2010
Mail to: Norris Design 418 N Toole Avenue, Tucson, AZ 85701
      Fax to: 520.622.8316
      Email to: sweaks@norris-design.com
1. What do you consider the strengths of Udall Park?

Close location to my home, Great for center, walking trail, dog park, softball fields.

2. What are potential improvements (i.e. facilities, amenities, events) for Udall Park?

More trees to provide shade close to softball fields, more parking, there are not enough parking spaces within softball and soccer play at the same time.

Q. Love the idea about an recreational center!

3. What suggestions can you share with us to prepare a comprehensive master plan for the park?

4. What aspects of the meeting were most helpful and what suggestions can you provide for the next meeting?

5. What expectations do you have for the public process during the course of the project?

6. General Comments

Would like to see a larger "weight room" and more exercise bikes, ellipticals, etc.

I would also like the rec center to be open on Sundays again. I would be in favor of membership to have longer enjoyment.

I love seeing the little kids doing their "toddler gym" classes while I work out in the gym.

Thank you for your participation and comments.

Please mail, fax or email comments by July 6th, 2010
Mail to: Norris Design 418 N Toole Avenue, Tucson, AZ 85701
Fax to: 520.622.6316
Email to: sweaks@norris-design.com
From: Greg Latta [greg.latta.susd@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, July 07, 2010 1:46 PM
To: Stacey Weaks
Subject: Udall Park

--
Greg Latta
Athletic Trainer
Sunnyside High School
1. What do you consider the strengths of Udall Park?
Family environment, Running area, Good athletic facilities, Dog friendly area,

2. What are potential improvements (i.e. facilities, amenities, events) for Udall Park?
Better lighting at little league pavilion area, and parking area, safety issue.
Baseball area needs more parking. Since that addition of the recycle containers baseball has lost a good portion of their parking. Most nights during little league there is not enough room, even with cars parking out in the desert, which becomes a safety issue.
#1 Need Little league needs another baseball field, and softball needs another softball field.

3. What suggestions can you share with us to prepare a comprehensive master plan for the park?
What is the master plan?

4. What aspects of the meeting were most helpful and what suggestions can you provide for the next meeting?
I was not able to attend the meeting.

5. What expectations do you have for the public process during the course of the project?
The major groups that use the park need to be heard. They need to be willing to show what they have done or are willing to invest in the park to make their vision of park improvements come true. With that said, soccer has had two fields built (that sit empty most of the time) while softball and baseball have not had any built in that same period of time.

6. General Comments
Baseball has been asking for a new field for at least 6 years.
Of the groups that use the park Sabino Canyon Little League has invested more in the park than any other group. They have built and maintained a snack bar, two batting cages, score boards, and do a majority of their own field maintenance.

Thank you for your participation and comments.

gregl@susd12.org
1. What do you consider the strengths of Udall Park?
   - location
   - walking path, yoga & exercise classes.
   - love the idea of a community facility. It just feels good.

2. What are potential improvements (i.e. facilities, amenities, events) for Udall Park?
   - DO NOT LIKE VELODROME IDEA. NOT attract too many people from all over. SO EXPENSIVE. I would rather see more interesting bike trails, rollerblading, skateboard park.
   - love the outdoor concerts. More playground equipment: swings, slides etc. basketball hoops, tricycle route. Maybe a splash center.
   - or children's pool. Definitely more picnic facilities.
   - Have you seen the walking pool for seniors? Really great idea! (3.25 foot deep).
   - Updated exercise equipment w/ more variety. More free weights.

3. What suggestions can you share with us to prepare a comprehensive master plan for the park?

4. What aspects of the meeting were most helpful and what suggestions can you provide for the next meeting?
   - like to hear from residents - some excellent comments.
   - The procedure intro was too long - we all understand the planning is complex but too much time devoted to it.
   - like the visual slides, maps, outline of process not so much.

5. What expectations do you have for the public process during the course of the project?
   - Hope that those in charge LISTEN! and perhaps keep us posted on the plans as they move forward.

6. General Comments
   - access to the park would be better if there were SIDEWALKS on Sabino Canyon Road! We would walk to park, not drive.
   - Would like a printed schedule of just Udall Park activities.
   - Thought the Farmers Market on Fridays were great.
   - Consistent activities like that seem like a good idea.
   - Music events at consistent times (like once a month on Sat. for example).

Thank you for your participation and comments.

Please mail, fax or email comments by July 6th, 2010
Mail to: Norris Design 418 N Toole Avenue, Tucson, AZ 85701
Fax to: 520.622.8316
Email to: sweaks@norris-design.com

Barbara Schwartz
546-3498
Udall Park Master Plan Update
Questionnaire Card

Please provide your question for the Team to address during the question and answer session.

I would like to see as much natural desert preserved with trails through it for all ages.

Please raise your card and a team member will collect the card. Thanks for your input.

Udall Park Master Plan Update
Questionnaire Card

Please provide your question for the Team to address during the question and answer session.

Announcer:

-One play shows right next to the dog run?
Parking availability – shuttle access?
Rest rooms?

I would like to be part of the Udall master plan over.

Please raise your card and a team member will collect the card. Thanks for your input.

Suggestions:

- What about shuttle services from public transit in additional parking spaces
- UofA architecture students competing on design in park
- Heat the pool with solar panels so that it can stay open in the winter
ADVISORY COMMITTEE
08.11.10 MEETING SUMMARY
### Project: Udall Park Master Plan Update  
**Date:** August 11, 2010

### Subject: Advisory Committee Meeting Summary  
**Location:** Udall Regional Center, 6:00pm

#### From: Stacey Weaks

#### Attendees:
- Jim Conroy, COT Park and Rec
- Midge Irvin, COT Park and Rec
- AJ Rico, COT Park and Rec
- Jane Duarte, COT Park and Rec
- Rob Just, COT Park and Rec
- Karen Ryan, Norris Design
- Jason Kuklinski, Norris Design
- Stacey Weaks, Norris Design
- Mary Fimbres, Ward 2 Representative
- Dale Crockatt, East Pantano/Pima Representative
- Gene Brown, Colonia Verde HOA
- Pat Dunham, Pima County Junior Soccer League
- Lazlo Veres, Arizona Symphonic Winds
- Fran Veres, Arizona Symphonic Winds
- Kenneth Madaus, AZ Symphonic Winds
- Caroline Ganz, Carol West Regional Center Representative
- Bob McDaniel, Dorado C.C. Representative
- Taylor Powers, Tanque Verde Girl's Softball
- Christie Powers, Tanque Verde Girl's Softball
- Diane Lussier, Tanque Verde Girl's Softball
- Collin Layel, Youth Representative

### Meeting Summary

The third Advisory Committee meeting for the Udall Park Master Plan Update was held at 6:00 p.m. on August 11, 2010 at the Udall Regional Center- Carol W. West Senior Addition. The consultant team and department staff facilitated the meeting attended by 14 committee members including representatives of Neighborhood and Homeowner Associations of the neighborhoods in the vicinity to the park, sports league representatives, business owners, representatives of the Symphonic Winds, the Ward representative and interested residents. The purpose of the meeting was to present the key findings and the preliminary master plan options. Information presented included a summary of recreation trends, the key findings and the preliminary master plan options in a powerpoint format. Following the presentation, the team facilitated a small group session to review the master plan options in further detail. Each small group presented a summary of the group’s findings with the entire committee. The Advisory Committee preferred the preliminary master plan ‘Option A’ with some minor modifications. The following summarizes the discussion points from the meeting.

#### Powerpoint Presentation
- Reviewed Program, Service and Trends Summary
- Reviewed Key Findings
- Preliminary Master Plan Options

#### Concept Master Plan Review
- Reviewed Master Plan Options
- Advisory Committee Group Session to review the concept plans

#### Group One Breakout Session Summary

**Option 1: Strengths**
- **Circulation**
  - Vehicular access from Pima and Prudence
  - Internal road connecting to Crestline (central access)
- **Amenities**
  - Playgrounds (increased number and provide for all ages & abilities)
  - Trails
  - Open turf by big playground and amphitheater (can be used for community events)
  - Larger dog park (current one is heavily used)
  - Lighted path (provide safety – wildlife concerns)
  - Skate park (want close to ball fields)
  - Aquatic feature
  - Basketball court
Site Considerations
- Buffer between park and neighbors
- Relationship between amphitheater and interpretive center

Option 1: Concerns
- Parking
  - Inadequate parking – add in place of some open space
  - Amphitheater looks too small (clarified that it can accommodate 3,000 – 3500 people)

- Amenities
  - Sports field lighting and noise pollution may create conflicts with amphitheater
  - Need large batting cages with fields
  - Opportunity for dual purpose of facilities in some areas
  - Add concessions & restroom in area of amphitheater and ball fields

Option 2: Strengths
- Amenities
  - Location and access of amphitheater
  - Play areas – Provide variety for various ages and abilities
  - The location of the dog park is good for short visits and discourages owners from allowing dogs off leash in open space and on sports fields

Option 2: Concerns
- Circulation
  - Need stronger connection from north to south
  - Southeast area of the park security
  - Satellite parking (1/2 acre) is isolated and should be moved

- Amenities
  - The orientation of the amphitheater doesn't take into consideration the mountain views and the sun may be in visitors' eyes
  - Play structures are all attached to programmed space – want a separate one
  - Is the skate park big enough for shared usage (i.e. – skateboarding and BMX)
  - Locate the skate park closer to the sports fields
  - The basketball courts are too big, switch with the skate park
  - Amphitheater’s location is vulnerable to snakes, critters because of its location to open space

Group Two Breakout Session
Option 1: Comments
- Circulation
  - Likes the connectivity of Option 1, minimizes pedestrian/vehicular conflicts
  - Likes having separate parking areas for the softball fields
  - Minimizes conflicts between soccer and softball people. Asked if there was any discussion about removing the parking area on the north end of the park. Explained there have been no such conversations.

- Amenities
  - Likes the relationship of the amphitheater, interpretive area and open turf.
  - Likes the relationship the separation of parking by activity eliminating potential conflicts.
  - Discussed the amount of people using the park on a weekly basis and the benefits of walking as a personal fitness activity.
  - Discussed previous Advisory Committee regarding more trails and the ability to stage a running/walking event at the park.
  - Discussed that the amphitheater could be utilized for opening ceremonies for sports tournaments.
  - Likes the dog park location and that it is significantly larger.
  - Would like to see a soccer field for playoff and tournament play only so that at the end of the season the teams have a field to play on for the championship that hasn’t been beat down all season with practice and games.
Do we have enough restrooms? Staff felt the number of restrooms shown on the master plan is adequate.

Requested batting cages to be incorporated into the master plan so the girl's softball teams have a place to warm up.

Identified the relocated recycling center to the group.

Feels the trails are a huge amenity for the park.

- Site Considerations
  - Likes Option 1 because people could be involved in multiple activities at the park without conflicting with each other but connected by the trails and circulation.
  - Mentioned the Parks Dept. Staff and ND have done a fantastic job on this master plan.

Option 2: Comments

- Circulation
  - Likes the south entrance into the park.

- Amenities
  - Didn't like the decentralization of the amphitheater, interpretive and play area.
  - Suggested creating multipurpose fields by turning the outfields of ball fields so that they can fit a soccer field in them.
  - Liked Option 2 because it creates the opportunity for sports tournaments.
  - Discussed the recent softball national champions for 9-11 year olds held at Lincoln Park and the huge economic draw the local economy with 4,000 room nights purchased by attendees at local hotels.
  - Loved the aquatics expansion and liked the amphitheater location however she thought that needed more open space around it for additional activities during a concert.
  - Explained the programming of the amphitheater and ball fields would be handled similarly to Reid Park so as to not schedule a concert and major sports event thereby overtaxing the parking lots.
  - Didn't think the location of the amphitheater was optimal because it didn't take advantage of the mountain views and the spectators would be looking into the sun.
  - Liked the location and size of the dog park.
  - Didn't like the location of the dog park because of its proximity to the neighborhood to the east and the potential for noise issues.
  - Suggested that the skate park be made larger, be more flexible with modular interchangeable elements and be multi-purpose/multi-use for BMX/bikes, inline skaters and skateboarders.
  - Asked if the idea of a BMX track had been considered? Explained that the current facilities in the city/county adequately serve the community.
  - The girls' softball players want more and nicer fields. The girls are forced to share fields with adult male leagues when the boys playing little league do not have too. It was also mentioned that the girls use the fields more than the men's leagues. Provide a 200' foul line softball fields just for girls softball.
  - Like the trails.

- Site Considerations
  - Asked if the open space would remain native desert. Open space would remain native desert.
  - Liked that there were large space/buffers between activities.
  - Discussed how the park is programmed vs. the amount of parking spaces required for each activity and use. Explained the balance of design and programming to avoid parking conflicts.

Meeting Overview

- Based on the feedback from the Advisory Committee Group Breakout Sessions, Option 1 was the preferred master plan with the suggested changes and recommendations from the breakout sessions.
- Norris Design will refine the preferred plan for an Advisory Committee Meeting on September 15th.

These meeting minutes are Norris Design's interpretation and understanding of the items discussed. If there are any comments or discrepancies, please contact Stacey Weaks at sweaks@norris-design.com.
Project: Udall Park Master Plan Update  
Date: September 15, 2010

Subject: Advisory Committee Meeting Summary  
Location: Udall Regional Center, 6:00pm

From Stacey Weaks

Attendees:
- Jim Conroy, COT Park and Rec  
- Midge Irvin, COT Park and Rec  
- Vince Valles, COT Park and Rec  
- Rob Just, COT Park and Rec  
- Karen Ryan, Norris Design  
- Jason Kuklinski, Norris Design  
- Stacey Weaks, Norris Design  
- Mary Fimbres, Ward 2 Representative  
- Paul Cunningham, Ward 2 Council Member  
- Pat Dunham, Pima County Junior Soccer League  
- Lazlo Veres, Arizona Symphonic Winds  
- Fran Veres, Arizona Symphonic Winds  
- Kenneth Madaus, AZ Symphonic Winds  
- Caroline Ganz, Carol West Regional Center Representative  
- Julianne Lussier, Tanque Verde Girl's Softball  
- Diane Lussier, Tanque Verde Girl's Softball  
- Collin Layel, Youth Representative

Meeting Summary
The fourth Advisory Committee meeting for the Udall Park Master Plan Update was held at 6:00 p.m. on September 15, 2010 at the Udall Regional Center - Carol W. West Senior Addition. The consultant team and department staff facilitated the meeting attended by 10 committee members including sports league representatives, representatives of the Symphonic Winds, the Ward representative and interested residents. The purpose of the meeting was to present the preferred master plan. Information presented included a summary of the draft master plan options, committee input and the preferred master plan in a powerpoint format. The committee provided feedback and suggested a few minor refinements for the preferred master plan. The Advisory Committee is in support of the preferred master plan. The following summarizes the discussion points from the meeting.

Powerpoint Presentation
- Review Master Plans Options 1 & 2 and the Advisory Committees input from the August 11th meeting.
- Preliminary Preferred Master Plan Presentation and Overview

Advisory Committee Feedback
- Move Recycling Center from its current location in the Preliminary Master Plan to the south east corner of the site as per the Conceptual Master Plan Options presented at the August 11th Advisory Committee Meeting.
- At the quad ball field complex create a larger buffer between the large baseball field and the adjacent parking area.
- Keep the north south park road connection with the parallel parking however the road to the south should follow the geometry of the parking area which supports the quad ball field creating a landscape island and WOWs intersection.
- Active picnicking is not preferred located along the eastern preservation/buffer area.
- Make sure the softball field has a 220’ foul line and remove the grass from the infields.
- Develop the parking area at the north west corner of the site.
- Service access from the maintenance facility into the park.
- Modify the dog park to illustrate the entire area in not grass.
- The master plan may not be conducive to softball tournaments. More softball fields would enhance the tournament experience.
- Show a utility corridor on the master plan.
- Scott Brown Foundations and the City of Tucson Parks Dept. are dedicating the 2 new soccer fields to Scott Brown.
- When is the amphitheater going to be built? The staff explained that process of getting project funded through the creation of the master plan and getting that initiative on a future bond. The group discussed the historical precedent for the amphitheater being at the top of the bond list for projects to be funded base on need, community popularity and that it has been a high priority activity on two master plans.
- The next meeting will be a public meeting scheduled for Wednesday, October 6th,
These meeting minutes are Norris Design’s interpretation and understanding of the items discussed. If there are any comments or discrepancies, please contact Stacey Weaks at sweaks@norris-design.com.
Meeting Summary
The second and final Public Meeting was held on October 6, 2010 at the Udall Regional Center- Carol W. West Senior Addition. The consultant team and Parks and Recreation Department Staff facilitated a meeting attended by fifty-six (56) local residents. The purpose of the meeting was to present and gain public support for the draft Udall Park Master Plan Update which had been directly through the design teams research, demographic and need analysis and input from the Advisory Committee. The power point included information on the master plan process, the Advisory Committee's input, a summary of the programs, services and trends analysis along with key findings, the integration of the 1991 and 2001 Udall Park Master Plans into this Udall Park Master Plan update, review of the two diagrammatic Udall Park Master Plan Options, and a thorough discussion of the Draft Udall Park Master Plan. The Draft Udall Park Master Plan portion of the presentation included specifically discussing the parks major proposed amenities, vehicular and pedestrian circulation, cultural and educational amenities, open space and support amenities. The presentation was concluded with a question and answer period for the public to ask questions of the design team and City Staff. The attendees were also asked to fill out a comment card which asked for the individual to provide any comments or opinions and whether they supported or did not support the Udall Park Master Plan Update.

Roadway Improvements, Transportation & Vehicular Circulation
Q: I live in one of the houses on the right side (west side of Kolb Road). With all this activity on the site how is going to affect my house? I don't think I am going to like that.
A: This is a long term vision for the park and as far as the Kolb Road Extension that is not a part of tonight's discussion even though it affects the boundaries or the park.

Q: What is Sun Tran doing to accommodate the bus stop how are you going to pull people in to cut down on the parking demand?
A: Sun Tran is planning on installing a dedicated bus turnaround with parking to accommodating 2-3 buses. There has been discussions regarding mini buses but it hasn't specifically been addressed in the plan, however that recommendation may end up in the Udall Master Plan Update Document.

Q: I did a rough estimate on parking. How do you plan on parking the amphitheater?
A: Each area has an individual parking ratios which are accommodated for in the plan however to properly park the Amphitheater the parking must be shared with other amenity parking lots. This becomes a programming and management issue for the Parks Dept. and you wouldn't want to have dedicated parking for the Amphitheater because the entire site could potentially be a parking lot.

Q: How does the plan effect the entrance into the shopping center the improvements along Tanque Verde Road?
A: We are just using the Kolb Rd. Extension and Tanque Verde Rd. Improvements as illustrated on the Udall Park Master Plan Update as a reference for what may be occurring around Udall Park. We haven't directed that design the Department of Transportation is, but it is important to show the road to illustrate connectivity to the Park.

Q: Are you concerned about sidewalks within the north of Tanque Verde Road?
A: That is not a part of this plan.

Q: When do you expect Pima or Prudence access to be open to relieve this traffic?
A: I can't give you a definitive answer on that. The plan needs to be approved by mayor and council and there needs to be logical phasing of construction. There are other options being considered to allow access but no timeline can be given now.
General Plan Questions
Q: I appreciate the multiuse of this plan but is this plan a wish list as of 2010 and could the plan be built out over the next 20 yrs and is the plan changeable?
A: Yes this is what the community's input has been incorporated into the plan and the plan is subject to change.
Q: One thing to mention; why is the planning process different that the previous plans?
A: This Master Plan is different because we looked at demographics and national trends to make a more relevant plan.

Cost, Phasing & Funding
Q: What's the time frame for this whole thing? People currently use the natural desert for walking, how are you going to control all this noise?
A: Noise is an issue but locating the major amenities centrally will minimize noise pollution. Regarding the time frame the Udall Park Master Plan has been updated in ten year increments. This plan is not a ten year plan but potentially a 20 yr plan. It's instrumental to have the plan to get funding through City of Tucson, Pima County and potential funding from corporate sponsorship and or local leagues can help get things built.
Q: What is the project cost?
A: That analysis is a part of our next step in completing the Master Plan Update Document.
Q: Have you looked at the phasing of the project?
A: That's part of the next phase. There is a logical progression of the order in which things are built based on utilities and vehicular circulation.
Q: We all know money is short. Have you thought about corporate sponsorship? The soccer is currently being dedicated under a sponsorship program.
A: Yes we have considered corporate sponsorship and that recommendation will be in the final Master Plan Document. If you know anyone how may be interested in sponsorship let us know or get them involved in the process.
Q: Do you have enough restrooms for all the older people?
A: Yes but we may need to reconsider that.
Q: Is there any money to build?
A: There is 4 million identified in the 2012 bond available 2013 and the logical development would to move south through the park and the amphitheater is a high priority for the next phase of development.

Energy Conservation
Q: Can someone discuss the methane gas line?
A: The landfill will supply methane and use it as an alternative energy supply for the site we don't know what the reality is yet and the site currently utilizes reclaimed water. The goal is to capture methane from the landfill to energize the building and the heat from the generators will heat the pool. Methane energy projection is 20 years.

Amphitheater
Q: If you build it they will come. Those minibuses will show up and do you have parking for them?
A: We have drop offs for them and would expect them to park offsite. This is just a general plan and those details will get worked out during construction phase we fit test at the broad level. This is a vision or concept.

Dog Park
Q: What is happening with the new dog park?
A: Dog park area is broken into 2 areas and is 4.5 acres.
**General Questions**

Q: How does one get an idea for a park generated or incorporated into this plan?
A: You can provide that input tonight or take a comment card.

Q: Was there any interest in having a lake?
A: It was and the consensus that this park remains more natural and less manicured than Reid Park and a lake was not a high priority based on the input from the Advisory Committee or community during the planning process.

Comment:
- The southeast corner of the site is natural and peaceful and we would the serenity from that rather than getting it from a lake. Let's leave that area natural.

**General Comments**
- I would like to compliment Tucson parks and the architect and serving the multitudes I believe everyone worked together to create a multi-use plan and my thanks to everyone.
- We take this seriously and we thank you and the team for integrating all our ideas and comments into the plan.

Overall the comments from the public were positive regarding the preliminary Udall Park Master Plan Update.

These meeting minutes are Norris Design's interpretation and understanding of the items discussed. If there are any comments or discrepancies, please contact Stacey Weaks at sweaks@norris-design.com.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Email</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jennifer Kendall</td>
<td>5259 N. Mtn. Terr. Rd.</td>
<td><a href="mailto:kendall.jo@email.arizona.edu">kendall.jo@email.arizona.edu</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WP. Yanelli</td>
<td>6849 E. Pascua St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patti Moreno</td>
<td>8500 N. Moonfire Dr.</td>
<td><a href="mailto:agamaroeno@yahoo.com">agamaroeno@yahoo.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chris Brown</td>
<td>2040 N. Heatherbone Cir.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brenda Hübner</td>
<td>763 E. CALLE 370 #204</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linda Siemankiewicz</td>
<td>3816 W. Place de la Pena</td>
<td><a href="mailto:siemanka@msn.com">siemanka@msn.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kathy Makensi</td>
<td>5181 N. Stonehouse Pl.</td>
<td><a href="mailto:skimakensi@yahoo.com">skimakensi@yahoo.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dale Cardott</td>
<td>8272 E. Red Willow Trail</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barbara Stan</td>
<td>7130 E. Onde Circle</td>
<td>barbandroidstar.com</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schneurretz</td>
<td></td>
<td>comcast.net</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frances Sotari</td>
<td>3521 N. Via San Juanito</td>
<td>85119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mario Fimbres</td>
<td>7515 E. Speedway</td>
<td><a href="mailto:mario.fimbres@tucsonaz.gov">mario.fimbres@tucsonaz.gov</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jose Hinojos</td>
<td>7550 E. Logan Pk 85706</td>
<td><a href="mailto:dent.jhm@msn.com">dent.jhm@msn.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collin Lane</td>
<td>783 E. East Fairmont</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pat Schellie</td>
<td>12995 N. Oracle Rd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne Schellie</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAME</td>
<td>ADDRESS</td>
<td>EMAIL/PHONE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leigh Stanton</td>
<td>222 N Conestoga Ave</td>
<td><a href="mailto:boyerw22@gmail.com">boyerw22@gmail.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanley Garvin</td>
<td>6861 E Fieldstone Ln</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theresa Green</td>
<td>6821 E Del Oro</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Chastain</td>
<td>7041 E. Crestline Dr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gail Cundley</td>
<td>8344 N. Del Oro</td>
<td><a href="mailto:gail12@usa.com">gail12@usa.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gene Brown</td>
<td>8751 E. Calle Dorado</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dave Petrinic</td>
<td>6945 E. Calle Dorado</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tom Nicholson</td>
<td>3355 N. Riverbien Cir</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC Miliar</td>
<td>333 N. Cam de la Ciera</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regina Sosa</td>
<td>3392 N. Woot Viola</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wanda LeRoy</td>
<td>7822 E. Camino Montezuma</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don Coleman</td>
<td>7181 E. Tague Verde</td>
<td><a href="mailto:don@meyfieldflorist.com">don@meyfieldflorist.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wes Clark</td>
<td>949 E Calle 92</td>
<td><a href="mailto:wcclark82az@gmail.com">wcclark82az@gmail.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rod Sowards</td>
<td>1831 E Camina St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bruce &amp; Marjorie</td>
<td>1600 N. Estrella</td>
<td><a href="mailto:bgsnow@gmail.com">bgsnow@gmail.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Address</td>
<td>Phone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharon Walsh</td>
<td>1980 N. Camino Sabadell</td>
<td>85715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George Fischer</td>
<td>6721 N. Longfellow</td>
<td>85715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bob Parker</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Betty Bloomberg</td>
<td>1917 N. Linden Cir.</td>
<td>85715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bruce Tao</td>
<td>1917 N. Linden Cir.</td>
<td>85715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. Swimmer</td>
<td>8300 N. Riverbend</td>
<td>85750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vin Kriegelmay</td>
<td>TIPPIE Querky</td>
<td>85715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jane Duarte</td>
<td>COT-900 S. Randolph Ave.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ann Mallem</td>
<td>18310 E. Upward DR</td>
<td>85748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Just</td>
<td>TUCSON PARKS &amp; REC</td>
<td>837-8037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laszlo Frant Veres</td>
<td>4301 N. Ventana Loop</td>
<td>85750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ian Irving</td>
<td>7846 E. Marques Drive</td>
<td>85715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larry Cohen</td>
<td>6755 E. Blue Haven</td>
<td>85715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pat Dunker</td>
<td>6931 E. Newthorne</td>
<td>85760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evelyn Brown</td>
<td>20400 Heatherbrae</td>
<td>85715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan Godfrey</td>
<td>8840 E. Bellaire</td>
<td>85715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diane Lussier</td>
<td>10321 E. Calle Descanso</td>
<td>85749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Address</td>
<td>Phone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Betty Dunn</td>
<td>1488 N. Camino Alcanada</td>
<td>85711</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ronald Peterson</td>
<td>7310 E Calle Cabo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Address</td>
<td>Email</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marge Linder</td>
<td>8251 E. Broadway</td>
<td>m <a href="mailto:Lage1w898@tucsonaz.gov">Lage1w898@tucsonaz.gov</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don Coleman</td>
<td>7181 E. Tanque Verde</td>
<td><a href="mailto:dcom@mayfieldflorist.com">dcom@mayfieldflorist.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bob Ross</td>
<td>5873 W Agave Bloom Ln.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karen Ross</td>
<td>u</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bill Nickerson</td>
<td>5552 W. Sabino Highlands</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Comment Card

I support / do not support the preferred Master Plan. (Please circle your preference)
Please provide your comments or concerns regarding the Master Plan:

We support the plan. Books like great diversity for young and old.

Name: Bruce Garrett  Contact Number or Email: 721-7281

Thank you for your participation and input.

Comment Card

I support / do not support the preferred Master Plan. (Please circle your preference)
Please provide your comments or concerns regarding the Master Plan:

Sounds great! Hope it happens in my lifetime.

Name: Collin Lawrence  Contact Number or Email: 652-504-7869

Thank you for your participation and input.
I support do not support the preferred Master Plan. (Please circle your preference)
Please provide your comments or concerns regarding the Master Plan:

Great proposal — good balance of varied uses
Support enthusiastically

Concerned about traffic modifications to Tongue Verde

Name: Don Coleman Contact Number or Email: don@macfieldflorist.com

I would like to see an ice arena added to the proposal.

Name: Kathy Makansi Contact Number or Email: Smakansi@yahoo.com

Thank you for your participation and input.

Has any consideration been given to the establishment of a golf driving range (much needed revenue source)
Udall Park Master Plan Update
Public Meeting #2 | October 6th, 2010
Carol W. West Senior Center at Morris K. Udall Regional Center

Comment Card
I support / do not support the preferred Master Plan. (Please circle your preference)
Please provide your comments or concerns regarding the Master Plan:

What about an ice rink? It would be beneficial to the health of our youth as well as us seniors.

Name: Larry Cohen
Contact Number or Email: Laron Cohen@concast.net

Thank you for your participation and input.

Udall Park Master Plan Update
Public Meeting #2 | October 6th, 2010
Carol W. West Senior Center at Morris K. Udall Regional Center

Comment Card
I support / do not support the preferred Master Plan. (Please circle your preference)
Please provide your comments or concerns regarding the Master Plan:

I would like an ice rink to be a part of your consideration of the park plan. We do not have any with a sheet of ice to play on. I think it would be a great plan to see an ice rink incorporated into it. An ice arena would fulfill a need in

Name: Karen Ross
Contact Number or Email:

Thank you for your participation and input.
Comment Card

I support the preferred Master Plan. (Please circle your preference)
Please provide your comments or concerns regarding the Master Plan:

Great plan! All thought out & diverse use of space.

Comment Card

I support the preferred Master Plan. (Please circle your preference)
Please provide your comments or concerns regarding the Master Plan:

Would be nice to have an Ice Rink

Comment Card

I support the preferred Master Plan. (Please circle your preference)
Please provide your comments or concerns regarding the Master Plan:

Comment Card

I support the preferred Master Plan. (Please circle your preference)
Please provide your comments or concerns regarding the Master Plan:

Great Plan!
I support / do not support the preferred Master Plan. (Please circle your preference)
Please provide your comments or concerns regarding the Master Plan:

A skating rink would be a nice addition.

Name: \[Signature\]  
Contact Number or Email:

Thank you for your participation and input.

A "missing" necessity in Tucson

Name: \[Signature\]  
Contact Number or Email:

Thank you for your participation and input.
I support / do not support the preferred Master Plan. (Please circle your preference)
Please provide your comments or concerns regarding the Master Plan:

I see that the two new baseball fields have batting cages. Do the softball fields have room for batting cages? Found it!

Thanks for all your work!

Name: Diane Lussier  Contact Number or Email: 749-1635  @pima.edu

Thank you for your participation and input.

Great plan but I would like to see an ice arena incorporated into it. There is a need for it in this city!

Name: [Illegible]  Contact Number or Email: [Illegible]