

**From:** Rory Juneman <rjuneman@lsblandlaw.com>  
**To:** "Carolyn Laurie - City of Tucson (Carolyn.Laurie@tucsonaz.gov)" <Carolyn...  
**CC:** "Josh Vasbinder (josh.Vasbinder@tdc-properties.com)" <josh.Vasbinder@tdc...  
**Date:** 08/08/2017 10:31 AM  
**Subject:** Follow-up on Din/Cal Design Changes  
**Attachments:** 20170725 DRC Package Original 7-24-17 v2 Reduced pg 10, 30.pdf

Hi Carolyn:

This is a follow-up to our meeting last week regarding the Aspire Tucson (the "Project") design package change submittal (Case No. T16SA00348, DP16-0151). You had several questions, which I've addressed below:

- \* Stucco or EFIS: The building's finish will be stucco; there is no EFIS on the project.
  - \* Security Gate: You raised concerns about access to the narrow gap between the Ariz. History Museum and the Project. Din/Cal is agreeable to a gate and is evaluating locations, as they must leave adequate spacing from the transformer and parking ventilation area. The gate likely will be west of the building's SE corner, adjacent to the model unit.
  - \* Courtyard Gates: Our intent all along was to include polycarbonate in the courtyard gates because it is a much more durable material. Using polycarbonate improves safety because it is less likely than glass to break, and if it does break it will not shatter like glass. In addition, the polycarbonate material is translucent so pedestrians cannot see into the courtyard (also a security benefit as people cannot see into the courtyard area).
  - \* Pool Amenity Area: Our original approved rooftop amenity area was 8,052 sq. ft., and our new proposed area is 8,478 sq. ft. (an additional 426 sq. ft. or 5%). Even with this small addition, none of our amenity space is being placed closer to the neighborhood to the north, and the west amenity area is actually moving about six feet away from the west edge. In addition, a significant portion of the increased amenity area is due to the addition of the accessible ramp, which is 312 sq. ft. Without the ramp, we only are adding 114 sq. ft. of amenity space.
  - \* Red Concrete: Tim referenced two IID projects that recently had red-colored concrete approved (1 E Broadway and 1 W Broadway), so his thought is TDOT will be okay with this proposed change. He will call you to discuss.
  - \* Page 10 Louver: Change A14, the replacement of a door with a louver, has been corrected to show the correct colored louver. The new slide is attached.
  - \* Page 30 Mechanical Space: The massing blocks for the roof mechanical space has been properly sized to reflect them as 3 ft. tall (the previous slide showed them at 6 ft., which was incorrect). The new slide is attached.
- Let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks,  
Rory

Rory Juneman, Esq.

[LSB Logo with NameOL]  
Helping communities and developers grow responsibly.

Grant Road Professional Plaza

5983 E. Grant Rd., Suite 290  
Tucson, AZ 85712

rjuneman@lsblandlaw.com<mailto:rjuneman@lsblandlaw.com>  
520-207-4464 (office)  
520-390-3731 (mobile)

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE: This transmission may contain information that is privileged, confidential and/or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the information contained herein (including any reliance thereon) is STRICTLY PROHIBITED. If you received this transmission in error, please immediately contact the sender and destroy the material in its entirety, whether in electronic or hard copy format. Thank you.