

Daniel Bursuck - Re: Fwd: Revisions in Sign Code?

From: Steve Kozachik
To: Buell Tomasson - (buelljannuzi) Jannuzi; Ortega, Michael; Rankin, Mike
Date: 10/09/2016 6:05 PM
Subject: Re: Fwd: Revisions in Sign Code?

Mike's, I'm cc'ing into the Buell Jannuzi. He and I worked together several years ago on our then rewrite of the outdoor lighting code. He's an astronomer on campus and is deeply engaged in how what our codes do with respect to the impacts on night skies.

I don't see anything we're doing with the current rewrite that could impact the interests of the astronomy community, but I want to get you two to also weigh in and affirm that - or if I'm missing something, please use Buell as a resource. See his email below for specific concerns.

Thanks - Steve Kozachik

>>> Steve Kozachik <votestevek@gmail.com> 10/9/2016 5:59 PM >>>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Jannuzi, Buell Tomasson - (buelljannuzi)** <buelljannuzi@email.arizona.edu>
Date: Sun, Oct 9, 2016 at 4:23 PM
Subject: Revisions in Sign Code?
To: Steve Kozachik <votestevek@gmail.com>

Dear Steve,

I understand that the city is starting to consider changes in the sign code, motivated in part by a need to make sure the code is compliant with 2015 U.S. Supreme Court decision, but I'm concerned that to my knowledge there has not been any coordination with the Outdoor Lighting Code Committee or other stage holders in how changes in the sign code might impact the effectiveness and intent of the outdoor lighting code. Are you engaged in this issue yet? Can you point me to someone who is knowledgeable and is open to understanding the possible impacts on the lighting code if there are substantive changes in either the properties of allowed signs or in the processes that control how signs are permitted/installed/inspected? I, and I'm sure the entire Outdoor Lighting Code Committee, are happy to meet, learn, and exchange ideas with those in the city staff or other groups that might want to change the sign code.

Sincerely,
Buell
