

**Broadway - Re: September 26 community mtg**

---

**From:** first last <yq7abc@gmail.com>  
**To:** Broadway Broadway <Broadway.PWPO1.PWDOM2@tucsonaz.gov>  
**Date:** 9/27/2013 6:13 PM  
**Subject:** Re: September 26 community mtg

---

Jenn, my table was divided and nowhere near a consensus. My input was existing right of way which I prised out of Andrew as an actual choice. While it's certainly true that I like to sleep on things this isn't the reason for my wanting to correct my choice. I was unable to assess the choices because they were not clearly available to me. It wasn't until I insisted that Andrew pass the smaller drawings around that I could actually hold one in my hand. I only ever saw 3 of the choices up close. The ones on the large page on the table were not accessible to me because of their distance and configuration.

What appeals to me is a cross section that improves function but doesn't destroy the immediate environment. What I was looking for on that larger table sized document was something that accomplished this. What I had written on the green post it was existing roadway or the 4T option with the centre bus lanes but then I crossed that one out because of the overall width. Where I landed after being able to actually see the choices online was the SATA proposal that uses the existing ROW width. I don't have that number in front of me right now.

So far I've been to all 3 of these events. I was quite frustrated by the first one because nothing I said ended up on the sheet and my facilitator was weak and we had a bullying louder person in the group and my reaction to this is to wait it out and not escalate a confrontation. The result was my thoughts were overlooked.

I'm not recalling anything particularly negative about the 2nd event especially since I could write on the large sheets around the room or talk to people at the various stations.

Now in round 3 I'm frustrated again because access to critical materials was poor and my outcome was altered because of this. I'm just a person wanting access to a public process because I care about the future of my city and I'd like to provide my input since it's being asked for. Just in case, I don't have an agenda other than my thoughts on the roadway and process. Richard

On Fri, Sep 27, 2013 at 5:16 PM, Broadway Broadway <[Broadway.PWPO1.PWDOM2@tucsonaz.gov](mailto:Broadway.PWPO1.PWDOM2@tucsonaz.gov)> wrote:

Richard,  
 Thank you for coming last night, and for taking time to continue to think about the information. (Researchers have reported that our brains continue to work on problems while we sleep!)  
 I will confer with the team on your question, but my initial reaction is that it is problematic to change your **table** input at this point, since that also affects your group choices.  
 If you were willing to email what your choices were, and what you would want to change them and why, we might be able to incorporate that into our collection of input.  
 Would that work for you?  
 ~Jenn

>>> On 9/27/2013 at 8:34 AM, first last <[yq7abc@gmail.com](mailto:yq7abc@gmail.com)> wrote:

I attended last night's community meeting and participated in the table P small-group discussion. I was somewhat frustrated by the roadway cross section portion of the meeting. It was difficult to actually see the large drawings on the single sheet on the table, and in my group the smaller single-section drawings were not passed around the table until pretty much the end of the time set aside for the activity-- and only because I really insisted, since I was not able to see and understand the cross section information in the larger, on-table format. The other difficult thing was that my facilitator didn't present all of the various cross section options.

In retrospect I think the task of looking at roadway cross section options in the small-group format was daunting given the time frame allotted. That and the need for so many skilled facilitators made it difficult to work through the materials and come up with meaningful input on the project. Personally I find it almost impossible to sort options and arrive at a reasoned result absent an understanding of the requirements and constraints that drove the selection of the options in the first place.

So, twelve hours later and after some consideration and the opportunity to view the Broadway website, I do actually understand the cross section options in a way that allows me to contextualise my choices.

Which brings me to my question: Is the comment process now closed, or can I append my table P input now that I've had the pause and reflection I needed to actually process the information presented?

Thanks,  
R. Mayers