



**To:** Broadway Citizens Task Force  
**From:** Broadway Project Team  
**Date:** July 10, 2014  
**RE:** CTF Member’s Question about Street Design Alternatives and Funding

**“What are the final costs and funding sources for the “sidewalks and pavement only”, 4+2T, and 6-Lane?”**

	<b>Sidewalk, Pavement only</b>	<b>4+2T [Fully Dedicated] Lanes</b>	<b>6-[Mixed Flow] Lanes</b>	<b>NEW Potential Hybrid: 6/4+2T [Shared Priority]</b>
<b>Description</b>	If no RTA Project built, roadway asphalt between existing curbs would be rehabilitated, restriping of existing lane configurations, and install of ADA-compliant paths (sidewalks assumed) and curb ramps	Operating from Day 1 as 4 mixed flow lanes for cars, 2 fully dedicated transit lanes, bike lanes, and sidewalks	Operating from Day 1 with 6 mixed flow lanes for cars and buses, bike lanes, and sidewalks	Goal: To find the balance between the 4+2T [Fully Dedicated] Lanes operations and the 6-[Mixed Flow] Lanes operations that emphasizes transit improvements, but still improves all 4 modes of transport (including car)
<b>Traffic Modeling Performance (Goal: Show improvement to all 4 modes of transport, as good or better than the 6+2T or better)</b>	<i>Not modeled; would perform worse than 4-Lane, which modeled improvements to intersections</i>	Ped: Better Bike: Better Bus: Better Auto: Worse	Ped: Better Bike: Better Bus: Better Auto: Better	(Goal: ‘Better’ for all) Ped: <i>not modeled yet</i> Bike: <i>not modeled yet</i> Bus: <i>not modeled yet</i> Auto: <i>not modeled yet</i>
<b>Funding Source</b>	None – City would be responsible when near-term roadway maintenance	As is, none; If car perf. Increased, eligible for RTA & Pima Co. funding (see Hybrid 6/4+2T)	RTA & Pima Co. Project funding	If all 4 modes improved, RTA & Pima Co. Project funding
<b>Construction</b>	\$5.7 M	\$25-\$30 M		
<b>Acquisition</b>	\$17-\$24 M (does not include relocation costs)	\$48-\$66 M (includes all possible relocation, environmental, demolition costs)		
<b>Potential Costs Recaptured</b>	[1]	\$12-\$17 M		
<b>NET PROJECT TOTAL[2]</b>	<b>\$23-\$30 M</b>	<b>\$59-\$72 M</b>		

**Notes:**

- Potential to recapture acquisition costs through sale of remnant properties has not been estimated for this alternative.
- Handouts distributed at the 6/12/14 Open House provide detailed performance for key areas. A copy of this sheet can be found online at:  
[http://www.tucsonaz.gov/files/projects/broadway/2014\\_06-12\\_PerfDetail\\_RefAlts.pdf](http://www.tucsonaz.gov/files/projects/broadway/2014_06-12_PerfDetail_RefAlts.pdf)

## Detailed Performance Assessments on Key Considerations (June 12, 2014)

Topic	Factors	Revised Street Design Alternatives						
		Refined 4-Lane		Refined 4+2T/6-Lane Base				
		Base	Variation A	Base	Variation A	Variation B	Variation C	Variation D
<b>Community Character and Economic Performance</b>								
Historic/Significant Buildings Directly Impacts	- Width of right of way (minimizing can negatively or positively affect other performance measures)	12 buildings	17 buildings	22 buildings	34 buildings	34 buildings	19 buildings	13 buildings
Potential Historic/Significant Building Acquisition	- Alignment of street: Choice/balancing of potential impacts to different sides of the street - Design of parking impact avoidance or replacement	48 parcels	40 parcels	58 parcels	65 parcels	63 parcels	56 parcels	62 parcels
Business Impacts (Total Buildings Directly Impacted % Probably Acquisitions)		19 bldgs. 71 properties	27 bldgs. 59 properties	35 bldgs. 86 properties	53 bldgs. 93 properties	52 bldgs. 92 properties	33 bldgs. 89 properties	25 bldgs. 91 properties
<b>Transportation Performance</b>								
Pedestrian	- Width of sidewalk - Separation from moving traffic - Places to walk to - Shade - Width and design of street crossings - Universal Design and ADA	++		+				
Bicycle	- Travel time (existing and future conditions: ~13.5 minutes) - Consider bicycle network access	+1/2		+				
Transit	- Travel time (existing: 13.9 min. @ 8.9 mph during peak hour) - Potential for high capacity transit (i.e.; light rail, street car, or bus rapid transit) – space within right of way (i.e.; lanes can be converted to transit only or right of way width available for future transit lanes)	18.8 min. @ 6.6 mph HCT: --		4+2T: 13.7 min. @ 9.0 mph HCT: ++ 6-Lane: 13.8 min. @ 9.0 mph HCT: O				
Vehicular	- Travel time (existing condition: 7.1 min. @ avg. 17.4 mph during peak hour)	10.4 min. @ 11.9 mph		4+2T: 15.8 min. @ 7.8 mph 6-Lane: 7.0 min. @ 17.6 mph				
<b>Funding Viability and Project Functionality</b>								
Construction Cost	- \$29.3 budgeted per RTA 2005 Plan	\$20-25m		\$25-30m				
Acquisition Cost Gross and (net after estimated remnant parcel sales)	- \$44.0 budgeted per RTA 2005 Plan - Costs shown here are approximate, based on preliminary analyses to provide a basis for comparing alternatives and variations	\$40 m (\$32 m)	\$36 m (\$29 m)	\$54 m (\$40 m)	\$46 m (\$34 m)	\$49 m (\$37 m)	\$66 m (\$49 m)	\$56 m (\$42 m)
County Funding	- Bond ordinance is for a 6- or 8-lane project	No		4+2T: unknown 6-Lane: Yes				
RTA Funding	- Must achieve a level of transportation functionality comparable to the voter-approved project (6+2 Transit Lanes) with no reduction of functionality for all modes (vehicles, transit, bicycles, and pedestrians)	No		4+2T: No 6-Lane: Likely				
Tucson Mayor & Council	- Maintain county and RTA funding - Achieve balanced range of functionality (transportation + other)	Likely No		4+2T: Possibly 6-Lane: Likely				
<b>Sustainability Performance</b>								
Relationship to Transportation Performance	- Provide high-quality options to reduce solo vehicle driving - Air quality impacts	--		4+2T: + 6-Lane: +				
Water Harvesting and Green Streets	- Meet or exceed City's Green Streets Active Practice Guidelines	+		+				
Reduce Heat Island	- Use of shade and other features of the improvements to Broadway that can reduce the heat created by the sun shining on Broadways road pavement and sidewalks.	++		+				
Manageable Operations and Maintenance Costs	- The operations and maintenance costs for pavement, signals, transit, and landscape are yet to be determined	The ability of the city and SunTran to maintain and operate improvements will be a considered in the design and construction of any alternative.						