Call to the Audience Guidelines

• 2 Call to the Audience opportunities
• Must fill out participant card
• Participants called in the order cards are received
• 3 minutes allowed per participant
• CTF Facilitator will call on speakers and manage time
• CTF members cannot discuss matters raised
• CTF cannot take action on matters raised
• CTF members can ask project team to review an item

Action Meeting Agenda

1. Call to Order/Agenda Review/Announcements 3 min
2. Approval of CTF Meeting Summary 1/23/14 2 min
3. Discuss/Approve Authoring a CTF Letter of Support for the Sunshine Mile Bond Project 5 min
4. Call to the Audience 15 min
5. Public Input Report and Reports on Project Presentations & Outreach 15 min
6. Presentations and Discussion: ADA Compliance and Universal Design Considerations 60 min

10 MIN BREAK

7. Approve Upcoming CTF Design Charrette Meeting Schedule
8. Presentations and Discussion: Introduction to Initial Analyses of Design Approaches and Other Tools to Help Mitigate Property Impacts and Acquisitions 60 min
9. Call to the Audience 10 min
10. Next Steps/CTF Roundtable 10 min
11. Adjourn

2. Approval of January 23, 2014 CTF Meeting Summary

Nanci Beizer

3. Discuss/Approve Authoring a CTF Letter of Support for the Sunshine Mile Bond Project

Nanci Beizer

Call to the Audience

15 Minutes

Please limit comments to 3 minutes

• Called forward in order received
• CTF members cannot discuss matters raised
• CTF cannot take action on matters raised
• CTF members can ask project team to review an item
5. Public Input Report, and Reports on Project Presentations and Outreach

1/23/14 RTA Board Meeting - Jim DeGrood
1/30/14 UA Class Presentation & Partnership on Panda Buffet Building Post-Demo Site Treatment (2419 E. Broadway)

6. Presentations and Discussion: ADA Compliance and Universal Design Considerations

Martin DuPont
ADA Specialist, City of Tucson

Sherry Santee
Physical Therapist, UA Disability Resources Center

What Is the American with Disabilities Act (ADA)

The Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (ADA) prohibits discrimination and ensures equal opportunity for persons with disabilities in employment, State and local government services, public accommodations, commercial facilities, and transportation

- Why ADA and Universal Design is important for Broadway

How does ADA Apply to Streets

- Designed to Serve all Users
- Pedestrian Facility Design
  - Architectural Barriers Act (ABA) of 1968
  - Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (Section 504)
  - American with Disabilities Act of 1990
- Communications & Information Access

Reference: access-board.gov

How ADA is Enforced

- Design Standards
  - Includes Proposed standards for Pedestrian Facilities in Public Right-of-Way
- Department of Justice Audit

DOJ Settlement Agreement with City of Tucson

- Project Civic Access
- Notice: November 2004
- Audit: January 2005
- Settlement Agreement: July 2005
- 4-Year Agreement
  - First 3 years spent making corrections
  - Final year spent monitoring
"Reframing" Disability & Universal Design

**Emerging Frame**
The environment creates and perpetuates barriers that disable or exclude people with impairments.

**Universal Design**
The design of products and environments to be usable by all people, to the greatest extent possible, without the need for adaptation or specialized design.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Our responsibility</th>
<th>Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Identify and remove barriers to access</td>
<td>Inclusive and welcoming environments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incorporate access into design initially</td>
<td>Sustainable design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Equitable, respectful experience for all</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Principles of UD**

1. **Equitable use** | The design is useful and marketable to people with diverse abilities
2. **Flexibility in use** | The design accommodates a wide range of preferences and abilities.
3. **Simple and intuitive use** | Use of the design is easy to understand, regardless of the user’s experience, knowledge, language skills, or current concentration level.
4. **Perceptible information** | The design communicates necessary information effectively to the user, regardless of ambient conditions or the user's sensory abilities.

5. **Tolerance for error** | The design minimizes hazards and the adverse consequences of accidental or unintended actions.
6. **Low physical effort** | The design can be used efficiently and comfortable and with a minimum of fatigue.
7. **Size and space for approach and use** | Appropriate size and space is provided for approach, reach, manipulation, and use regardless of user's body size, posture, or mobility.

**How do we design to include these principles?**

[Center for Universal Design](http://www.ncsu.edu/project/design-projects/adi/center-for-universal-design/the-principles-of-universal-design/)

---

2/8/2014
An example of a Universally Designed entrance

How do Universal Design principles relate to roadway and pedestrian features?

- Such as:
  - Sidewalks
  - Driveway crossings
  - Intersection crossings
  - Bus stops
  - Other pedestrian amenities

Progressive examples of Sidewalk Design

- Sidewalk meeting minimum standards
- City standard sidewalk widths and buffer
- Higher level of accommodation

Example 4’ Wide Sidewalk “Congestion Issues”

Increasing width to about 8’ would alleviate “congestion”
Progressive examples of Driveway Design

- Examples of existing conditions that create challenging travel
- Higher level of accommodation
Interactive Exercise/Discussion

- What do you think the user experiences are like in the following examples?
- In what ways is the design challenging?
- In what ways is it designed well?
- Other thoughts? Questions?
7. Approve Upcoming CTF Design
Charrette Meeting Schedule

Jenn Toothaker
Project Manager, Tucson Department of Transportation

Phil Erickson
Community Design + Architecture:

Proposed Charrette Schedule

- Charrette #3 end of February and early March
  - Session 1: Tuesday evening, February 25th 5:30-8:30pm
  - Session 2: Thursday evening, February 27th 5:30-8:30pm
  - Session 3: Thursday, March 6th 5:30-8:30pm
  - Session 4: Friday, March 7th 3-6pm

- Allows more time for
  - Planning Team to present information
  - CTF to digest and receive clarifications
  - CTF to discuss, gain understanding of benefits and disbenefits of alternatives, and to have in-depth discussion to reach a consensus

Goals for Charrette

- Foster CTF dialogue to achieve consensus on
  - Street Design Concept Alternatives to take to the public workshop
    - Revisions to those presented at charrette
    - New and hybrid alternatives
  - What does CTF want to hear from the public to help the CTF in selecting their Recommended Street Design Concept
    - Key questions to ask the public
    - Identification of public's preferences
    - Other...
  - Allow time for CTF members to meet with stakeholders during and between meetings
Draft Charrette Agendas

• **Session 1:** Tuesday evening, February 25th 5:30-8:30pm—Study Session
  - Objective – Introduce Street Design and Assessment materials and methods to establish foundation for charrette work
    - Phoenix LRT presentation and discussion (40 min., Wulf Grote, Valley Metro LRT Planning and Development Director)
    - Street Design Concept Alternatives /Q&A (65 min. including time for CTF @ large drawings)
    - Break
    - Performance Measures Quantitative and Qualitative Assessment of Concept Alternatives /Q&A (65 min.)
    - Call to the Audience
    - Next Steps/CTF Roundtable

Draft Charrette Agendas

• **Session 2:** Thursday evening, February 27th 5:30-8:30pm—Action Meeting
  - Objective – For CTF to reach a deeper understanding of Design Concepts and Performance Assessments and to begin dialogue
    - Call to the Audience
    - Street Design Concept Alternatives and Performance Measure Assessment Discussion (140 mins., including breaks)
      - Address any additional technical and clarification questions
      - CTF Brainstorming process of questions, concerns, ideas, etc.
      - Organize and prioritize into topics for further discussion
      - Discussion amongst CTF, around drawings as needed
    - Call to the Audience
    - Next Steps/CTF Roundtable

Draft Charrette Agendas

• **Session 3:** Thursday, March 6th 5:30-8:30pm—Action Meeting
  - Call to the Audience
  - CTF Hands-on Working Session (2 hours with breaks)
  - Small group report out (1/2 hour)
  - Call to the Audience

Draft Charrette Agendas

• **Session 4:** Friday, March 7th 3-6pm—Action Meeting
  - Call to the Audience
  - CTF Discussions and Decisions with breaks: Design concepts and performance measure assessments to advance to Public Meeting #4 (2 hours)
  - Review schedule and next steps
  - Call to the Audience

CTF Discussion

Verify Dates and Approach

• Move ahead with Charrette #3 and proposed agendas
  - Session 1: Tuesday evening, February 25th 5:30-8:30pm
  - Session 2: Thursday evening, February 27th 5:30-8:30pm
  - Session 3: Thursday, March 6th 5:30-8:30pm
  - Session 4: Friday, March 7th 3-6pm

8. Introduction to Initial Analysis of Design Approaches and Tools to Help Mitigate Property Impacts & Acquisitions

Mike Johnson
Project Manager, HDR

Jim Mazzocco
Deputy Director City of Tucson Planning and Development Services

Laura Vertes, AIA
Swaim Associates

Donovan Durband
Administrator, City of Tucson ParkWise
Purpose of Study

- Identify parking and access impacts that could lead to full acquisition
- Identify plausible approaches for limiting these impacts
  - Maintain legal/physical access to existing parking
    - "Low Risk" offset
  - Provide offsite replacement parking (public or private)
  - Reduce parking requirements
- Examples along Broadway illustrating the issues and solution approaches
- Establish design approach for alignments

Example 1 -- Strip Commercial Development with Front Parking

60° angled parking requires 20' of parking depth, 16' for access and maneuvering — a total of 36'

Example 1 -- Strip Commercial Development with Front Parking

Access Management Guidelines

- The City of Tucson’s "Access Management Guidelines" (Ordinance 9823 revised December 2011):
  - Driveways limited to two per 300' of frontage
  - Driveways at least 80' apart
  - No driveway closer than 150' from an arterial or collector intersection
  - No direct residential lot access from arterials
  - Promotes cross-access agreements for new development with multiple lots
  - A local access lane can used for multiple parcels have direct access to a collector or arterial roadway.
- How flexible are these? What sort of flexibility would be helpful?

One plausible approach -- access lane entirely in existing right-of-way – requires 16’ shift to north
Alternate Access Approach

1. Reduce 150' requirement
2. Replace separate driveway at west end

Please Note: This diagram is for illustration purposes only. No decisions as to an actual approach have been made!

Eliminate Front Parking?

Total width needed to replace 47 spaces —
112' for 60° Parking
106' for 90° Parking
At least two parcels would be needed.
Fig 3 in report.

Please Note: This diagram is for illustration purposes only. No decisions as to an actual approach have been made!

Potential Benefit of Eliminating Front Parking

Potential gains to other side
20' without incorporating private sidewalks
30' if private sidewalks are incorporated
This is from edge of street section, not from existing right-of-way

Please Note: This diagram is for illustration purposes only. No decisions as to an actual approach have been made!

Rear Parking?

Owners would have to be motivated
Could lead to redevelopment

Example 2 – Two Concepts

Combining private and public right-of-way for access lane reduces shift from 24' to 12'
Using existing City-owned property for offsite parking
These considered moderate risk since property owners would have to agree

Please Note: This diagram is for illustration purposes only. No decisions as to an actual approach have been made!

Example 3. Commercial/Residential uses with and without alley access

Maintaining Viability
1. Can residential convert to commercial with only alley access?
2. Can residential remain with only Broadway access?
An access lane can solve these issues but involves a 20' shift
Low Risk of unintended acquisition

Please Note: This diagram is for illustration purposes only. No decisions as to an actual approach have been made!
Reducing the shift to 10’ by combining private property and public right-of-way.

Application of These Results

Formulation of street configuration alternatives –
- “Low Risk” offset lines
- “Moderate Risk” offset lines
This approach best deals with uncertainties inherent in anticipating acquisition needs.

Appendix – Calculations and Tabulation

Attachments – Data and Results

Diagram of Moderate Risk Offset

Diagram of Low Risk Offset
Sidewalk-Only Improvement Study

Sidewalk-Only Option

- Examines the acquisition impacts of constructing only a sidewalk system. Primary features are
  - Construct ADA-compliant sidewalk system
  - Hold existing curb lines (no widening of roadway)

Sidewalk and Driveway Crossing Widths

Sidewalk Zone
- Pedestrian Travel Zone: 6’ minimum
- Building Frontage Zone: 2’ minimum
- Street Sign/Utility Zone: 3’ minimum
12’ minimum from face of curb

Sidewalk Slopes (controls driveways)
- Max Longitudinal slope: 12:1 for short distances
- Max cross slope: 2%
- Max driveway slope: 10%
12’ minimum driveway length as well

Three Cases of Physical Setback

Appendix -- Calculation and Tabulation of Results

Attachments Example – Data and Results
Table 2. Summary of Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Width of sidewalk zone:</th>
<th>12’</th>
<th>9’</th>
<th>20’</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Full Acquisitions</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Side:</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Side:</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total:</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change:</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>-8.8%</td>
<td>21.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Partial Acquisitions</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Side:</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Side:</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total:</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change:</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>-6.4%</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Historic Impacts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Historic Full Acquisitions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Width of sidewalk zone:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Contributors (North):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Contributors (South):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eligible Contributors (North):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eligible Contributors (South):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future Individually Eligible (North):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future Individually Eligible (South):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cost Comparison

Cost of Full Acquisitions ($Millions) *

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Width of sidewalk zone:</th>
<th>12’</th>
<th>9’</th>
<th>20’</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North Side:</td>
<td>$5.3</td>
<td>$6.3</td>
<td>$8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Side:</td>
<td>$15.2</td>
<td>$13.5</td>
<td>$16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total:</td>
<td>$20.6</td>
<td>$19.8</td>
<td>$24.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change:</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>-3.8%</td>
<td>19.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Acquisition costs are estimated based on publicly available assessor data for the purpose of this analysis only.

- For 12’ range of acquisition cost likely to be between $17 and $24 million
- Likely in the next 5 to 25 years that maintenance of Broadway would trigger the need to implement sidewalks
Urban Overlay District (UOD) Basics

• UOD is initiated by Mayor and Council;
• May require a land use plan amendment first;
• Property owners may request amendments after adoption;
• Modeled on Planned Area Development Zone;
• Creates a zoning option but does not remove underlying zone unless activated.

Urban Overlay (UOD) Basics

• May allow uses other than underlying zone’s;
• May allow development standards flexibility for parking, landscaping, loading, alley access etc.;
• May allow re-use of historic residential structures;
• Requires a specific development document;
• Can accommodate form-based district, hybrid, or general flexible standards available;
• Usually implies an overall urban design vision.

Current State of Urban Overlay Districts in Tucson

• Main Gate District adopted 2012
• Downtown Links initiated 2012 but on hold
• Grant Road initiated 2012 but on hold

Development diagrams

Parking layout diagrams

100’ deep Lot layout diagrams

Single loaded
Double loaded
**100’ deep x 140’ Lot layout diagrams**

No alley access

Alley access

**Alley access layout diagrams**

80’ deep Lot

100’ deep Lot

**Trash enclosure layout diagrams**

No onsite trash

With trash vehicle turn around

**2nd Call to the Audience**

10 Minutes

Please limit comments to 3 minutes

• Called forward in order received
• CTF members cannot discuss matters raised
• CTF cannot take action on matters raised
• CTF members can ask project team to review an item

**Next Steps/Roundtable**

Next CTF Meeting Dates:

• Session 1: Tuesday evening, February 25th 5:30-8:30pm
• Session 2: Thursday evening, February 27th 5:30-8:30pm
• Session 3: Thursday, March 6th 5:30-8:30pm
• Session 4: Friday, March 7th 3-6pm

**Thank You for Coming – Please Stay in Touch!**

Broadway: Euclid to Country Club
Web: [www.tucsonaz.gov/broadway](http://www.tucsonaz.gov/broadway)
Email: [broadway@tucsonaz.gov](mailto:broadway@tucsonaz.gov)
Info Line: 520.622.0815

RTA Plan [www.rtamobility.com](http://www.rtamobility.com)