OPTIONAL CONCURRENT PLAN AMENDMENT / REZONING PROCESS

Public Meetings

June 19 & 26, 2019

Background

- Early 2016 Mayor & Council (M&C) direct staff to create a concurrent plan amendment and rezoning process
- May August, 2016 Staff reviewed proposal for concurrent plan amendment and rezoning process with Planning Commission – effort was ended due to opposition and limited impact of changes
- July 10, 2018 M&C direct staff to reexamine options to streamline current zoning process so that rezoning and plan amendments run together
- April 23, 2019 M&C direct staff to review options for an optional concurrent plan amendment / rezoning.
 Staff provided two options at the time

Plan Amendments vs. Rezonings

- ▶ Plan Amendment
 - ► General Plan and Specific Plans provide land use guidance for certain processes
 - ► Rezonings, Special Exceptions, etc.
 - If an application does not match the plan, it requires a plan amendment
 - ▶ Plans are policy

▶ Rezoning

- ► Required when land use of proposed development is not allowed in zone.
- Applicant requests the City to change zoning
- Zones and Zoning are regulatory

Process prior to Rezoning / Plan Amendment

- Applicant brings proposal into PDSD for a zoning review –
- ▶ If the use isn't allowed by Zoning, they are told they need a Rezoning
- Applicant schedules a pre-submittal meeting to review proposal for initial code compliance and land use plan compliance
- ▶ If proposal is not in compliance with land use plans, the applicant is told they need a Plan Amendment prior to starting their Rezoning

Existing Plan Amendment / Rezoning

- ► BrakeMax on Tanque Verde Road
 - ► Currently zoned C-1, but need C-2 zoning for automotive use
 - ► Required a rezoning
 - ► Brought proposal to PDSD for pre-application conference
 - ► Told need a Plan Amendment did not conform to policy related to setbacks in C-2 zones



Existing Plan Amendment / Rezoning Process

- ►Step one Plan Amendment Process
 - ► Approximate Length: 6-9 Months
 - ▶ # of Public Meetings: 4
 - ► Primary Review Body: Planning Commission & Mayor and Council



Existing Plan Amendment / Rezoning Process (cont.)

- ►Step two Rezoning Process
 - ► Approximate Length: 6-9 Months
 - ▶ # of Public Meetings: 3
 - Primary Review Body: Zoning Examiner & Mayor and Council



State Statutes

- ► Rezonings
 - Option for review by either a Planning Commission or Hearing Officer (e.g. Zoning Examiner)
 - ► May establish a "schedule of development" length determined by Municipality (ARS 9-462.01.12.E)
- ▶ Planning Commission
 - Cities have an option to establish a Planning Commissión
 - ▶ If cities do have a Planning Commission, all Plan

 Amendments must be reviewed by the Commission

Stats on Plan Amendments and Rezoning – City of Tucson

- ► <u>Plan Amendments (since 2010)</u> ► <u>Rezonings (since 2010)</u>
 - ► Total Number of Plan Amendments: 11
 - 10 of these Plan Amendments went on to Zoning Examiner for Rezoning
 - 4 of these Plan
 Amendments were
 for PAD zoning
 designation

- ► Total Rezoning Cases: 136
- ► Zoning Examiner Meetings: 18 yearly average
- Agenda items: 37 yearly average
- Zoning Examiner Meetings per month typically 1 to 2 but sometimes 3 to 4
- Agenda items scheduled have been as many as 7 items

What is Done Elsewhere?

Sequential RZ / PA

TUCSON, AZ – Sequential Plan Amendment and Rezoning Application procedure.

PIMA COUNTY, AZ – Concurrent Plan Amendment and Rezoning allowed if "at least seventy percent of the perimeter of subject property, as measured in linear feet, abuts properties with a zoning district or comprehensive plan designation that is equal to or greater than that requested for the concurrent plan amendment / rezoning."

SAHUARITA, **AZ** – Plan Amendment must be approved by Town Council prior to scheduling Rezoning public hearing.

↓ Concurrent RZ / PA ORO VALLEY, AZ – Optional Concurrent Plan Amendment and Rezoning Application procedure.

Potential Option 1

- ►Option 1 Review by existing bodies but allowed to run concurrently
 - ► Approximate Length: 8-10 Months
 - ▶ # of Public Meetings: 5
 - Primary Review Body:
 Planning Commission, Zoning
 Examiner & Mayor and
 Council



Potential Option 2

- ► Option 2 Full review by Planning Commission
 - ► Approximate Length: 8-10 Months
 - ▶ # of Public Meetings: 5
 - Primary Review Body:
 Planning Commission, Zoning
 Examiner & Mayor and
 Council



Potential Options

►Option 1

- Least ComplicatedChange
- Fewest changes to existing review bodies and Unified Development Code (UDC)
- ► Potential for confusion with two review bodies (e.g Planning Commission & Zoning Examiner)

► Option 2

- ► Solves the problem of two review bodies
- Would require significant changes to UDC and qualifications and duties of the review bodies

Example of How This Could Work

- ► BrakeMax on Tanque Verde Road
 - ► Plan Amendment to a policy related to setbacks in C-2 zones
 - Significant detail in Rezoning proposal
 - ► Had an end user for the site



Mayor and Council Direction

- ► Reviewed at Mayor and Council Study Session on April 23, 2019
- ▶ Direction included:
 - Preference for Option 1 (existing review bodies) over Option 2 (Planning Commission review both)
 - ► Public Meetings would have separate time for discussion for the Plan Amendment and the Rezoning
 - Streamline without reducing opportunity for public input
 - ▶ 3-year expiration for PA and RZ

Feedback from Stakeholder Group

- ▶ Preference for Option 1 current duties remain the two bodies have different skillsets
- Opportunity for both streamlining the process and improving the end product
- May want to stagger Mayor and Council meetings on the Plan Amendment and Rezoning
- ► Range of opinion on number of neighborhood meetings, but nearly everyone agreed more education of the public is important

NEXT STEPS

- ► Additional Public Meetings June 26th
- ▶2nd Stakeholder Meeting late June / early July
- ▶ Planning Commission Study Session July 10th
- ► Planning Commission Public Hearing late August
- Mayor and Council Public Hearing September or October

QUESTIONS?