



Pursuant to A.R.S. § 38-431.02, notice is hereby given to the members of the Mayor and Council Transit Task Force and to the general public that the Mayor and Council Transit Task Force will hold the following meeting which will be open to the public on:

## MEETING NOTICE

**MAYOR AND COUNCIL TRANSIT TASK FORCE  
 MONDAY, NOVEMBER 4, 2019 AT 3:00 P.M.  
 4<sup>th</sup> FLOOR LARGE CONFERENCE ROOM  
 201 N. STONE AVE., TUCSON, AZ 85701**

### AGENDA

TOPICS	ESTIMATED DURATION
1. Call to Order/Roll Call.....	5 min.
2. Approval of Minutes – October 7, 2019 .....	3 min.
3. Call to the Audience (First)..... <i>This is the time for the public to comment. Please note: Members may not discuss items that are not specifically identified on the agenda.</i>	5 min.
4. Update on Transit/Announcements/Chair’s Report..... <i>This is a standing agenda item to inform committee members of relevant transit information within the City of Tucson and around the region.</i>	20 min.
5. Review of Draft Letter to Mayor and Council Regarding Redevelopment of the Ronstadt Transit Center .....	40 min.
6. Overview of Changes to Sun Tran Routes 10 and 17 .....	20 min.
7. Call to the Audience (Second) .....	5 min.
<i>This is the time for the public to comment. Please note: Members may not discuss items that are not specifically identified on the agenda.</i>	
8. Next Meeting Date – December 2, 2019 .....	2 min.
9. Future Agenda Items.....	5 min.
10. Adjournment	

Persons with a disability may request a reasonable accommodation, such as a sign language interpreter, by contacting the Transit Services Division at (520) 791-5409. Requests should be made as early as possible to allow time to arrange the accommodation.



Pursuant to A.R.S. § 38-431.02, notice is hereby given to the members of the Mayor and Council Transit Task Force and to the general public that the Mayor and Council Transit Task Force will hold the following meeting which will be open to the public on:

**MAYOR AND COUNCIL TRANSIT TASK FORCE  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 2019 AT 3:00 P.M.  
4<sup>th</sup> FLOOR LARGE CONFERENCE ROOM  
201 N. STONE AVE., TUCSON, AZ 85701**

**MEETING MINUTES**

**1. Call to Order/Roll Call**

The meeting was called to order at 3:03 p.m. A quorum was established.

Members Present

Colby Henley, Ward 3  
Dale R. Calvert, City Manager's Office  
Brian Flagg, Ward 2  
Margot Garcia, Ward 6  
Eric Hahn, Ward 5  
Lisa Shipek, Mayor's Office  
Nicole Feldt, City Manager's Office  
Gene Caywood, Non-Voting Advisory Member  
James McGinnis, Non-Voting Advisory Member (PAG/RTA)

Staff and Others Present

Diana W. Alarcon, TDOT  
Robin Raine, TDOT  
Chris Blue, TDOT  
Laura Bond, TDOT  
John Zukas, TDOT  
Bob McGee, Sun Tran  
Pat Richter, Sun Tran  
Steve Spade, Sun Tran/Sun Van/Sun Link  
Chris Leighton, Peach Properties  
Ron Schwabe, Peach Properties  
Mindy Blake, PAG/RTA  
Jake Steinberg, Arizona Public Media  
Suzanne Schafer, Tucson Bus Riders Union  
Claudia Cardinale, Sun Tran Bus Driver  
Richard Mayers, Citizen  
Allen Benz, Tucson Bus Riders Union

**2. Approval of Minutes – September 3, 2019**

Eric Hahn moved to approve the minutes as written. The motion was duly seconded. The motion was carried by a voice vote of 7-0.

**3. Call to the Audience (First)**

**Transit Task Force  
October 7, 2019 – Meeting Minutes  
DRAFT**

Suzanne Schafer had several questions she requested be answered during the Ronstadt Redevelopment discussion. Her first question was if the residents, office employees, and hotel guests will participate in the universal access program. She also wondered if parking is sold separate from the rent and if it could be offered as unbundled. She asked if there is still a plan to have an affordable rental program and where the transit services office would be housed. She also questioned the effectiveness of the linear transit mall which is supposed to accommodate more buses on a smaller footprint. She inquired about the ownership status of the COT reserve. Lastly, she asked what the arrangements were for public participation in ongoing discussions of the space.

#### **4. Update on Transit/Announcements/Chair's Report**

Steve Spade provided an update on modifying routes 10 and 17 based on a need for direct access to the Sun Tran offices. He stated that the item will be on next month's agenda. Brian Flagg asked if the route modification would be a net cut in service. Mr. Spade stated there will be no cut in service, just a change to the ends of the routes.

Colby Henley reminded the group that Cyclovia will be on October 27<sup>th</sup> and encouraged involvement.

Gene Caywood reported that one of the largest steam locomotives will be arriving at the Historic Depot around 10 am on Thursday and will continue to be on display at the railroad yards on Friday.

#### **5. Regional Transportation Authority Reauthorization Process Overview**

Mindy Blake gave an overview of the RTA and said that this presentation is what they take out to the community. Ms. Blake stated that the RTA plan was multimodal, including more than 850 projects that focused on mobility, economic vitality, and safety. Some of the projects include intersection improvements, pedestrian crossings, bus pullouts, sidewalks, bike lanes, and roadway corridors. Brian Flagg mentioned the bus pullouts do not benefit the bus drivers. Discussion ensued.

Ms. Blake provided an overview of how RTA dollars are used, including video testimonies of community members who were pleased with the results. She went on to say that even with an RTA tax continuation, the region has a \$15 billion funding gap for transportation needs over the next 30 years. She continued with funding challenges due to inflation and other factors. Ms. Blake showed a video explaining RTA dollars versus Federal Transit, Federal highway, regional HURF, and ADOT dollars.

Margot Garcia asked if RTA dollars can be used for maintenance. Ms. Blake responded that maintenance was not included. Ms. Garcia then asked if maintenance would be included in the future. Dale Calvert said that the previous plan does not have maintenance but could be included in the future plan. Ms. Garcia's understanding was that the law does not include it and to do so, changes would have to be made at the level of the state legislature. Mr. Calvert understood that the plan excluded maintenance but it was never actually written into the law. He shared that Marana's vote likely would not support maintenance. Discussion ensued.

Ms. Blake explained that the current plan and taxes associated will expire in 2026. However, planning for the future has already begun. The RTA looks to the community for decisions and planning. It will take the 34 members of the Citizens Advisory Committee (CAC) 24-30 months to develop a new RTA plan. Ms. Blake reported that currently the CAC is establishing priorities and looking at investments and outcomes. Some goals are to reduce congestion, improve mobility, and increase transit ridership. She said that there have been over 400 open houses discussing these topics. In regards to funding, Ms. Blake said the RTA has the authority to ask for a tax of up to one cent. Ms. Garcia asked for clarification on the ½ cent tax from the last plan and how that would overlap with an increase of another ½ cent for the new plan. Ms. Blake said it would ultimately depend on what the voters want. Ms. Garcia stated that the reason it goes to 1 cent is because it would dovetail if the election is held sooner than 2027. Ms. Blake is not sure when the tax would start and there could be some dovetailing but reiterated that ultimately it would depend on the voters. Discussion ensued.

Ms. Blake reported they are working on a survey to identify transportation priorities that will go out to the mailing list and welcomed feedback. She encouraged the committee to fill out the distribution list request and gave a text request option as well.

Mr. Flagg asked what a 2021 sales tax vote would mean in terms of money for transit. Mr. Calvert thought it may be closer to year 2022 or 2023, and the City may be asking for more money to start its Mobility Master Plan.

Mr. Flagg proposed a future agenda item to discuss more money for transit. The committee agreed.

## **6. Ronstadt Transit Center Redevelopment Update**

Chris Leighton and Ron Schwabe with Peach Properties gave a brief history and an update on the Ronstadt Transit Center redevelopment, which has been 4 years in the process. Mr. Leighton reported that they will be presenting to Mayor and Council on October 10, 2019, and then submitting the application to the FTA for review. Mr. Leighton then shared some goals for the project. Some of these goals included 300–400 residential units, 250–450 structured parking spaces, 20,000–30,000 square feet of commercial and office space, not less than 30,000 square foot transit office, public plazas of 14,500 square feet, and a full-service mobility hub that would serve as a one-stop shop for transit (include bike/car share). There will be a reduction in COT operating costs due to the HOA- type set up. The buildings and development inhabitants will pay a fee, and all rent from the market will go towards the offset maintenance cost. Establishing a capital replacement reserve is also top on the list. Brian Flagg asked how that could be accomplished. Mr. Leighton responded there are a couple of ways. One is capital rent clause which says upon the sale of the property, a percent of the proceeds would go to the reserve. Air rights are another option to explore.

Mr. Leighton discussed the concept of moving away from a pulse system and moving towards a constant stream of buses.

Lisa Shipek asked about the integration of BRT (Bus Rapid Transit). Mr. Leighton responded that at this point it's only in the conceptual design stage. Once they are able to sit down with the FTA (Federal Transit Administration) they will dig further into details.

Mr. Leighton discussed improvements and upgrades of amenities such as state-of-the-art security. He also mentioned that 3,500 square feet is a minimum size for the transit office. Mr. Flagg asked about the commitment and whether that decision came from City Council or Transportation Department. Mr. Leighton believed the decision comes primarily from the Transportation Department. Colby Henley proposed a letter be written to understand the position of the TTF and Transportation Department in this process.

Mr. Leighton explained that Peach Properties used an FTA-approved agreement from Washington as their model. He then explained that the area would be set up into two parcels and the City would keep one and Peach would buy the other. Mr. Flagg inquired about air rights. Mr. Leighton replied that Peach would buy the air rights but the property below would be the City's.

Mr. Leighton explained that the original plan of a temporary transit center in the Toole lot did not work and they have come up with a new plan. The idea is to use N. 6<sup>th</sup> Avenue and a triangle area off of Toole as regular bus stops and express routes will be staged on Alameda between N. 6<sup>th</sup> Avenue and N. Scott Avenue. To save money they will be relocating the working cameras for security. He also explained where temporary bathrooms, vending machines and customer service representatives will be.

Mr. Leighton then discussed the concept plan which includes a hotel, public markets, potential underground garage, retail, office and residential spaces. Mr. Flagg thought there was too much parking downtown. Mr. Leighton explained that with all of the added residential there would need to be more parking. Mr. Flagg asked if a variance was needed. Mr. Leighton said that downtown has an infill incentive. Discussion ensued.

Mr. Henley asked about the bundling of parking with tenant leases. Mr. Leighton responded that they are unbundled.

Mr. Flagg asked how much the transit footprint will be reduced. Mr. Leighton responded that there will be 50% less land used but the efficiency will be higher in terms of moving more buses through. Mr. Flagg expressed his doubts this will work, but Mr. Leighton assured him that getting rid of the current pulse system will make this possible. Mr. Henley said that there is a lot of unused space currently at the transit center. Mr. Flagg recalled that Mayor and Council said the transit footprint would not be reduced and Mr. Leighton rephrased to say the transit capacity and functionality will not be reduced.

Mr. Henley asked for Sun Tran's input. Mr. Spade assured the committee that they have been and will continue to be a part of the design process. Mr. Henley asked if moving away from pulse affect the FTN (Frequent Transit Network). Mr. Spade explained that when service is run every 15 minutes, it cuts out waiting time that happens when all of the buses come in at

once and wait for 5 minutes. Discussion ensued about the challenges and the benefits of this system.

Ms. Shipek mentioned BRT again and asked if that was being considered in the future re-design. Mr. Spade said the inevitable growth in the transit system and the need for space of 60' buses will be in the plan. Discussion ensued on platform design and whether sawtooth or linear would be more advantageous. Mr. Spade said he prefers the current sawtooth design.

Mr. Flagg asked if a member of the audience, who happened to be a Teamster, could speak about the plans. Mr. Blue and Mr. Henley said legally no but they are free to share during the second call to the audience. Mr. Spade assured the committee that the Teamsters will be at the table for the planning and discussion.

Mr. Henley proposed he draft a letter to the City and Mayor and Council. The letter should touch on BRT, 60' buses, future transit options, facility sufficient to house all transit services, universal passes, and that Sun Tran needs to be at the table for all discussions and reviews. Discussion ensued.

Ms. Shipek asked about the timeline of the redevelopment. Mr. Leighton responded that it would take four years to build everything and one year to build just the transit center if construction started June/ July 2021. All of the plans are still pending FTA approval. Discussion ensued.

Ron Schwabe brought up a potential trackside pedestrian pathway. Mr. Leighton also mentioned the improvement of a more pedestrian-friendly area near the N. 6<sup>th</sup> Avenue underpass, but there is no current funding. Discussion ensued.

## **7. Discussion of Interim Aesthetic Improvements at Ronstadt Transit Center**

Colby Henley introduced the topic in terms of discussing ways to improve the space in the interim. Lisa Shipek directed the discussion back to staff, but presented the idea to Peach Property as well. Chris Blue said this is not something staff has discussed to his knowledge and there is no budget for beautification efforts but staff are open to discussion.

Mr. Henley asked if the downtown Tucson Partnership does any maintenance. Steve Spade explained that RTC is not under contract with the Downtown Tucson Partnership in terms of their maintenance program. Mr. Spade said currently Sun Tran has increased their cleaning efforts by steam cleaning and working to reduce the pigeon population.

Mr. Henley asked if TDOT or Sun Tran would approve an art project or planting. Mr. Blue confirmed that TDOT would need to approve it since the property is owned by the City but that they would partner with Sun Tran. Mr. Spade added that the marketing department would be interested but ultimately the funding would be dictated by the budget.

Ms. Shipek had an idea that whether they are planters or art installations, the improvements be mobile and able to move to the new RTC when the project is finished.

Pat Richter said they had talked about murals when they were unsure of the timeline. They have added posters and are rotating those at the different transit centers.

Ms. Shipek commented that LSA has worked out installations/beautification options in different areas. Mr. Henley said Downtown Tucson Partnership, City High School, and possibly the Arts Foundation would need to be brought into the discussion. He also suggested forming a subcommittee on this topic.

Ms. Shipek asked if Peach would be interested in contributing. Mr. Leighton said he would sit on the subcommittee.

Ms. Garcia moved to establish a subcommittee of at least 3 and no more than 7 members to discuss the interim aesthetics improvements of RTC and asked Peach Properties to be involved. Eric Hahn dually seconded. The motion was carried by a voice vote of 7-0.

#### **8. Call to the Audience (Second)**

Richard Mayers made a comment regarding who can speak at meetings. He said, according to the open meeting law, it is the discretion of the chair in terms of who can speak during the meeting.

James McGinnis gave an update on the Long-Range Regional Transit Plan. He said the consultant has provided them with a draft of the plan which will be released on Wednesday and they will be releasing a survey contrary to what was discussed last meeting. He encouraged everyone to take the survey.

Suzanne Schafer stated she is happy about the formation of a subcommittee. She had a concern about ridership decreasing while the Ronstadt construction is underway. Lastly, she commented on her negative experiences with the SunGO system. Ms. Schafer stated that the SunGO sales outlet at Antigone Books is not currently working, has rarely worked in her experience, and six years is an unacceptable amount of time to put up with this from a vendor. Colby Henley said this will be a future agenda item. Diana Alarcon asked Steve Spade to discuss with her before bringing the topic back to the task force.

Claudia Cardinale, a Teamster and member of the Sun Tran Scheduling Committee, expressed concern about the triangle bus stops during the construction. Her concerns were safety-related and she worried that someone will get hit crossing the street and boarding buses in that configuration. She feels as though the buses are losing their importance due to the shrinking of the area and that the drivers' concerns are not being taken into consideration.

Allen Benz complimented the Phoenix transit system and the vendor they use. He has never had a problem on his visits to the area.

Chris Blue reviewed the Boards, Committees, and Commissions Handbook and directed his apologies to Brian Flagg and Richard Mayers for speaking incorrectly before. He said it is up to the chairperson to call on an audience member to take part in the discussion as long as they stay on topic of the agenda item.

Brian Flagg asked Diana Alarcon if she will fight to increase the percentage of money the RTA puts into transit. Ms. Alarcon stated that she will and it needs to be more than 27%. She also shared that her goal with the Mobility Master Plan is to create a balance within all of the modes of transportation.

**9. Next Meeting Date – November 4, 2019**

**10. Future Agenda Items**

- Review of Draft Letter to Mayor and Council Re: RTC Redevelopment
- Universal Access Program Update
- SunGO System Update
- Continued TTF input on RTC Update
- Continued Discussion of Changes to Sun Tran Routes 10 and 17

**11. Adjournment – 4:44 p.m.**



---

# TRANSIT TASK FORCE MEMORANDUM

---

November 4, 2019

## **Item 4: Update on Transit/Announcements/Chair's Report**

Issue – This is a standing agenda item to inform committee members of relevant transit information within the City of Tucson and around the region.

Staff Recommendation – None at this time.

Background – There are several city departments, interest groups, and committees that are discussing various aspects of public transportation. Committee members as well as staff will have the opportunity to share information with the group and give updates on relevant projects.

Present Consideration – A list of projects, committees and stakeholders is provided below for a possible update to task force members.

### ***City of Tucson Updates:***

- Introduction of New Transit Administrator, Mr. Rhett Crowninshield
- Application for FY 2019 Competitive Funding Opportunity: Equitable Transit-Oriented Development Grant Program, Federal Transit Administration, Department of Transportation (Gabriela Barillas-Longoria, TDOT Livability Planner)

### ***Sun Tran, Sun Link and Sun Van Updates:***

- Universal Access Program

### ***Regional Updates:***

- Long-Range Regional Transit Plan

### ***Committee Updates:***

None.

### ***Stakeholder Group Updates:***

Broadway Coalition

Bus Riders Union

Bus Friends Forever

Friends of the Streetcar

Living Streets Alliance

Old Pueblo Trolley

Southern Arizona Transit Advocates

Transit Connections Focus Group

PAG/RTA Citizens Advisory Committee

Financial Considerations – None at this time.

Attachments – None at this time.



---

# TRANSIT TASK FORCE MEMORANDUM

---

November 4, 2019

## **Item 5: Review of Draft Letter to Mayor and Council Regarding Redevelopment of the Ronstadt Transit Center**

Issue – At the October 7, 2019 TTF meeting, staff from Peach Properties provided an overview of the Ronstadt Transit Center (RTC) redevelopment project.

Following the presentation, TTF members requested time be set aside at the November 4, 2019 TTF meeting to discuss a draft letter to the Mayor and Council, City Manager, and TDOT Director that outlines recommendations and desired outcomes put forward by the TTF for the RTC redevelopment project.

Staff Recommendation – None at this time.

Background – In the fall of 2014, City staff began a two-year process that included meetings with stakeholders to develop project goals, the issuance of a Phase I and a Phase II Request for Proposals (RFP), public presentations of proposed concepts, and the formation of an Evaluation Committee to review and make recommendations to Mayor and Council on the Phase I and Phase II RFP submittals.

The City received two responses to the Phase I RFP, which focused on qualifications of the proposers/respondents. The two respondents were Peach Properties and the Alexander Company. On December 16, 2014, the Mayor and Council, consistent with the Evaluation Committee's recommendation, directed that both teams be invited to continue to Phase II, which required providing a proposed project design, with uses, schedule, and costs.

The two teams submitted proposals in June 2015, and on July 22, 2015, each team publicly presented its proposed project. The public was invited to provide written comments during a two-week review period, and the two teams were asked to provide responses.

Following an Executive Session on November 17, 2015, the Mayor and Council publicly announced the selection of Peach Properties to enter into negotiations with the City to develop a mixed-use project, inclusive of enhanced transit facilities, on a 4.3-acre key downtown site. The City-owned site is currently utilized as the RTC and two parking lots. The selection was consistent with the unanimous recommendation to Mayor and Council of the project Evaluation Committee comprised of members representing bus riders, downtown businesses and neighborhoods, the development community, and City departments.

The Peach Properties proposed project features a transit mall integrated with a mix of residential, retail, commercial, and public space uses. The concept includes an open-air public market located adjacent to a newly built transit mall, combined with other joint development uses. As

**Item 5: Review of Draft Letter to Mayor and Council Regarding  
Redevelopment of the Ronstadt Transit Center**

part of the Project, the streetscape on both Congress and 6<sup>th</sup> will be activated with retail uses. The Peach Properties team is led by local developer Ron Schwabe and includes several equity partners.

In 2016, City staff and the Peach Properties team negotiated a preliminary development agreement outline (PDAO), as required by Mayor and Council. The PDAO, which was approved by the Mayor and Council on October 5, 2016, established the basic framework for a joint development agreement. Since that time, the Peach Properties team and City staff have worked to negotiate and write a joint development agreement for Mayor and Council consideration, with that agreement to carry out the purposes of the RFP and PDAO while adhering to the FTA guidelines for joint development projects.

The Agreement was approved at the October 10, 2019 Mayor and Council meeting, with edits, and sent to the FTA for approval.

Present Consideration – None at this time.

Financial Considerations – None at this time.

Attachments – None at this time.



---

# TRANSIT TASK FORCE MEMORANDUM

---

November 4, 2019

## **Item 6: Overview of Changes to Sun Tran Routes 10 and 17**

Issue – Staff from Sun Tran will provide an overview of proposed changes to Routes 10 and 17.

Staff Recommendation – None at this time. This is an informational item.

Background – At the October 7, 2019 meeting, staff from Sun Tran briefed the task force on proposed changes to Routes 10 and 17. Interest was expressed by several members to continue the conversation at the November 4, 2019 meeting and the item was subsequently added to the agenda.

Present Consideration – None at this time. This is an informational item.

Financial Considerations – None at this time. This is an informational item.

Attachments – Sun Tran Service Recommendation for Routes 10 and 17.

## Project Description

*Recommended Change: Modify transit service in northwest Tucson on Routes 17 and 10 to provide direct routes from Downtown to Sun Tran effective February 2020*

Sun Tran recommends that Route 10-Flowing Wells be modified to provide direct access from downtown to the Sun Tran Administrative offices. Currently, Route 17-Country Club/29<sup>th</sup> St. serves Sun Tran but does not go downtown or any of the three transit centers. Routes 10 and 17 intersect at Prince and Flowing Wells. By switching the route ends north of the intersection, the change can be accomplished with no elimination of service or stops. Thus, the north end of route 17 becomes route 10 travelling to downtown. Route 17 would then terminate at Tohono T'adai Transit Center providing transfer opportunities to riders on Route 17. (see map)

The change would provide direct access for the public and employees to travel from Ronstadt Transit Center and connecting routes to Sun Tran. Services available at the Sun Tran Administrative offices include "Lost and Found", Human Resources, and SunGO Customer Service.

No Title VI Service Equity Analysis is required; however, the route has a significant change with the end of line destination. Public input/outreach is recommended prior to the change being implemented.

## Performance Analysis Measurements, Fiscal Year 2019

- FY 2019 Performance Measurements
  - Route 10 - passenger per revenue mile - 1.78
  - Route 10 - passengers per revenue hour - 21.71
  - Route 17 - passengers per revenue mile - 1.49
  - Route 17 - passengers per revenue hour - 20.67
- Overall annual costs of \$ 37,000 combined maintaining current interlining of routes.
- Average weekday ridership –
  - Route 10 – 977 average weekday ridership
  - Route 17 – 2,327 average weekday ridership

## Anticipated Performance Goals

- Projected performance improvement for passengers per mile and hour
  - Route 10 - 2.5% improvement - passenger per mile to 1.82 with 22 (22.26) passengers per hour
  - Route 17 - no change
- Ridership
  - Route 10 – 2% growth (annual fiscal year average weekday ridership 997)
  - Route 17 – no change

## Additional Notes:

- Improves transit connections to Sun Tran Administrative Offices for the public and employees
  - Services that would benefit from change include: Lost and Found, SunGO Pass Products, Human Resources.
  - Improved access to Sun Tran administrative offices was identified as a need in 2011.
- No change in bus stops, no change in route coverages
- On-board survey conducted September 2018, Route 10 and 17. Community comments were generally positive. Identified a concern about transfers. Operators provided positive feedback.
- The overall community results were primarily positive or neutral. Concerns identified for resolution.
  - Transfer issue resolved by improving bus stops and schedule timing to make transfers convenient
  - Improvements to the northwest corner bus stop at Prince and Flowing Wells
    - Very limited right-of-way.
    - Bench has been requested for waiting passengers
  - Service timing
    - Route 8 am connections for Route 10, similar trip as previous Route 17
    - Eastbound connections early morning from proposed Route 10 are similar to current Route 17
  - Close to cost neutral as possible
- Improved access for Davis/Curtis area in Unincorporated Pima County to crosstown routes, and better connections to Ronstadt Transit Center for routes traveling to employment centers southwest
- Current Sun Tran Service provides four (4) routes with direct travel between Ronstadt Transit Center and Tohono T'adai Transit Center; this recommended change would have three (3) routes. The routes that will continue providing direct travel are Route 6, Euclid/N. 1<sup>st</sup> Ave, Route 16, Oracle/Ina, and Route 19, Stone.
- Inter-Government Agreements (IGA) are not affected.
- Route review found no operating issues.
- These routes are not part of the Frequent Transit Network, frequency would be maintained at 30 minutes weekdays and 60 minutes weekends

