

Ward 6 Staff



Steve Kozachik



Ann Charles



Bonnie Medler



Diana Amado



Molly Thrasher



Ward 6 - Newsletter

TUCSON FIRST

June 5, 2013

Wilson Hughes



Since well before my election in 2009, Wilson Hughes has been making a significant impact on solid waste reduction, and recycling efforts both in Tucson, and internationally. He has been my appointment to the Citizen's Environmental Services Advisory Committee since day 1. Due to his desire to attend to other priorities, he will be stepping down this month.

For the last 37 years, Wilson has been working in the field of Municipal Solid Waste reduction. As the Co-Director of the UA Garbage Project, he conducted waste generation audits all over the county, in Mexico, Australia and even on U.S. Navy surface combat ships.

He was also involved in archaeological excavations of landfills and dump sites in both the U.S. and in Canada.

So how did that research touch your life? In 1999 Wilson became the Waste Reduction Planner for the City of Tucson Environmental Services Department, and while in that role he was responsible the introduction of single-stream 'Blue Barrel' curbside recycling collection that is now a fixture in our community. That program has increased waste diversion from 9% to 21%. He has helped small businesses reduce their waste, and thereby increase their bottom line. He has done likewise for TUSD, helping their recycling rate to expand to from 12% up to 25%. It is estimated that the results of his work have helped to reduce greenhouse gas emissions in Tucson by the equivalent of removing 24,000 cars from the road for one year.

Wilson retired from the City of Tucson in 2009. He's now retiring from the ESAC, and he will be missed.

Plan Tucson

By State Statute, we are required to update our general plan – a document that intends to describe how we'd like to see the community grow in the next decade. It's a 30,000' document, not one that is supposed to be setting policy or changing things such as existing land use designations, neighborhood plans or allocating funds ahead of not-yet known budget numbers. It is not a regulatory document. With a few clarifying areas, I think the Plan does a good enough job at what it's



Important Phone Numbers

Tucson Police
Department
911 or 791-4444
nonemergency

Mayor & Council
Comment Line
791-4700

Neighborhood
Resources
791-4605

Park Wise
791-5071

Water Issues
791-3242

Pima County Animal
Control
243-5900

Street Maintenance
791-3154

Planning and
Development
Services 791-5550

Southwest Gas
889-1888

Gas Emergency/
Gas Leaks
889-1888

West Nile Virus
Hotline
243-7999

Environment
Service
791-3171

Graffiti Removal
792-2489

AZ Game & Fish
628-5376

Continued: A Message From Steve

supposed to accomplish. The real answer though would be for the Legislature to rescind their requirement that cities go through this fire drill, taking up tons of staff time, and causing unnecessary concerns throughout the community.

We have to take this to the voters – hopefully this fall so we can avoid spending another round of staff time and effort, and to take advantage of the 2013 election / saving money from having to fund a special election later on, or putting it on the County election in '14. Given the significant public input that has occurred in creating this Plan, I support tweaking what we've got and going for this fall's election.

Through that public process, a list of “shared values” emerged. Those include areas such as jobs, a strong economy, quality education, a clean and safe built environment, a protected natural environment, and more. Nothing particularly surprising about any of that. Generally, developing in a way that balances economic development, social equity, and environmental health, while respecting the carrying capacity of the natural environment. Development that puts services (business nodes) along the periphery of residential areas thereby making them more desirable areas to live – reducing our reliance on travelling across town to do our business, or recreation.

One area that has caused some controversy is what they're calling “opportunity area maps”. They're areas shown in the document that have the potential for particular types of development. Based on some recent mis-cues in terms of how we've not adequately reflected the necessary interrelatedness of residential areas with growth, people are concerned. It's up to staff (and M&C) to make it clear that the ‘Maps’ shown in this document are not going to be built-out without significant public input as to specific projects that may eventually be proposed. I understand the sensitivity being expressed.

Another area that has caused some concern is called “neighborhood area plans”. These are intended to be areas identified as having similar characteristics and needs, more broad geographically than existing neighborhoods. The intent is to give staff direction to plan land use, services and infrastructure in ways that cross neighborhood boundaries – gaining efficiencies by addressing those issues by looking a commonalities of adjoining areas. The intent is not to obliterate existing neighborhood plans. We need to tweak the language, and do a better job of reflecting the true purpose of this concept.

The final area that has resulted in lines having been drawn in the sand is over the amount and type of attention given to DMAFB in this document. There are those who want specific language both in support of any future unidentified missions, and those who want language that prohibits certain future missions. As I stated initially, this is supposed to be a general document, not one that sets policy or anticipates specific land use challenges. As for the DM references, I would support language that simply identifies the value it represents to the region, and clearly states that we expect any mission changes to be proactively addressed with the broader community prior to their implementation, and in a way that recognizes the existence of well established neighborhood plans that need to be a part of any conversation related to changes in missions. That's I think in keeping with the pur-

pose of this document.

Mid-town residents know that I have called for an updated Draft EIS related to DM and the related operations at TIA. The DOD is right now considering the public input to the prior DEIS and we expect their input later this year. There is a process in place for addressing the issues of concern – Plan Tucson isn't where that discussion needs to be played out.

So, we'll have a public hearing on June 11th on this Plan, and following that we'll decide on when to take this to the voters. Come and share your views.



From time to time I share how the various tribes are investing their gaming monies into the community. The gaming compacts provide that the tribes make 12% of their total annual contributions to the State in "distributions to Cities, Towns or Counties". This week we approved two more of those investments:

Southern Arizona Association for the Visually Impaired will receive a \$20,000 contribution – it'll be used for its Educational Core Competency Program that serves disadvantaged, blind children in the lower grades. The funding will help to provide inclusion in adaptive sports and recreation activities, mentoring, literacy and independent living skills.

Global Community Communications Alliance will receive \$25,000 from the tribe. This grant will be used for rehab programs geared towards at-risk youth through a residency program that includes counseling, service to others, and training in agriculturally related vocational skills.

The City serves as the pass-through for these disbursements. We're happy to do so.

Request for Proposals – Economic Development and Workforce Selection Committee

For the past couple of fiscal years, we've been allocating our "Outside Agency" money through an RFP process that involves a committee formed to review proposals and make recommendations. We split the categories up into Small Business Support and Development, Workforce Development (divided into youth and adult, longer term training,) and Special Events/Tourism. This year we had \$600K to invest in the process allocated \$111K to business, \$427K to workforce and \$61K to special events.

There were 33 proposals submitted. The committee met four times to review them and make their recommendations. The selections proposed are:

Business:

- Microbusiness Advancement Center @ \$61K
- Tucson Hispanic Chamber @ \$50K

*Left out of that is the Downtown Tucson Partnership which two years ago received over \$30K to market and advocate on behalf of downtown businesses / last year they were reduced to \$25K, and now to zero.



Important Phone Numbers

Senator John
McCain (R)
520-670-6334

Senator Jeff
Flake (R)
520-575-8633

Congressman
Ron Barber (D)
(2nd District)
520-881-3588

Congressman
Raul Grijalva (D)
(3rd District)
520-622-6788

Governor Janice
Brewer (R)
Governor of Arizona
602-542-4331

Toll free:
1-800-253-0883

State Legislators

Toll Free
Telephone:
1-800-352-8404
Internet:
www.azleg.gov

Mayor Jonathan
Rothschild
791-4201

City Infoguide
[http://
cms3.tucsonaz.gov/
infoguide](http://cms3.tucsonaz.gov/infoguide)

The THC did not receive any funds last year. The City does not have an arm that serves the same role provided by the DTP. My proposal was to restore the \$25K to the DTP for business support and reduce the THC allocation to \$25K. Split the difference and make DTP whole.

Workforce Development:

- YWCA - \$80K
- Tucson Urban League - \$45K

*Left out of that is Our Family Services who had received \$26K last year.

The YWCA received an increase to their allocation of about \$15K. The Urban League didn't receive any funding last year. Zeroing out Our Family completely eliminates the programmatic services they have been providing in the area of job training-educational programs for at-risk youth. My proposal was to find that \$26K from moving money between the other two allocations so we aren't completely doing away with what Our Family is offering.

Council voted against those two proposals (6-1.)

For adult, longer term allocations:

- JobPath - \$186K
- Goodwill - \$115K

Special Events / Tourism:

The allocations here went to Tucson Audubon (\$10K,) Festival of Books (\$18K,) Tucson Meet Yourself (\$7,500,) and El Tour (\$25K.)

Even though we don't have the cash to help with these special events, we have the ability to put in place policies by which they are free to negotiate with their subcontractors more efficiently than we now allow. Specifically, we require some of the civic events to use off-duty TPD officers, and have not allowed alternatives. The off-duty rate is a significant cost to these events, and the presence of a uniformed officer is not necessary in many cases. Further, some events are made to have TPD presence, and others are not. We have no consistent and/or comprehensible standard by which the requirement is explained to event planners.

My proposal was for the City Manager to finally put in place the ability of civic events to contract with other security related services (such as Arizona Rangers, T-Shirt Security companies, etc.) and in that manner help them financially in a way that doesn't require us to find other funds. In the process, if this is simple overtime that is spiking base pay for officers, we avoid a hit to our pension obligations. It's a win for the event operators, and a win for the City pension program.

We made a similar change in our event operations in the Athletics Department about two decades ago. We can do it at the City as well. Staff is going to look into how that can be achieved.

Downtown Tucson Partnership

We had two items on the agenda related to DTP; one was to extend the BID (the assessment placed on downtown property owners intended to fund cleaning, security, marketing, advocacy and economic development activities performed by the DTP,) and to renew the Partnerships Enhanced Service Agreement for doing that work.

To be clear, back in 2008 and 2009, during the first campaign, I was correctly critical of how the downtown revitalization had been mishandled by prior councils controlling the Partnership, and Rio Nuevo boards. That was then, and this is now. We have seen a sea-change in activity, have a new relationship with the Rio board, have new leadership on the DTP and the private sector is actively engaged with bringing life to the downtown core. This is not the time to take \$50K out of the budget of our marketing arm for the area. You build on success, not scale back when you start to see progress.

The City sent out a survey asking merchants if they wanted to renew the BID. They did. The trouble is that the businesses who were responding were not told that the City intended to change our own allocation by making it a flat fee, and not base it on size of the parcel any longer. Had they known that we're going to reduce our own contribution into the BID, they may not have been so keen on staying at their own current rates. The notice of intent to renew was sold on the basis of a failure to fully disclose our plans.

Another part of that survey was to get their input relative to where they'd like to see the DTP focus their efforts. The trouble with the survey is that there were only 30 respondents, and only 4 of them represented themselves as being in the retail field. I say that's a problem because, as noted above in the RFP section, the DTP applied for Business support funds and were zeroed out. The City Manager is also recommending that we eliminate \$25K from their ESA, the same amount they had been getting from the RFP last year to be the first-touch to downtown businesses in advocating for, and marketing them. That's \$50K out of that function in a single year.

Our downtown businesses are still struggling to get back on their feet after the lengthy streetcar construction. The ESA was one of the prime marketing tools the City had put in place to assist them. The City has not got staff or the relationships built up with the merchants to take on that function. The DTP does, through on the street interaction, and through the Merchants Council:



My proposal, supported by Cunningham, was to restore the DTP to \$390K, the allocation they received last year. One can argue that the streetcar construction is now behind us and so some of the need may have diminished. And yet with new construction projects going vertical, there will be new needs for clean/safe and marketing/advocacy work.

Council voted 4-2 in favor of the Manager's recommendation of moving the DTP to \$365K. If we hadn't changed the basis on which the BID was calculated for us, the funding for DTP would have been in the \$415K range.

The County also has property downtown. If they were paying on the basis of linear footage, they'd be supporting the DTP to the tune of \$215K. They're paying about \$50K. They've

also said that if the City reduced our payment, they may do the same. This is an example of a very unhealthy domino effect of DTP losing RFP money, the City reducing the ESA money, the County possibly following suit – all at a time when we should recognize that we're on the cusp of some significant investment downtown and we should capitalize on this moment by putting money into a marketing/advocacy component of the downtown growth.

Not to be forgotten though, the few respondents to the survey asked for an increased level of attention on cleanliness and security in the downtown area. Those are completely appropriate and necessary areas for the ESA money to be used.

Graffiti

One of the critical issues DTP deals with, and that hundreds of people deal with all over town is graffiti. I was gratified to see last week the perps who trashed the cacti out in Saguaro Monument turn themselves in. I see 'tags' on cactus (and walls, and sidewalks, etc) nearly every day while out on my runs.

I'm going to include this link to the graffiti ordinance simply as a way for you to refresh your memory on our responsibilities as property owners – and business operators.

<http://cms3.tucsonaz.gov/files/ward6/6-5-2013GraffitiOrdinance.pdf>

We all have a role to play in getting our arms around this community-wide problem. And remember, help TPD build a case by taking a picture of any tags you see and send them to 792.CITY. The more evidence they gather against a particular tagger (their moniker is their signature, and TPD can track them) the heavier will be the fine when they finally end up in front of a judge.

Golf RFP



More on the economic development front. Last October, M&C unanimously passed a motion that put in place another RFP process, this one to see if there was any interest in the private sector in taking over the management of our Golf Enterprise; that is, outsourcing the management of our five municipal courses. There are two phases to the process; first, send out a Request for Qualifications, and from the short-list created from any respondents, ask for their further input with respect

to financial packages, and their operating plans.

I was told that we received about 13 responses to the RFQ, and from that we've short listed them down to seven companies. I've reviewed the replies and must say that I'm encouraged by the quality of who answered the RFQ. These are some major, national and internationally known firms. Groups such as Billy Casper Golf, the Kemper Group, Southern California Golf, Foresight, and others. They focused their responses on the need to market the courses effectively, to maintain and improve them from a facilities standpoint (noted by several of them as the single most important factor,) reestablishing our-

selves as a tournament host, extending and expanding the Conquistador First Tee program and other pricing strategies that will be made more formally in the next phase.

We hope to release the Phase II scope within 60 days. We've invested millions of dollars over the last decade in our courses – I believe we owe it to the taxpayers, and to the golfing public to at least let this RFP process play itself out to see if it is a way to put the Enterprise back into the Black. We voted to see what the pros thought of our assets. Now we have a significant level of interest from seven of them.

Possible Upcoming Ballot Measure

This week we learned an attempt that has begun to place a pension related item on the ballot for voter approval/disapproval. It's in the signature gathering stage right now.

The City has a Defined Benefit pension plan. In that form of plan, the City promises a specified monthly benefit on retirement that is predetermined by a formula. The formula is based on things such as the employee's earnings history, tenure and age. It does not rely directly on investment returns. It is defined in the sense that the benefit formula is defined and is known in advance of retirement.

When participating in a defined benefit pension plan, an employer promises to pay the employee a specific benefit for life beginning at retirement. Since the benefit is calculated in advance using a formula based on age, earnings, and years of service, over time, these plans may face deficits or surpluses between the money currently in their plans and the total amount of their pension obligations. Ours is currently in deficit due to several factors – the age of our plan (more retirees than workers,) the recent condition of our investment portfolio, and our inability to increase contribution rates for current employees (in fact, we just reduced them – I dissented.)

In contrast, a Defined Contribution pension plan is one in which fixed contributions are paid into an individual account by employers and employees. The contributions are then invested, and the returns on the investment (which may be positive or negative) are credited to the individual's account. On retirement, the employee's account is used to provide retirement benefits.

Defined contribution plans have become popular in recent years, and are now the dominant form of plan in the private sector as more and more employers see pension contributions as a large expense that is potentially avoidable by disbanding the defined benefit plan and instead offering a defined contribution plan.

There are now being floated around petitions that are aimed at putting on the 2013 ballot a change in the City pension plan, turning it from a defined benefits plan to a defined contribution plan. I want to study this one more fully – pensions are complex and they impact peoples' lives deep into retirement. Before I sign onto this idea, I want to know more, but this certainly deserves to be studied as a way to help address our pension problems.

June 13th Sex Trafficking Forum

On Thursday, June 13th in the Amethyst Room at Pima College, Downtown Campus (Speedway/Stone,) a group of us will be hosting a forum on minor sex trafficking. The fo-

rum is titled “Community Forum – Sex Trafficking in Our Homes, Schools and Community” and will run from 6pm until 8pm.

The forum is an off-shoot from Project RAISE (Responsible Alternatives to Incarceration for the Sexually Exploited) that we helped to coordinate earlier in the spring out of the Ward 6 office. It is also very timely since in the past few weeks we have seen on the local media stories about young girls either abducted, or having been subjected to attempts at that. We also saw the Marana mom who rescued her daughter – and the three ladies in Cleveland who had been held for nearly a decade.

The forum will be facilitated/emceed by the KOLD News Anchor, Heather Rowe. You won't see any promotional stories on this on any other local station but Ch.13. Joining Heather will be a trafficking survivor, and two women who represent both academia, and the therapeutic field. These women will give short opening remarks, each from their field of expertise, and then the Center for Community Dialogue will lead break-out discussions on the topic. The forum is aimed at kids, and their parents – and any other community members who feel a desire to learn more about the topic.

Here's a link to a flyer describing the evening –

<http://cms3.tucsonaz.gov/files/ward6/6-5-2013SexTraffickingForumFlyer.pdf>

The prostituting of a minor is by definition trafficking. The State legislature last session failed to pass a bill that would have increased the penalties for this gross offence. We want to alert parents and their middle school/high school kids about what it is, how it will fundamentally change their lives, and what some of the red-flags and warning signs are to help avoid getting caught up in it. The presentations will not be sugar-coated. It's too dangerous, and too easy to get enticed into for us to dance around the reality that in these days of social media, every young kid is a potential victim. There are no demographics, no income levels, no single part of Tucson – nothing that eliminates your child from being an unwitting target.

If you have kids – girls or boys – in the age cohort we're addressing, please mark your calendar and join us on the 13th for this very important discussion. It'll be interactive, so come ready to participate, too.

Our thanks also go out to the Tucson Women's Commission for helping to put this event together.

The Wildcat Brand

Last week, the Emory University Sports Marketing Analytics department did a study of where various college basketball programs rank in terms of what they called a 'revenue premium.' That is, predicting revenue generation based on on-court success, i.e. winning percentages and NCAA Tournament advancement.

They compared the revenues generated by a team vs. what would be expected based on team performance. If a team scored more highly than would have been possibly warranted by wins and losses, it was identified as an indication of significant brand loyalty.



The study placed the UA at #2 nationally. That's a testimony to our fans, and to the consistency of the program being near the top of the heap. Here are the top 10 ranked schools:


- No. 1 Louisville
- No. 2 Arizona
- No. 3 Duke
- No. 4 Arkansas
- No. 5 North Carolina
- No. 6 Texas
- No. 7 Kentucky
- No. 8 Syracuse
- No. 9 Marquette
- No. 10 Oklahoma State

I would point out that Kansas is not listed. Neither is ASU. That is for my pesky friends who are afflicted with allegiances to those places.

Last season, Arizona posted a 27-8 record and advanced to the NCAA Tournament's West Regional semifinals for the second time in three seasons. Congratulations to Greg Byrne, Sean Miller and to you, the fans for being so consistently loyal to the program.

Five Second Rule Debunked

Yes, I've used that rule to avoid wasting a perfectly good piece of food (usually candy) that inadvertently hits the floor, but not for 'too long.'



In my on-going effort to share items that display the research value coming out of the UA, this week it's Dr. Charles Gerba, a microbiologist at the University of Arizona, who has found in his studies that 93 percent of our shoes contain fecal bacteria on them. That's because we're walking everywhere in them — in the grocery store, the parking lot, even the public restroom. And if you wear your shoes

in your house, well guess where that bacteria is landing? So, can those little bugs climb onto a potato chip in less than five seconds?

Jillian Clarke did an internship at the University of Illinois and found that food picked up *E. coli* bacteria as soon as it was dropped on a contaminated surface. (She also discovered that women are more likely than men to eat food that fell on the floor – but I digress...) In 2007, researchers at the University of Clemson at South Carolina tested to see if leaving food on the floor longer meant that more germs would attach themselves to it. What they found was

that bacteria such as salmonella can live on surfaces such as hardwood, carpet or tile for up to four weeks. Foods dropped on those surfaces picked up anywhere from hundreds to thousands of bacteria, but when left on the floor for up to a minute, the number grew up to 10x that amount.

Sometimes UA research is a spoiled-sport – but important none the less.

Environmental Services

I began with an E.S. item, and I'll end with this one. For the third consecutive year, E.S. will not be raising the residential service rates. They've been able to achieve that through reductions in personnel costs, operating efficiencies and reducing the number of residential fleet vehicles. Related to the fleet, within five years, over ½ of the trucks you see driving around town will be off from diesel, and onto CNG.


In addition, they have been able to save their Groundwater Protection Fee revenues in a rainy day fund and will use it to continue maintaining our old landfills. That protects our groundwater supply.

Congratulations to the whole staff, and especially to the front line workers who are out in the heat taking care to preserve our community in the aesthetically great way that they do.

Sincerely,



Steve Kozachik
Ward 6 Council Member
Ward6@tucsonaz.gov



Cinema La Placita Outdoor Film Series
presents "Mata Hari"
on Thursday, June 6 at 7:30 p.m.
To view full schedule visit:
<http://www.cinamalaplacita.com/>



Arts and Entertainment Events Calendar

This week and next week at the arts and entertainment venues in the Downtown, 4th Avenue, and Main Gate areas . . .

Rialto Theatre, 318 E. Congress St.

Wednesday, June 5, 8:00pm. “**Grace Potter & The Nocturnals**” all ages.

Thursday, June 6, 8:00pm. “**Psychedelic Furs**” all ages.

www.RialtoTheatre.com

Fox Theatre, 17 W. Congress St.

Saturday, June 8, 7:30pm. “**David Grisman Bluegrass Experience**”

Saturday, June 15 & Sunday, June 16. “**Field of Dreams**” (film)

www.FoxTucsonTheatre.org

Tucson Convention Center <http://cms3.tucsonaz.gov/tcc/eventcalendar>

TCC Exhibit Halls

Friday, June 7 through Saturday, June 8. “**Pima County Home & Garden Show**”

Ongoing

Tucson Museum of Art, 140 N. Main Ave.

Ongoing exhibition, Opening Saturday, January 26 and ending June 16:

“**Desert Grasslands**,” “**Feminina: Images of the Feminine from Latin America**,” and

“**Elements in Western Art: Water, Fire, Air, and Earth**”

www.TucsonMuseumofArt.org

Museum of Contemporary Art (MOCA), 265 S. Church Ave.

Current exhibition: “**Peter Young: Capitalist Masterpieces**”

Hours: Wednesday to Sunday, 12:00 to 5:00pm.

www.Moca-Tucson.org

Children's Museum Tucson, 200 S. 6th Ave.

Tuesday - Friday: 9:00am - 5:00pm; Saturdays & Sundays: 10:00am - 5:00pm

www.childrensmuseumtucson.org

Jewish History Museum, 564 S. Stone Ave.

Open Wednesday, Thursday, Saturday, and Sunday, 1:00-5:00 and Friday, Noon to 3:00pm

Special hours for school and group tours, for more information call 670-9073

www.jewishhistorymuseum.org

Meet Me at Maynards

A social walk/run through the Downtown area

Every Monday, rain or shine, holidays too!

Maynards Market and Kitchen, 400 N. Toole Avenue, the historic train depot

Check-in begins at 5:15pm.

www.MeetMeatMaynards.com

Tucson Farmers' Market at Maynards

Saturdays 9:00am – 1:00pm

On the plaza at Maynards Market & Kitchen. 400 N Toole in the Historic Train Depot

Santa Cruz Farmers' Market Mercado San Agustin, 100 S. Avenida del Convento

Thursdays, 4:00 – 7:00pm.

For other events in the Downtown/4th Avenue/Main Gate area, visit these sites:

www.MainGateSquare.com

www.FourthAvenue.org

www.DowntownTucson.org

Other Community Events

Loft Cinema www.loftcinema.com/

Arizona State Museum – Woven Wonders (beginning April 28)

The Arizona State Museum is debuting a sample of 500 pieces from the world's largest collection of Southwest American Indian basketry (over 25,000 pieces). Visit

www.statemuseum.arizona.edu for more information.

UA Mineral Museum – Ongoing

“100 Years of Arizona's Best: The Minerals that Made the State”

Southern Arizona Transportation Museum – 414 N Toole Ave.

Tuesday – Thursday, Sunday: 1100am - 3:00pm; Friday & Saturdays: 10:00am - 4:00pm
<http://www.tucsonhistoricdepot.org/>

Tucson Pops Orchestra – Music Under the Stars

Tucson Pops Orchestra will be having their yearly concert series at the DeMeester Outdoor Performance Center at Reid Park this Sunday. This fun, Tucson institution is family friendly and begins at 7:00. Bring chairs and a blanket and enjoy some beautiful music. Visit:

<http://www.tucsonpops.org> for more information and a schedule of concerts.

5&5 Mobile Art/Fine Art Exhibit – Ward 6 Office

On Saturday, June 8 at 6:30, a new exhibit will begin at the Ward 6 Office. This exhibit will feature Mobile Artistry, photographs taken on mobile devices. Come experience this growing art form and help support Integrative Touch for Kids.