

## Ward 6 Staff



Steve Kozachik



Ann Charles



Diana Amado



Molly Thrasher



Amy Stabler



# Ward 6 - Newsletter

Tucson First

October 8, 2013

## Otis Bronson

Most importantly this week is the Ward 6 office sending out our sympathies and condolences to Supervisor Sharon Bronson and her family over the loss last week of her husband, Otis. Those who have followed Tucson news for any length of time will recognize that he played a huge role in both the establishment and successes of Pima Community College. He was also heavily involved in many horticultural activities – and to that end, the family has asked that in lieu of flowers, memorial contributions may be made to the Arizona-Sonora Desert Museum.

## Portraits of Excellence

Last Friday, our City Manager, Richard Miranda was honored by the UA Hispanic Alumni as their 2013 Portraits of Excellence Honoree.



Dick began his public service for the community back in 1975 as a recruit to the Tucson Police Academy. By 1998 he had progressed up to Chief of Police, kept that job for 10 years at which time he moved over to the City Manager's office. The Mayor and Council hired him as City Manager a couple of years ago. Since having taken the helm, he has cleaned up some messes in several City departments, placed some very quality people around himself and has us headed in a good direction. The award was well deserved.

## NAACP Banquet

...and it was uplifting to see Louis Taylor being recognized and honored on Saturday night by those in attendance at the NAACP banquet. In addition to Louis, his legal team of Michael Picaretti, Stanley Feldman and Andy Silverman were named 'Honorees' at the event for the role they played in ending his 42 year imprisonment. It's a very interesting story. The Star captured it a few months ago. If you'd like to refresh your memory about the new facts that led to Louis' release (Pioneer Hotel fire) you can find the article by going to [azstarnet.com](http://azstarnet.com) and putting in his name in the search box.

And give Peg Johnson and the folks at The Loft high marks for hiring Louis and giving him the chance to put his life back together. None of us can even imagine how tough that would be.

## Broadway RTA Project

Last week, the County sent a letter to the City related to the proposed expansion of Broadway. Here's the full text of the memo:



**Important  
Phone Numbers**

- Tucson Police Department  
911 or 791-4444  
nonemergency
- Mayor & Council  
Comment Line  
791-4700
- Neighborhood  
Resources  
791-4605
- Park Wise  
791-5071
- Water Issues  
791-3242
- Pima County Animal  
Control  
243-5900
- Street Maintenance  
791-3154
- Planning and  
Development  
Services 791-5550
- Southwest Gas  
889-1888
- Gas Emergency/  
Gas Leaks  
889-1888
- West Nile Virus  
Hotline  
243-7999
- Environment  
Service  
791-3171
- Graffiti Removal  
792-2489
- AZ Game & Fish  
628-5376

# Continued: A Message From Steve



## MEMORANDUM

Date: October 2, 2013

To: Priscilla Cornelio, Director  
Transportation Department

From: C.H. Huckelberry  
County Administrator *CH*

Re: **Broadway Boulevard Widening Project Discussions with the City of Tucson**

It appears increasingly likely the City of Tucson will choose not to widen the Broadway Boulevard Corridor from Euclid Avenue to Country Club Road.

The County allocated up to \$25 million of our Highway User Revenue Fund (HURF) bonds for the Broadway Boulevard widening project. If the City fails to widen Broadway Boulevard to a full six-lane, median-divided roadway, including bike lanes, the City will not be eligible for the County HURF funding allocated to the project.

As a contingency, please begin planning for other uses for these HURF funds if the City chooses to pursue a lesser improvement standard. I suggest the entire \$25 million be allocated to pavement preservation projects that are the responsibility of the County. This will require the City to provide a refund of the funds already advanced by the County to the City for this project.

CHH/dph

c: John Bernal, Deputy County Administrator for Public Works  
Nicole Fyffe, Executive Assistant to the County Administrator

Procedurally, does the County have the authority to pull the funds? Some in City staff believe they have to go through a formal process before that could happen. Here's language from our Bond agreement that they rely on for that position:

***15. Events Requiring Amendment of Bond Improvement Ordinance 1997-80***

*If, after a public hearing, the City's governing body determines that events require amendment of the Pima County Ordinance No. 1997-80, City shall notify the County in writing and shall formally request the Pima County Board of Supervisors to hold a public hearing on the necessary bond ordinance amendment.*

I don't believe that section describes what's going on here. The City is not asking to amend the original Ordinance. There's a Citizen Task Force working on the design and we're waiting along with everybody else to see what they come up with. I believe the following language (trimmed, where it was necessary) better describes how the County could move the money around:

***17. Federal Treasury Regulations .***

*Notwithstanding any other provision of this Agreement, County may, in County's sole discretion, either (i) reallocate Project funds to other projects funded with County bonds, or (ii) terminate this Agreement if, in County's sole determination, such reallocation or termination is necessary or advan-*



### Important Phone Numbers

Senator John  
McCain (R)  
520-670-6334

Senator Jeff  
Flake (R)  
520-575-8633

Congressman  
Ron Barber (D)  
(2<sup>nd</sup> District)  
520-881-3588

Congressman  
Raul Grijalva (D)  
(3<sup>th</sup> District)  
520-622-6788

Governor Janice  
Brewer (R)  
Governor of Arizona  
602-542-4331

Toll free:  
1-800-253-0883

#### State Legislators

Toll Free  
Telephone:  
1-800-352-8404  
Internet:  
[www.azleg.gov](http://www.azleg.gov)

Mayor Jonathan  
Rothschild  
791-4201

City Infoguide  
[http://  
cms3.tucsonaz.gov/  
infoguide](http://cms3.tucsonaz.gov/infoguide)

*tageous to the County under the Tax Exempt Bond Rules either (a) to qualify for a spending exception to the arbitrage rebate requirements, (b) to reduce the amount of any potential arbitrage rebate or penalty, or (c) to manage the County's bond proceeds. (My emphasis)*

I read that to suggest that in fact, under option "c" the County may reallocate the funds. The question remains what process do they have to go through to accomplish that. I've asked for an opinion from the City Attorney.

As currently planned, there's about 1 year left in the design process. Given that, the timing of the memo was ham-handed. The likely result of having sent it out now is that we must work yet again to avoid simply alienating the members of the task force who have invested hundreds of personal hours in support of working out the design for this project. Why? Because since they were seated they've heard from the County that it "is committed to providing the remaining \$23.5M for construction once the project has been bid and awarded." (letter from County Transportation Director – 8/10/12.) They've heard from the County Administrator that he agrees we should not waste taxpayer money designing to a set of standards based on flawed traffic data. They've heard from the RTA attorney that he believes redesign that deviates from the strict ballot language is permissible.

And they've seen the Mayor and Council (Lead Agency) encourage them to use creativity in design and not simply fall into the 150' of asphalt to accommodate traffic that isn't, and likely won't be driving on that roadway.

The scope of the Bond language the County relied on for allocating the \$25M read in part as follows:

*The proposed project will widen Broadway Boulevard to six or eight lanes from Euclid Avenue to Campbell...the project will include improved intersections and traffic signals, a landscaped median, multi-use lanes, sidewalks, street lighting, storm drains, public art and other urban arterial features...The project will replace the current five-lane section with a contemporary urban arterial.*

I've been to the majority of the Task Force meetings, and to the public open houses. That Bond scope is pretty much exactly what I've heard being discussed. That is, work on intersections, consider multi-modal travel options, and create a walkable urban environment that can serve as a template for other of our urban corridors. If they came up with 4 travel lanes plus two multi-use lanes, that equals six. If they elect to do six travel, that's also something they've discussed.

The point is that we have a process in place that's being guided by staff and consultants. The people being asked to make the decisions are all volunteer members of the general public. To send out a directive that constrains design considerations after having sent out conflicting messages over the course of the last year is simply wrong.

I have already sent to Staff an email suggesting that it's time to turn the task force loose to design the corridor. They've got reams of material to work with, and untallied numbers of comments from the general public. We don't need another year of paying consultants – the message we're hearing from the public has been consistent. That is, save the businesses along the north side, and create a corridor that has amenities described in the Bond scope language. Cut the consultant costs – get to the point at which an alignment is set so businesses can start investing in their properties again – and let the Task Force engage their creative juices and see what they propose.

At this point, between the various bureaucrats and governing bodies, the Task Force is within their rights to say we have



too many cooks in the kitchen. I've asked staff to give them their space and let them get to work setting the cross-width, alignment and design components. We don't need threats of defunding, or any more rules placed on them.

If we're ever going to convince members of the public to take their precious time serving on our Boards, Committees and Commissions, we have to convince them that they're more than window dressing. Here's a chance to take what could be perceived as a negative (the memo) and turn it into a positive (empowerment of the Task Force – and a conclusion to the design process.)

### **Arizona Department of Racing**

In 2008, the citizens of South Tucson voted to ban the administration of anabolic steroids into racing greyhounds for the purpose of performance enhancement or the suppression of estrus. They were joined in the campaign to adopt that restriction by 100 veterinarians who spoke to the harmful long term effects that result from shooting male hormones into female dogs.

Last week, the head of the Arizona Department of Racing sent South Tucson's City Manager a memo suggesting that they ignore the vote of the people and in effect, resume the injections. Here's the letter:

[http://cms3.tucsonaz.gov/files/ward6/10-8-13ADOR\\_9.13.2013.pdf](http://cms3.tucsonaz.gov/files/ward6/10-8-13ADOR_9.13.2013.pdf)

As a bit of a populist, I believe it will be a sad day when a bureaucrat can cop an attitude



and unilaterally overturn the will of the voting public. What Mr. Walsh does not want to acknowledge is that just because the State regulates an activity does not automatically mean that localities cannot enact Ordinances that are more strict than what the State mandates – as long as the Ordinance does not conflict with the law.

For example – State law addresses animal cruelty, but there was nothing that prevented the County from enacting a law that prohibits tying dogs up. It further defined what the residents in the County felt constituted cruelty. Last term, the Legislature recognized the Counties right to adopt that law when they dealt with issues related to tying dogs that are engaged in ranching activities but did not suggest what the County had enacted was inappropriate.

If the State wants to pass a law that exempts racing Greyhounds from animal cruelty laws, then Mr. Walsh might have a leg to stand on; that is, injections being judged by the people (and veterinarians) to be cruel/harmful, that would conflict with that imagined State law.

Last year I worked with KGUN-9 and got on tape an ersatz veterinarian who was contracted to drive racing Greys into the Tucson City limits and inject them with steroids. We adopted our own ban. The County followed suit shortly afterwards. If Mr. Walsh was be-

ing consistent, he'd have sent his letter to all three jurisdictions. I suspect he's expecting South Tucson to try to amend their Intergovernmental Agreement with the County and stop the Pima Animal Care Center inspections from taking place at the track – or some other creative way of side-stepping what the will of the people was when they passed the South Tucson steroid ban. Given that the County Board of Supervisors joined us in banning the practice, I'd be pretty surprised if they went along with that gambit.

Tucson Greyhound Park is still receiving a 'hardship tax credit' from the State general fund. They're still giving out 'Breeders awards' (\$44K last year) to support the Greyhound racing / gaming / industry. That's your tax money that might otherwise be spent on public education – or some other core function.

In his letter, Walsh suggests that his agency does a swell job of oversight of the track. Based on the fact that they allowed the cross-jurisdiction injections to occur / and frankly, since the ban amazingly the female dogs are not going into heat / defying science / my answer to him is simply that his claim is best captured in this image:



So, I'll keep an eye on how the County responds to his letter.

**El Rio Lawsuit**

Since the Grand Canyon University dust up, there have been a couple of lawsuits filed against the City by members of the west side neighborhoods. The suits were primarily for access to written records related to negotiations over the site. Since the release of those records, one of the records from our 'independent' economic analyst has been made public. This is a small part of what was included:

5.15 e  
109,809  
7.33 2

Mr. Martinez:

In accordance with your request, we have prepared an appraisal of the above referenced property. The purpose of the appraisal is to opine a market value range for the fee simple interest in the subject property. The intended use of this appraisal is for internal decision making related to the potential disposition of the subject property. The date of value is February 18, 2013. The subject is part of the existing Trini Alvarez El Rio Golf Course owned and operated by the City of Tucson. At your request, we are appraising the underlying land as if vacant and unimproved.

I bring to your attention the last sentence in the paragraph. I do that for two reasons. First, Mayor and Council have been criticized for what is perceived to be our poor handling of the potential project. I've made the point on numerous occasions that the data we were being shown about the value of the project to the City was flawed. Some examples are the Capital expenditures GCU planned and the average salaries of their workers. Now we see that the appraisal for the land was low-balled, thereby giving a break to GCU, at the expense of the taxpayers. The site is not "vacant and unimproved." There's no excuse for asking our eco-

conomic analyst to assume that it is.

The other issue related to that statement is that it formed instructions from our staff to the 'independent' analyst. It's pretty clear from the way the paragraph is worded that the analyst didn't feel real comfortable with the assumption being interjected into the economic model they were running. The land has a significant community center, activity spaces, hundreds of fully matured trees and other landscaping, and a very well-manicured golf course. That's not 'vacant and unimproved.' The appraisal therefore had a built in break being given to the prospect.

I'm troubled by that because up until now my scrutiny of our economic analyses has been focused on the outcomes and how they matched up against the restrictions by which we're bound by the State Constitutional Gift Clause. Now it appears that I'll have to also give time to studying the underlying inputs; the assumptions staff is asking the analysts to consider when deriving their results. The axiom 'garbage in/garbage out' is apt here. If we had allowed the appraisal to be conducted based on the current realities of the property, the sale price would not have been as low as it was – and had it gone through based on the more accurate land description, the taxpayers would have been better served.

Lesson learned. From here on forward, I'll be taking the time to see what's going into the stew, not just evaluating it as it comes out of the kitchen.

#### **General Plan on the Ballot**

I'm not allowed to use City email for the purpose of advocating in one direction or another when it comes to ballot propositions or candidates. I am, however allowed to clear up mis-statements being made out in the community. To that end, this is a quote from a recent Republican E-Tracks (their on-line 'newsletter' – using that term advisedly.)

" We have a \$1 billion unfunded pension liability and public sector pensions must be reformed. The City Plan, which will be voted upon on the November 5<sup>th</sup> ballot, would give the City Council the right to increase the debt even further. **The City Plan is wrong for Tucson; we would see Davis-Monthan Air Force Base headed for closure. The base generates \$1.6 billion of revenue for this city. Don't Tucsonans understand that without a prosperous business community we can't have better roads, beautiful parks, even art projects? Many small businesses and merchants rely on the base for their livelihood. We need to support D-M, the local business community and welcome new business ventures to Tucson."**

First, it's called Plan Tucson, not the City Plan. But to the factual errors:

Plan Tucson does absolutely nothing about giving the M&C the ability to increase our debt. It has absolutely nothing to do with our pension plan. The Republican newsletter writer appears to not yet realize that the proposition that related to pensions failed to reach the ballot. Conflating the two is disingenuous and misleading.

Plan Tucson is very simply the State mandated 30,000 foot view of how we want to grow as a community. It was put together over months of community based meetings led by staff. We even extended the final product because at the 11<sup>th</sup> hour some groups (business in particular) said the process hadn't been inclusive. They all embraced the final product before we voted to place it on the ballot.

There is nothing in Plan Tucson that is anti-Davis Monthan. Read it and see. Come to the

Ward 6 office and you can sit and browse through our copy – or go on line and read it at your leisure. The quote above is factually inaccurate.

I can't advocate for or against Plan Tucson in this newsletter, but I can point out objective inaccuracies. You decide how you want to vote, but do so based on fact, not fiction.

### **Port Authority**

On Tuesday we received an update on the establishment of a regional border Port Authority. State law allows that if you're in a County that's within 62 miles of the Arizona-Mexico border, you can set up a non-profit that will work to promote trade and commerce within that area. There are three stages to putting that entity into place:

First is when a group of private stake holders gets together and forms a loosely knitted group who share the same goal of working towards a more formal Authority at some later date. These private sector players would volunteer their time in areas such as freight, trade, logistics and economic development.

The next stage is when that group begins to form a non-profit agency so they can begin to assess fees, membership costs, and apply for Grant funding. This is the stage where they begin to identify revenue generating sources so the Authority becomes more self-sustaining. Finally, the Authority could explore becoming a governmental entity. That would give them the ability to build, own and operate public infrastructure. Through ownership, the revenue generating opportunities expand.

Our action on Tuesday got that first part of the process formally moving ahead. We've already identified some private sector players. Now we're going to bring them together and talk about the more formal formation of the Authority. We're well positioned for this as a result of the facilities we have at Tucson International Airport, the location of the main Union Pacific railroad, being designated as a NAFTA border region, our proximity to the Nogales Port of Entry, and the three interstate highways (I-8, I-10 and I-19) close by.

As we continue to embrace an increased commercial relationship with Mexico, taking this step in the direction of a formal Port Authority sends a very meaningful message to our potential corporate partners South of the border that we have the infrastructure they need to move their products. We have some momentum now, and that's a good thing for our economic development prospects.

### **Election Information**

November 5<sup>th</sup> is election day. On the ballot will be three City Council seats, and two propositions that affect the City of Tucson. I've written about the propositions in past newsletters. As a very brief recap:

The General Plan – mandated by the State every 10 years to be rewritten. This is a 30,000 foot view of where we want to go as a community. It was put together through significant outreach by City Staff.

The Spending Limit Increase – we are limited by State law as to how much of the revenue we collect we can actually spend. In the next couple of years, if we continue on the current trend of economic growth, we'll bump up against that limit. The proposition would allow us to increase the current limit level.

And the Council elections are Ward 3 – Karin running against Ben Buehler-Garcia, Ward 5, Richard running against Mike Polak, and Ward 6 – I'll be running unopposed.

There is a candidate forum being sponsored by the League of Women Voters and the Hispanic Chamber of Commerce on Wednesday, October 16<sup>th</sup>. It'll be held at the Temple Emanu-El which is located at 225 N. Country Club. The forum will begin at 6:30 and is scheduled to last a couple of hours. All five of us are scheduled to participate.

The early ballots will be mailed out around the middle of the month. You can mail them back as soon as you'd like. If you choose to take your ballot to a polling place, those will be located at:

Department of Housing and Community Development – 320 N. Commerce Park Loop  
 Morris K. Udall Regional Center – 7200 E. Tanque Verde Road  
 Donna R. Liggins Recreation Center – 2160 N. 6<sup>th</sup> Ave.  
 William Clements Recreation Center – 8155 E. Poinciana Dr.  
 El Pueblo Senior Center – 101 W. Irvington Rd  
 Parks and Recreation Administration @ Randolph Park – 900 S. Randolph Way

Each of those will be open from 6am until 7pm on election day.

The Tucson City Clerk Elections Center @ 800 E. 12<sup>th</sup> St will also be open those hours. They'll also be open M-F between October 18<sup>th</sup> through November 4<sup>th</sup> from 8am until 5pm.

So – lots of options. Please vote.

### **Neighborhood Preservation Ordinance**

In 2003, the M&C adopted an NPO that sets standards for things such as how you maintain your house's exterior, storage of junked motor vehicles on and around your property, the number of yard sales you can have, and other items that might be considered public nuisance/hazard or simply elements of living in community. On Tuesday we approved some changes to the existing rules and directed staff to set the date for a public hearing that will occur prior to formal adoption of the rules.

I voted against the changes because they don't reflect what I'm hearing from the Ward 6 residents who have contacted me. Most specifically, the input I've heard related to yard sales. We pulled the part related to the size of trucks out for further discussion.

The changes being proposed include these:

- a) The definition of outdoor storage will be expanded to include motor vehicles which are being stored on a property in the front or side yard for in excess of two years.
- b) We added wording to clarify the maintenance requirements for the exterior of a building, to include windows, skylights, door functionality and other structural features
- c) Paved sidewalks, walkways, stairs, steps, driveways and parking areas are to be kept in a proper state of repair and be maintained free from hazardous conditions
- d) The size of a motor vehicle permitted to be located on a residential property is limited to 22' long, 10' high. I won't be supporting this dimension. If you check on-line you'll find plenty of pick-up trucks that exceed the 22' length.



This is a Chevy HD3500 – with a hitch, it's il-

legal under the standards the M&C just approved. I won't support that.

- e) Yard Sales are now proposed to be defined as allowing up to 4x per year, with a single yard sale being defined as lasting 'not to exceed three consecutive days.' The input I've received is pretty overwhelmingly in support of 3 yard sales per year, defined as a single 24 hour period.

My suggestion was for staff to speak to the Alliance of Construction Trades and discuss some of the service vehicles being driven by sub-contractors that double as family vehicles. The intent of the language is to address large commercial vehicles – not big pickup trucks.

For the yard sales, I suggested that we're clearly hearing different ideas on pretty much a geographical basis. I offered the suggestion that we adopt rules that are Ward-specific. In as much as we're hearing different things from our varying constituents, there's no reason we can't have rules that reflect those variations. We do a similar thing in our land use code by allowing different development standards in urban settings (downtown) vs. out on the far east side.

We'll make sure you know when the public hearing is. I've shown my hand – let us know how you feel.

#### **Tohono O'odham Nation Grant Funding**

From time to time I share with you some of the local agencies that are benefitting directly from the Grant money being invested into our community through the various gaming compacts tribes are associated with. This time, funding from the 12% gaming distribution resulted in well over \$300K being allocated to 9 different agencies. Here's the list:

Arizona League of United Latin American Citizens - \$25K

Ben's Bells Project - \$39K

Big Brothers Big Sisters of Tucson - \$21K

Church of St. Michael and All Angels School - \$50K

Imago Dei Middle School - \$63K

Job Path - \$30K

Lend a Hand Senior Assistance - \$5K

Mobile Meals of Tucson - \$10K

Salvation Army – Tucson - \$100K

We're no longer in the position to write checks like this to the wide array of agencies who touch the needs of our community. I'm grateful for the tribes' work and attention to helping out with these very important works.

Sincerely,



Steve Kozachik  
Council Member, Ward 6  
[ward6@tucsonaz.gov](mailto:ward6@tucsonaz.gov)

## Events Calendar

*What's happening this week in the Downtown, 4<sup>th</sup> Avenue, and Main Gate areas . . .*

### Musica Sonora

Sunday, October 13, 2013, 3:00 p.m **The French Concert**  
Grace St. Paul's Episcopal Church, 2331 E. Adams St.

### Tucson Meet Yourself

October 11-13<sup>th</sup>  
El Presido Park, Jacome Plaza, and Pennington Street  
<http://www.tucsonmeetyourself.org/>

### 4<sup>th</sup> Avenue Celebrates the Dream

Saturday October 12<sup>th</sup> Noon-9PM  
Free live music and merchant specials  
<http://www.fourthavenue.org/4th-avenue-celebrates-the-dream/>

### Children's Museum Tucson, 200 S. 6<sup>th</sup> Ave.

Saturday October 12<sup>th</sup> 6:30 PM

**An Evening of Play** Enjoy a grown-ups only evening at Children's Museum Tucson featuring a sensory experience of live artistic performances, playfully inspired libations and savory culinary creations from some of Tucson's most creative chefs.

<http://childrensmuseumtucson.org/eveningofplay/>

### Ongoing . . . .

Rialto Theatre, 318 E. Congress St.  
[www.RialtoTheatre.com](http://www.RialtoTheatre.com)

Fox Theatre, 17 W. Congress St.  
[www.FoxTucsonTheatre.org](http://www.FoxTucsonTheatre.org)

Loft Cinema 3233 E. Speedway  
[www.loftcinema.com/](http://www.loftcinema.com/)

### Temple of Music and Art, 330 S. Scott Ave.

"The Mountaintop"

*In this gripping re-imagining of the events on the eve of his assassination, we find Martin Luther King Jr. in his hotel room after delivering his most memorable speech - when an unexpected visitor arrives with surprising news.*

October 19-November 9

[www.arizonatheatre.org](http://www.arizonatheatre.org)

### Tucson Museum of Art, 140 N. Main Ave.

[www.TucsonMuseumofArt.org](http://www.TucsonMuseumofArt.org)

### Jewish History Museum. 564 S. Stone Ave.

The Jewish History Museum presents "Cowboys, Merchants, Miners, & Booze," an exhibit that celebrates the lives of Tucson's Jewish pioneers.

[www.jewishhistorymuseum.org](http://www.jewishhistorymuseum.org)

**The Drawing Studio** 33 S. 6<sup>th</sup> Ave  
October 5-26<sup>th</sup> “Seeing the Santa Ritas”  
[www.thedrawingstudio.org](http://www.thedrawingstudio.org)

**Arizona State Museum** 1013 E. University Blvd  
November 9, 2013, through July 2015 **Curtis Reframed: The Arizona Portfolios**  
[www.statemuseum.arizona.edu](http://www.statemuseum.arizona.edu)

**UA Mineral Museum** 1601 E University Blvd  
Ongoing “100 Years of Arizona’s Best: The Minerals that Made the State”

**Southern Arizona Transportation Museum** 414 N Toole Ave.  
Tuesday – Thursday, Sunday: 1100am - 3:00pm; Friday & Saturdays: 10:00am - 4:00pm  
<http://www.tucsonhistoricdepot.org/>

#### **Meet Me at Maynards**

A social walk/run through the Downtown area  
Every Monday, rain or shine, holidays too!  
Maynards Market and Kitchen, 400 N. Toole Avenue, the historic train depot  
Check-in begins at 5:15pm.  
[www.MeetMeatMaynards.com](http://www.MeetMeatMaynards.com)

**For other events in the Downtown/4<sup>th</sup> Avenue/Main Gate area, visit these sites:**

[www.MainGateSquare.com](http://www.MainGateSquare.com)  
[www.FourthAvenue.org](http://www.FourthAvenue.org)  
[www.DowntownTucson.org](http://www.DowntownTucson.org)



**Learn to be  
Streetcar  
Street-Smart**

Sun Link streetcars will be on our streets in test mode soon. Whether walking, driving or riding a bike, you'll be sharing the corridor with Sun Link. Learn to be Streetcar Street-Smart now to be ready for the ride in summer 2014. Check out how-to videos, PSAs, brochures and more.

<http://sunlinkstreetcar.com/index.php?pg=40>