

## Ward 6 Staff



**Steve Kozachik**  
Council Member



**Ann Charles**



**Donovan Durband**



**Teresa Smith**



**Bonnie Medler**



**Diana Amado**



# Ward 6 – Newsletter

JANUARY 19, 2012

## A Message from Steve

### **Tucson Clean and Beautiful**

Beginning on a light and upbeat note, Tucson Clean & Beautiful is going to host a free workshop led by experts in horticulture, the purpose of which is to encourage and train people in the planting of trees in our community and most directly, on their own property.

In addition to TCB, the other sponsors of the workshop are the Arizona Community Tree Council, Tucson Electric Power (donating door prizes), and Trees for Tucson (this is really a sub-group program of Tucson Clean and Beautiful).

The workshop will be held from 8am until about noon on January 21<sup>st</sup> out at the Clements Recreation Center (8155 E. Poinciana Dr.).

If you would like to learn the trade of urban forestry at the feet of those who do this stuff for a living, you may register at the Arizona Community Tree Council's web site: <http://AZTREES.org> or phone their office at (602) 354-3023.

### **New CPR**

In 2006, Dr. Gordon Ewy of the UA Sarver Heart Center was instrumental in developing an all new method of performing CPR. Previously, the person administering the procedure would alternate between mouth-to-mouth breathing and chest compressions. Dr. Ewy's research demonstrated that eliminating the mouth-to-mouth and doing the chest compressions alone yielded significantly improved survival results.

The Lancet validated his work in 2007 and reported that the Compression-only method resulted in nearly doubling the survival rate vs. the former method. UA studies showed that those rates increased by 300% when emergency responders used the new method.

In the current edition of Biz Tucson, Dr. Ewy's discovery is noted as one of the "Innovations of the Century." I was pleased to join the Mayor in cutting a promotional video that will be used to teach the procedure to thousands of Tucsonans – beginning during the upcoming UA vs. USC basketball game on February 23<sup>rd</sup>.

The Gooter Foundation is teaming up with The University of Arizona Sarver Heart Center and the Kaimas Foundation to fund and deliver the training, both during that



### Important Phone Numbers

Tucson Police  
Department

911 or 791-4444  
nonemergency

Mayor & Council  
Comment Line  
791-4700

Neighborhood  
Resources

791-4605

Park Wise  
791-5071

Water Issues  
791-3242

Pima County Animal  
Control  
243-5900

Street Maintenance  
791-3154

Planning and  
Development  
Services 791-5550

Southwest Gas  
889-1888

Gas Emergency/  
Gas Leaks

889-1888

West Nile Virus  
Hotline

243-7999

Environment  
Service

791-3171

Graffiti Removal  
792-2489

AZ Game & Fish  
628-5376

## Continued: A Message From Steve

game and out in the wider community thereafter.

Keep an eye out for the promotions and take 60 seconds to learn the technique that will literally save thousands of lives. Anybody, regardless of age or health status can be struck by sudden cardiac arrest. If you know the technique, your role in saving a life will be key.

..that was easy. Now to some State level concerns, before hitting the local Council issues.

### Proposed State Legislation

This picture says to me how I think some of the legislators up in Phoenix sometimes feel we view them – with a sense of fear and exposure.



There is some truth to the picture. Some of us do consider much of what comes from them to be a bunch of bull but I don't think many of us feel quite as exposed as they think we do.

Here are some examples of legislation that has already been dropped for consideration this session, each of which will impact us locally. This is just a precursor to what's coming later this term. So far, in the first week of the session, 605 bills have been introduced in the House and Senate. While more than twenty percent of these are in the nature of "technical corrections" to existing legislation, those are often used as vehicles for new legislation. What they do is add to existing statutes what are called "striker amendments" – essentially substituting new language for the "technical correction" that was dropped early in the session - so they're not bound by the deadline for introducing bills. That's the reason we see so many surprises right at the 11<sup>th</sup> hour of each session.

You can view the full texts on-line at the legislature's web site. Here are some summaries:

**HB 2021** is aimed at municipalities that use the Department of Revenue to collect sales tax for residential rental property. If adopted, they would be prohibited from requiring rental property owners to have a municipal license or fingerprint clearance card. Adopting this would have both a financial and a potential safety impact on localities who use the DOR to do their collecting.

**HB 2043** states that municipal elections can no longer be held in March or May and must be held in even-numbered years. The first part of this would impact when the City went



### Important Phone Numbers

Senator John  
McCain (R)  
520-670-6334

Senator Jon Kyl (R)  
520-575-8633

Congresswoman  
Gabrielle Giffords  
(D)  
(8<sup>th</sup> District)  
520-881-3588

Congressman  
Raul Grijalva (D)  
(7<sup>th</sup> District)  
520-622-6788

Governor Janice  
Brewer (R)  
Governor of Arizona  
602-542-4331

Toll free:  
1-800-253-0883

#### State Legislators

Toll Free  
Telephone:  
1-800-352-8404  
Internet:  
[www.azleg.gov](http://www.azleg.gov)

Mayor Jonathan  
Rothschild  
791-4201

City Infoguide  
[http://  
cms3.tucsonaz.gov/  
infoguide](http://cms3.tucsonaz.gov/infoguide)

out for special and/or bond elections. If the State was paying the cost, I could see their interest. They're not. The second part would eliminate our current system of holding Mayor and Council elections in odd-numbered years. The next scheduled M&C election is in 2013. If this passed, we'd need to see how that election would be affected in terms of timing. The current language in the bill does not make that clear. Also, we are awaiting a court decision with respect to the Paton Bill that is trying to force "non-partisan/ward only" elections on the City. That decision is due any day.

**HB 2123** establishes an 11-member Transaction Privilege Tax Reform Committee to study and make recommendations regarding the phasing out of the individual and corporate income tax and the broadening of the sales tax base. I think you'll be hearing about the regressively of the sales tax vs. progressive taxes on income. They haven't formed the "Reform Committee" so watching out for who would sit on that is important.

**HB 2169** says that municipalities would be required to give building permit applicants the option of obtaining their permits through a permitting process designated by the municipality, or to go through a "self-certification" process instead. The devil's in the details, but at some point in the issuing of a permit, the City would have to consider the applicant's credentials, so there is potentially some level of redundancy built into this proposal.

**SB 1044** says that municipalities cannot impose business license requirements on residential rental property managers. It ties in with HB2021, above.

**SB 1065** states that municipal ordinances that regulate or prohibit a dog from running at large do not apply if the dog's owner provides proof of canine liability insurance.

Are they kidding? It's ok if my dog bites you as long as I'm insured. I thought they had a budget problem they were supposed to be dealing with.

Finally, in some cases it would be nice to have bills heard and debated in committee that can save the State money, and potentially address what some of us feel are issues related to changes in how our judicial system is operating. The DOC chews up nearly 11% of the State General Fund, and yet the chairs of the respective committees in the House and Senate who could schedule discussion on bills related to Sentencing Reform have refused to even engage the issue. Our penalties are some of the harshest in the nation, our incarceration rate is the 6th highest and we are spending over \$1 Billion on prisons.

The Corrections budget grows while we reduce education. Ok, this is purely advocacy on my part, but I believe even if there is disagreement on the content of the proposed legislation, at least have the debate. If you agree, you can express that by contacting the **Chair of the House Judiciary Committee, Rep. Eddie Farnsworth** [efarnsworth@azleg.gov](mailto:efarnsworth@azleg.gov) and the **Chair of the Senate Judiciary Committee, Ron Gould**, [rgould@azleg.gov](mailto:rgould@azleg.gov) to suggest that we all might be better served by having an open dialogue on this very important issue.

#### Downtown Tucson Initiative

Tied in with this list of legislation is one that is being promoted by some local business operators, with input from members of the Rio Nuevo Board and people who are in litigation or have pending claims against either the Board or the City. I've written about this initiative

previously, but now that the legislature is in session, there are efforts to put it into the form of a law.

The concerns I've shared are these:

The District is not subject to the Gift Clause. We should not be allowing an unaccountable group of appointed volunteers to spend taxpayer money without any limit.

In the early communication about this there was talk about increasing the "scope of the District's authority." If that were to simply mean allowing them to spend TIF money on things such as façade programs, landscaping in the downtown area, or similar extensions of the current "hotel and TCC" limit, I could potentially agree. If however the scope would eliminate public process and governing body involvement in how areas are developed and zoned, that's a non-starter.

Talk of extending the 2025 deadline for contracts and leases would only be workable if the City is explicitly held harmless if TIF revenues fail to cover debt service going forward.

Finally, as was noted in this newsletter last week, the City already is establishing incentives for the District, and beyond its boundaries. We're moving ahead with a Central Business District that will include appropriate, constitutionally and statutorily authorized incentives that everybody can apply for on a qualifications basis. No more sweetheart, "one-off" deals for buddies. We're already doing that. If Rio is serious about advancing revitalization in Tucson's core, they're welcome to join us in that.

The existing Statutes related to Rio need a little tweaking, but not the wholesale rewrite that the early drafts of the DTI suggested. It was good to hear from their representative at our Council meeting on Wednesday that many of the troubling elements of the early draft have been dropped. And yet, to the extent that there is a rush to drop a bill, we face the same threat of unintended consequences and unclear intent with which we are now living.

At Council Member Romero's request, we had what I thought was a good discussion about the DTI at Wednesday's study session. We were hopeful that the input expressed would be heard by those in Phoenix who we were told were working on writing a bill that will necessarily impact your paychecks. Recall the earlier comment about "Striker Amendments" (that there's no need to rush language – and in fact a key point I made on Wednesday was that by the Legislature having rushed the present Rio legislation has led to confusion and the need for changes.) We also made it clear on Wednesday that there was a need to include the City voice at the table while the legislation was being crafted. To do otherwise will make presenting this effort as a partnership somewhat disingenuous.

Well, the day after our meeting, the Legislature dropped the bill, ignoring any input we had tried to provide. Here's the exact bill that was dropped:

<http://www.azleg.gov/legtext/50leg/2r/bills/hb2647p.htm>

It contains elements, the financial impact of which are unclear. Freezing property taxes, 10 years of sales tax abatements, time limits for permit reviews, and more – each of which was drafted specifically with the Rio District in mind (all the way out Broadway to Park Place.)

I should re-insert the picture of the bull / instead, I'll just say that the City will express its concerns after our finance and legal people have had time to digest the legislation. They could have waited for our input had the intent truly been to do this cooperatively.

### Rio

Speaking of Rio Nuevo, late last week, evidently convinced that the Star was going to drop an uncomplimentary story on them over the weekend, Rio had who was evidently a junior copy editor put together a Release in an effort to dull the blow of the article. In fact, the Star did run a piece that documented what you've read here on several occasions, i.e. this Board is making attorneys rich and they don't comply with open meetings or public records requirements.

On Wednesday, they issued yet another Release, this one staking a claim to some level of transparency. Their financial records are evidently going to finally be released. Good. It's sad that it took so long and requests under the Freedom of Information Act to achieve that, but if that's the mantle under which they claim to be a transparent agent of the State Legislature, that's ok.

I was named in less than glowing terms in both of their Releases. That's ok. If my prodding has netted the result that the public is finally going to see how their money is being spent by this Board, and perhaps we will even find a way to work together for the greater good going forward, I'm happy to play their "bad cop" if they feel that works for them.

What matters most is not how I'm painted by their media person (evidently also volunteer work) but finding a way to move forward and get about the business of investing your dollars productively. There are those who want me to keep my eyes in the rear view mirror and continually point out mistakes made in the past. That is neither productive nor will it yield positive investment in our community.

We need to build this relationship, not live in the past.

I have stated on numerous occasions that there are members of the Board who want to advance the relationship with the City. I have posted in these newsletters the exact Term Sheets we have agreed to, and that the newly Reconstituted Board allowed to lapse. We are now in mediation. We will see very soon whether this Board wants to progress with revitalization, or if some other motivations are at play (other political agendas - watch for who declares candidacies, and what areas those who do so will be representing.)

Here are the current Board members, along with who appointed them, and when.

Ms. Jodi Bain	Chairperson	President of the Senate	March, 2010
Mr. Timothy Bathen	Member	Governor	March, 2011
Ms. Jannie Cox	Member	Governor	March, 2011
Ms. Carlotta Flores	Member	Governor	March, 2010
Mr. Rick Grinnell	Member	President of the Senate	July, 2010
Mr. Jeffrey Hill	Member	Governor	March, 2011
Mr. Mark Irvin	Vice Chairperson	Speaker of the House	March, 2010
Mr. Alberto Moore	Secretary	Governor	March, 2010



needs list (\$40M list)

10/1/11 - Downtown Tucson Initiative fund raising letter is sent out - includes Jonathon Patton, Alberto Moore and Jodi Bain's employer and former employer

10/4/11 - Rio sues taxpayers for \$47M

10/5/11 - City pulls out of the TCC subcommittee citing the reason that the city is now in litigation over the TCC.

10/13/11 - Rio files "Notice of Default" on TCC needs list - says all needs must be done within 30 days.

10/13/11 - Despite the TCC Notice of Default, City agrees to seek mediation

A couple of mediation meetings occur, and then -

12/23/11 - Downtown Tucson Initiative draft is released to the media / no City involvement in its drafting ever occurred even though it affects the Tucson taxpayers.

1/9/12 - Legislature convenes, and we already see several bills (some noted above) that are aimed pre-emptively at the City and City taxpayers.

In the spirit of transparency, I wanted you to see why we are where we are. The most important thing is the future. I am committed to protecting the Tucson taxpayers and making sure that Downtown continues to grow. The only way this will happen when we all agree to move forward and do what is best for Tucson.

### **Budget/Transit**

And tied in with the need for job creation, economic development and fending off disruptive legislation from up north is our need to figure out how to balance our budget and at the same time maintain an effective public transit system while also funding our other core services.

A recent article I ran across made the following point:

Raise parking costs — people go downtown by other means

A hike in the cost of parking cut the number of people driving into downtown Vancouver, yet overall trips rose--on transit and on bikes.

A year later, some of the results are in. Chief among them: a 9 percent drop in use of parking garages run by the city's parking corporation, EasyPark. Private parking companies also saw declines, though not as steep, according to The Globe and Mail. There is evidence that more people are parking near suburban stations and using transit to go downtown. And over period of years, there seems to have been a considerable shift in travel patterns.

There's a lot in that thought for us to consider. We have debt service to pay on parking garages built in the downtown area. I have always believed (and I think the data bear this out)

that that is not a reliable source on which to rely for paying fixed debt costs. Another point to take away from the quote is that the people identified in the comment are “discretionary” riders; i.e. full fare riders. We know that if our transit system is going to break the cycle of annual increases to the General Fund contribution, we have got to find ways to increase the riders who would otherwise simply drive their cars. If the answer to that is to provide an array of Park-and-Ride facilities in outlying areas to encourage people to use the bus system, we should be working with the RTA to do that.

The final point I’d add is that when the Streetcar comes on line, we will instantly have an O&M obligation to fund. The RTA has committed roughly \$13M for that purpose. They projected that amount of money would cover five years of O&M. The ridership levels on which they’re basing that time frame are over 4,000 per day, and assuming fares that approximate those of the bus system. Those assumptions are hard for me to buy into – so I’m anticipating our Streetcar costs will exceed those anticipated.

Those are the facts – we as a council have to work together on finding creative ways to encourage discretionary riders, find routes that are underperforming and make adjustments in them, look into ways to more effectively monitor the distribution of economy tickets, and work with the RTA on finding ways to tap resources other than our General Fund to cover some of these costs. Each of us wants a reliable and affordable system. The direction in which trends are headed don’t point to that right now. It’ll be one of our major budget challenges in the coming months.

### **ParkWise**

Continuing the thought – ParkWise is our City Department that is responsible for working with neighborhoods to establish paid/monitored parking plans, and monitoring the various surface and garage parking facilities owned by the City. It is not technically an ‘enterprise’ department (i.e. charged with paying its own way) but we certainly rely on it to cover its own costs and then some.

One of the incentives PW has used to encourage neighborhoods to buy-into their parking services is that of the promise to put together a fund (by neighborhood) generated through the fees paid by residents, and once the actual costs of providing the services to the neighborhood have been covered, the excess remaining dollars would be used to do things such as landscape, and other aesthetic upgrades to the neighborhood. The incentive is a self-funded neighborhood beautification process. Here’s the language from the City Code that puts that plan into place:

#### **Sec. 10A-145. Declaration of policy.**

(a) It is the policy of the city to enhance the quality of life and stimulate economic development within the area defined by the City Center Strategic Vision Plan by creating a partnership between the city and the community that efficiently and creatively utilizes parking resources to improve the overall accessibility and environment of the region. ParkWise will be responsible for focusing, coordinating, and supporting the city’s role in parking issues.

(b) The primary funding source for this program is parking revenues. Therefore, the city will establish, upon adoption of this article, a “ParkWise reserve of fund” account within the general fund to enable monies generated by ParkWise to be carried forward from year to year to be reinvested into parking and other related public improvement projects within the city center as approved by the mayor and council.

(c) Although the primary focus of ParkWise will be within the city center, mayor and council may direct ParkWise to implement and manage self-supporting parking programs in other areas of the city should the need arise. The city manager or the manager’s designee may enter into agreements with private property owners to operate and manage parking lots and parking structures, so long as ParkWise covers its anticipated costs out of anticipated revenues from the agreements.

If we are going to continue to get people to support the PW neighborhood program, the City needs to honor its commitment to them and not pull the same trick that the State does with dedicated dollars – sweep them to cover other costs.

In addition, we have a ParkWise Commission that is made up of committed members from the community. We have asked them to do the heavy lifting of working with neighborhoods and doing financial analyses to determine parking permit fees, and parking rates to govern our surface lots and garages. Clearly, a one-size fits all fee structure makes no sense. In some areas you want to encourage quick turn-over of cars while in others you want to encourage longer term parking.

The Code language that sets that authority in place for the PW Commission is this:

(e) Annually review and recommend the proposed annual budget for the ParkWise program;

(f) Recommend revisions to the schedule of user charges for the use of parking facilities provided or furnished by the city, including the placement, times, and rates for on-street, metered parking as well as recommending changes in penalties, interest, collection costs, and other charges for delinquencies in payment of such charges to the ParkWise program manager;

It comes as no surprise to anybody who has paid attention that the PW program has had some rocky roads to traverse in the recent past. On Wednesday, we had an update on progress made with respect to cash controls relative to the PW program and to personnel changes adopted to ensure those controls are maintained.

I know that several of us on the Council are concerned about how we use Citizen Commissions; specifically, whether we allow them to function according to their Code stated mandates, or if we're simply running them through the motions, effectively wasting their time. I believe we have a core of qualified and committed citizens serving on the PW Commission who have not been adequately "heard" by staff. Getting that point across and encouraging a change in that condition was the main point I wanted to deliver during our discussion.

Many of you know that Donovan Durband will be leaving my office and will now move into an administrative role with Parkwise. His skills will be an asset to that department, as will his familiarity with the dynamics and interplay between the neighborhoods, downtown parking needs and facilities, and the history of how the department has been managed. I wish him well and send him off with the most recent study session conversation fresh in mind; if we're not going to honor our commitments to the neighborhoods, we will lose their support in the program, and if we are not going to abide by the Code directed authorities given to the Citizens Commission, we might as well disband it and stop wasting their time.

#### **Reid Park Zoo**

This is a letter that was sent to me on Tuesday afternoon by our Parks Director

<http://cms3.tucsonaz.gov/sites/default/files/ward6/01-19-12elephants.pdf>

The AZA (Association of Zoos and Aquariums) is the standard bearer for accreditation of zoos. We have worked hard to receive their accreditation, and it is based on that that a few things happen:

- a) The Tucson Zoological Society supports our zoo with volunteer support and significant fund raising
- b) Other AZA accredited zoos work with us in animal swaps, education and mutual support.

The IDA (In Defense of Animals) has advocated for keeping the two Reid Park elephants together. Their preferred result would be to send them to a sanctuary. As with so many issues, there are two divergent positions:

Reid Park staff and the AZA maintain that the AZA accredited zoos provide superior care for the animals.

IDA maintains that the sanctuary they had located would do that.

There's no Solomon-like compromise available in this situation. So, we'll give the San Diego option a try because the experts with whom we have developed a long term relationship have said it's in the animals' best interest. We will also keep our options open if that ends up not being the case.

People who represent both sides of this issue have a passionate love of animals. We're all after the same goal.

Sincerely,



Steve Kozachik

# Arts and Entertainment Events Calendar

**This week and next week at the arts and entertainment venues in the Downtown, 4<sup>th</sup> Avenue, and Main Gate areas . . .**

## **Dillinger Days**

*Celebrating the 1930s and the Tucson capture of Public Enemy #1*

Saturday, January 21, 10:00am to 4:00pm.

Lively re-enactments of the events that led to the capture of John Dillinger and his gang in Downtown Tucson in 1934, plus music, classic cars, Downtown historic walking tours, historic lectures, arts/crafts, and more.

Hotel Congress patio, 5<sup>th</sup> Avenue north of Congress St., and Toole Avenue in front of the Historic Depot

<http://www.hotelcongress.com/2012/01/04/dillinger-days-at-hotel-congress/>

## **Rialto Theatre**, 318 E. Congress St.

Thursday, January 19, 8:00pm. **Red Bull** presents: “**The Art of Flight**” (film) Ages 18 and up.

Saturday, January 21, 8:00pm. **Kim Wilson**, with **Tom Walbank** (blues)

[www.RialtoTheatre.com](http://www.RialtoTheatre.com)

## **Fox Theatre**, 17 W. Congress St.

Friday, January 20, 8:00pm. **Jeanne Robertson**

Saturday, January 21, 7:30pm and Sunday, January 22, 2:00pm. “**3:10 to Yuma**” (film)

[www.FoxTucsonTheatre.org](http://www.FoxTucsonTheatre.org)

## **Temple of Music and Art**, 330 S. Scott Ave.

**Arizona Theatre Company** presents Alfred Hitchcock’s *The 39 Steps*

Saturday, January 14 through February 4.

## **Tucson Convention Center**

### **Music Hall**

Friday, January 20, 12:00pm to 4:00pm; Saturday, January 21, 10:45am to 4:00pm. **Barbershop Harmony - Midwinter 2012 Int’l Seniors Quartet Contest**

### **Exhibit Halls A, B, and C**

Friday, January 20, and Saturday, January 21: 9:00am to 5:00pm; Sunday, January 22, 10:00am to 4:00pm.

### **Quilt Fiesta**

### **Crystal Ballroom**

Friday, January 20, and Saturday, January 21, 9:00am to 6:00pm; Sunday, January 22, 9:00am to 5:00pm.

### **American Indian Arts & Craft Show and Sale**

### **Copper Ballroom**

Saturday, January 21, 10:00am to 6:00pm. **Tucson International Coin Show**

**Ongoing . . . .**

**Tucson Museum of Art, 140 N. Main Ave.**  
***Frida Kahlo*, through the lens of Nickolas Muray**  
**January 28 to June 3, 2012**  
**[www.TucsonMuseumofArt.org](http://www.TucsonMuseumofArt.org)**

**Museum of Contemporary Art (MOCA), 265 S. Church Ave.**  
**Current exhibition: *Armando Miguélez: Legislate Crazy***  
**Hours: Wednesday to Sunday, 12:00 to 5:00pm.**  
**[www.Moca-Tucson.org](http://www.Moca-Tucson.org)**

**Children's Museum Tucson, 200 S. 6<sup>th</sup> Ave.**  
**Tuesday - Friday: 9:00am - 5:00pm; Saturdays & Sundays: 10:00am - 5:00pm**  
**[www.childrensmuseumtucson.org](http://www.childrensmuseumtucson.org)**

**Southern Arizona Transportation Museum, 410 N. Toole Ave., next to the Historic Depot**  
**Museum Hours:**  
**Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday: 11:00am to 3:00pm**  
**Friday, Saturday: 10:00am to 4:00pm**  
**Sunday: 11:00am to 3:00pm**  
**[www.TucsonHistoricDepot.org](http://www.TucsonHistoricDepot.org)**

**Meet Me at Maynards**  
 A social walk/run through the Downtown area  
 Every Monday, rain or shine, holidays too!  
 Maynards Market and Kitchen, 400 N. Toole Avenue, the historic train depot  
 Check-in begins at 5:15pm.  
**[www.MeetMeatMaynards.com](http://www.MeetMeatMaynards.com)**

**Tucson Farmers' Market at Maynards**  
 Saturdays 9:00am – 1:00pm  
 On the plaza at Maynards Market & Kitchen. 400 N Toole in the Historic Train Depot

**Santa Cruz Farmers' Market**  
 Thursdays, 4:00 – 7:00pm.  
 Mercado San Agustin, 100 S. Avenida del Convento

**Science Downtown: Mars + Beyond**  
 Thursday through Monday, 9:00am to 5:00pm (until 6:00pm on Fridays and Saturdays, and until 9:00pm on 2<sup>nd</sup> Saturdays). 2-for-1 admission from 5:00 to 9:00pm on 2<sup>nd</sup> Saturdays.  
 300 E. Congress St.  
**<http://www.sciencedowntown.org/index.html>**

**For other events in the Downtown/4<sup>th</sup> Avenue/Main Gate area, visit these sites:**

**[www.MainGateSquare.com](http://www.MainGateSquare.com)**  
**[www.FourthAvenue.org](http://www.FourthAvenue.org)**  
**[www.DowntownTucson.com](http://www.DowntownTucson.com)**