

## Ward 6 Staff



**Steve Kozachik**  
Council Member



**Ann Charles**



**Donovan Durband**



**Teresa Smith**



**Bonnie Medler**



**Diana Amado**



# Ward 6 – Newsletter

NOVEMBER 10, 2011

## A Message from Steve

Most importantly, let me begin by congratulating the 4 winners in Tuesday's election – our new Mayor, Jonathon Rothschild, Ward 1 Council member, Regina Romero, Ward 2 Council member, Paul Cunningham and Ward 4 Council member, Shirley Scott. We have some significant challenges ahead of us and I look forward to continuing to work with this group for the betterment of our community.

And more on this in the days to come, but I would like to thank our current Mayor Bob Walkup for his 12 years of dedicated service to the residents of Tucson. He'll be stepping down soon, but his presence will not soon be forgotten.

### Grant Road Alignment

I began the last newsletter with an item discussing the Grant Rd. corridor development plans. I'm going to do so again and give this very brief update.

On Wednesday, November 30th, we will be hosting at the Ward 6 office a presentation from the City Department of Transportation Director, Jim Glock, Grant Road project manager Mike Holder and Land Use Consultant Mike Grassinger relative to that project. This attachment describes the event, and also gives a general overview of the project

[http://cms3.tucsonaz.gov/sites/default/files/ward6/11-11-11\\_grant\\_rd\\_ccv.pdf](http://cms3.tucsonaz.gov/sites/default/files/ward6/11-11-11_grant_rd_ccv.pdf)

Embedded in this topic is the idea of early acquisitions of property along the corridor. The idea is being encouraged by the RTA on an economic basis; that is, buying in a down market makes financial sense, even if the construction may not take place for years into the future. There are a couple of counter points to consider. First, one factor in a piece of property experiencing a depressed market value may well be a function of it sitting in the proposed development design for a roadway project. Who would buy, at any price? And second, if the RTA purchases properties along the corridor well in advance of the start of construction, there is then the effect of having a vacant structure (or an empty lot if the structure is torn down) sitting at the edge of a residential neighborhood serving as a crime magnet until the roadwork begins. Maintenance costs need to be factored into the consideration of a 'depressed market value' because along with ownership of a property comes an obligation to the community to maintain it.

It's not simply an arithmetic problem – buy low and save money. A Safe Harbor ordinance that allows new shop keepers to occupy existing structures if they come in



### Important Phone Numbers

Tucson Police  
Department

911 or 791-4444  
nonemergency

Mayor & Council  
Comment Line

791-4700

Neighborhood  
Resources

791-4605

Park Wise

791-5071

Water Issues

791-3242

Pima County Animal  
Control

243-5900

Street Maintenance  
791-3154

Planning and  
Development  
Services 791-5550

Southwest Gas

889-1888

Gas Emergency/  
Gas Leaks

889-1888

West Nile Virus

Hotline

243-7999

Environment  
Service

791-3171

Graffiti Removal

792-2489

AZ Game & Fish

628-5376

## Continued: A Message From Steve

without changes in use categories will help. To the extent that TDOT and RTA have agreed to implement such considerations, they need to heavily market the idea into the business community. Further, where “voter approved” road projects’ underlying projections have not proven out, continuing to pursue early acquisitions is a premature assumption of an eventual roadway alignment. It all needs to be sorted out.

The project will be broken into various construction phases, and the design discussions continue well out ahead of breaking ground on the various segments. Please join me in learning more about this project on the 30th at 6pm. The Ward office is located at 3202 E. 1st, behind the new Walgreens across from The Loft on Speedway.

### MQ-9

In the last newsletter I also gave a preview of the discussion we had on Wednesday about a basing decision relative to Davis-Monthan AFB. I asked Ron Barber from Congresswoman Giffords’ office and Bruce Dusenberry from the DM-50 to share with us about this mission at the study session. Here are a few bullet points that are important to understand:

- a) It was announced this week that DM will be reduced in force level by 60 workers. Across the DOD, over 9,000 workers will be cut.
- b) The MQ-9 mission basing decision involves bringing 280 highly paid workers onto DM.
- c) The mission is for remotely guided aircraft – it does not include an increase in fly-overs of the City of Tucson.
- d) The fact that we were given a reprieve in the basing of our Air Operations Center at DM works in our favor with respect to basing this ground control operations center here.
- e) If the DOD ever got around to basing the Drone Aircraft at DM, the decision should be looked at with pleasure since the aircraft are silent, and they are built with redundant safety systems

At Wednesday’s regular session the M&C voted to embrace this mission. We voted to send a Resolution to that effect to our Congressional delegation through the command structure at DM before these talks get too far down the tracks to turn around.

With all of the controversial decisions we make with respect to DM operations and missions, this is one we can all agree will be a good addition to the DM mission. I believe we should do what we can to embrace the relocation.

### Texting Ban

Click on this link to watch a short and very well done YouTube produced by the Channel 12 staff related to texting while driving: [http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=c3KQmv\\_figc](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=c3KQmv_figc)

If you need more, consider that research done on distracted driving by David Strayer at the University of Utah demonstrates that the likelihood of a crash increases 8X when the driver is texting. Their Applied Cognition Lab tests showed that people texting tend to take their eyes off the road often for the same amount of time that it would take to drive the length of a football field.

Imagine driving blind for that distance.



### Important Phone Numbers

Senator John  
McCain (R)  
520-670-6334

Senator Jon Kyl (R)  
520-575-8633

Congresswoman  
Gabrielle Giffords  
(D)  
(8<sup>th</sup> District)  
520-881-3588

Congressman  
Raul Grijalva (D)  
(7<sup>th</sup> District)  
520-622-6788

Governor Janice  
Brewer (R)  
Governor of Arizona  
602-542-4331

Toll free:  
1-800-253-0883

State Legislators

Toll Free  
Telephone:  
1-800-352-8404  
Internet:  
[www.azleg.gov](http://www.azleg.gov)

Mayor Bob Walkup  
791-4201

City Infoguide  
[http://  
cms3.tucsonaz.gov/  
infoguide](http://cms3.tucsonaz.gov/infoguide)

Research done at Virginia Tech showed even more dramatic safety issues associated with texting while driving.

In terms of impairment texting results, in impairment levels, double that of drunken driving.

In moving unanimously at the Wednesday study session to direct the City Attorney to draft language for a no-texting Ordinance and to schedule a public hearing to discuss the draft, the Council took a step in the direction of making our streets safer for pedestrians, cyclists and other drivers. Our vote had bi-partisan support both from the standpoint of people who spoke in favor of the ordinance, and around our own Council table. It also has the backing of the Greater Arizona Biking Association, the Coalition of Arizona Bicyclists, and representatives from both the Arizona State Legislature and the Pima Association of Governments.

And the State legislature can take note of how we went about engaging the public in our conversation prior to agreeing on final language, or even moving forward on passing our Ordinance. Involving affected parties in the conversation is something the Legislature in Phoenix has had a hard time understanding. What we did on Wednesday was to focus on the obvious poster child for distracted driving and take it off the road. I thank the rest of the Council for coming together with one voice that says to the citizens of our community that we take seriously listening to you and that we are serious about consideration of this Ordinance geared towards the safety of the public.

But let me end this section throwing kudos to two State Legislators who have been openly supportive of our efforts. Democratic Representative Steve Farley set aside time to come and share with us his support and tell how he had worked with the City of Phoenix when they were adopting their texting ban. And Republican Representative Vic Williams on Thursday issued this media release

[http://cms3.tucsonaz.gov/sites/default/files/ward6/11-11-11notext\\_mr.pdf](http://cms3.tucsonaz.gov/sites/default/files/ward6/11-11-11notext_mr.pdf)

in support of what we're doing. With the two of them working in concert on this, maybe we have created the momentum needed to get this done on a State-wide basis.

### 10th Amendment

Last State Legislative term, we were blasted with proposal after proposal that struck many of us as looking very pre-emptive of local control. I wrote a lot about that from the context of a hypocritical failure to extend expressed support of the 10th Amendment ("State's Rights") downstream to the City. Well, in that regard, these are two pieces of proposed Federal Legislation that I ran across that our State Legislators might find troubling:

On Tuesday, the House passed H.R. 1002, which would ban State governments from imposing "discriminatory" taxes on mobile services or companies for five years. It passed by voice vote.

The measure would label as discriminatory any taxes that are higher than those generally imposed on other companies or services. Opponents of the Bill warned that many States have faced large budget deficits since the economic downturn began and would suffer further if Congress blocked an additional revenue stream. Sounds vaguely familiar – it's what we told Phoenix throughout the course of their last session. I guess where you stand depends on where you're sitting.

Also last week the House voted, 405-16, to pass the Withholding Tax Relief Act of 2011

(H.R. 674). The bill would repeal a requirement that Federal, State and Local governments begin withholding 3 percent of contract payments made for most goods and services. The concern is that if the provision is not appealed, it could cost State and Local governments a substantial amount of money.

I'm not pointing this out because I necessarily support the two bills. I only mention it as my own sort of pre-emptive strike at the State Legislature sending the message that if they vocally object to these two bills, let's not see a repeat of what we saw last session from them as it relates to home rule considerations.

### **Brian Terry**

Operation Fast and Furious was a sting

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sting\\_operation](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sting_operation)

that was run by the BATF, principally out of the Phoenix Field Division between 2009 and 2010. It was to be a part of a Southwest Border strategy intended to stop the flow of illegal firearms trafficking into Mexico. The way the operation was to work was to allow suspected straw

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Straw\\_purchase](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Straw_purchase)

purchasers to buy weapons and take them into Mexico for transfer to drug runners or others who could not complete the purchase on their own (thus the "straw purchase.") The eventual goal was to build a bigger case against Mexican criminal organizations suspected of being the ultimate buyers

The operation began in late 2009 and ended in late 2010 shortly after the death of Brian Terry, a U.S. Border Patrol Agent. There is a Congressional investigation going on right now that is looking into the likelihood that Brian was murdered with a weapon purchased through the Fast and Furious operation. During the operation, the BATF facilitated the sale of at least 2,000 guns to the straw purchasers with the tacit understanding that they would more than likely be trafficked to Mexico.

Of the 2,000 guns knowingly sold by BATF agents, only 600 are known to have been recovered by officials. The remaining 1,400 guns are in circulation.

This operation was wrong on so many levels. I am a strong supporter of our public safety workers, and have a close relationship with some BP workers in the Tucson sector where Brian Terry was killed. My point in including this section in the newsletter is to draw attention to a fund raiser being held on November 12th, the proceeds of which will go to assist Brian's mother, Josephine Terry. She has incurred significant expenses since the murder of her son (attorney's fees, probate costs and more) and this event is an effort to help her through this process.

The event is in Scottsdale. I'm sure that even if you cannot attend, there are ways you can help Mrs. Terry if you are so inclined. Please check [www.rememberbrianterry.com](http://www.rememberbrianterry.com) or you can call Domino (480.266.7933) or Christy (480.223.3669) for information.

### **Occupy Tucson and other Civic Events/Local Businesses**

Last week I included a link to the County Temporary Food Establishment license application. Here it is again: [http://www.pimahealth.org/healthfood/documents/TFELApplication-REVISED-07\\_1\\_2010.pdf](http://www.pimahealth.org/healthfood/documents/TFELApplication-REVISED-07_1_2010.pdf)

The reason I'm including it again is to draw attention to the fact that the County has approved the license for Occupy Tucson. It is not possible that the event has fulfilled all of the requirements

noted on the application. By way of example, the event has no “end date” as is required on the application, it is relying on food prepared in peoples’ homes – explicitly proscribed on the application – and the County is not going around and checking the cooking/food prep conditions of the facilities providing the food.

I don’t raise this issue with the intent of having the OT ‘kitchen’ shut down. That is a County decision and if they wish to carve out a special exemption in their approval process for this event, that is certainly their prerogative.

Here’s the picture – government shows up with a law/collects a fee/ignores the contents of the law. The truth is that’s nothing more than a shakedown and they should return the money they collected to the Occupy Tucson person who paid them. While I have an issue with the OT methods, several of the issues they’re raising are ones I would tend to agree with. That sort of governmental overreach is one of them.

I raise the issue because some of us on the City Council have been struggling with how we can find a way to accommodate the OT group and still maintain a consistent application of the rules and regulations to which we hold all other Civic Events, and Businesses accountable when they use our facilities. We have not crossed that line and compromised our position vis-à-vis all other applicants.

All I would now ask of the County is that they extend this same largess to every other Civic Event and to every small business operator in this City who has to go through their application process. The costs, both financial and in terms of time and effort, to comply with the County requirements are arduous. So, while the City wrestles with how we maintain consistency in the application of our standard rules and regulations, I would invite all upcoming Civic Event organizers, and business operators who are forced to submit the County application to use this act by the County as a precedent that they are no longer requiring the elements shown on the application. That will be a wonderful benefit to those who would have otherwise had to go through the formerly regular process, and perhaps a positive unintended consequence of the Occupy event.

I would remind the County that differential enforcement breeds contempt for the law and puts our first responders at risk.

And in case you’re wondering if this applies to a licensed Caterer, here’s how the County answered that question:

Q: Sometimes, I give food away at special events. Is my operating permit sufficient for special events?

A: No, you are required to have a temporary food license if you sell food or give food away. The Health Department operating permit is only for the address shown on the permit.

At the City level, we’re holding firm to a consistent application of our regulations. I believe the City Attorney is correct in stating that we have adopted non-content based rules whereby we can place time/place/manner restrictions on the use of our facilities (they’re your facilities – we’re the custodians of them for you.) These rules address public safety, public health (to the extent the County has not set that aside) and the ability to effectively manage and maintain the parks. I believe we’re doing it right.

With that in mind, I have continued to meet with many members of the OT group in an effort to try to find a way through to a mutually acceptable solution. I know that at least one other Council person has also, and that she and I share some similar concerns. We have individually shared with them the frustrations of dealing with a group who has no organized leadership (their decision making is by consensus – and is not binding on all of their members / ‘direct democracy’) and that they have not established any potential deal points over which we could try to find common ground. The exception is asking for an exemption from citations. That’s not a legislative decision. So, some of their members are preparing some written points that I’m going to be happy to review with an eye towards finding how we can work this out to the mutual benefit of the community and the OT group, while not conceding the legitimate right of the City to manage and operate your assets. It’s a work in progress.

Unfortunately, on Tuesday of this week the Occupy Tucson attorney filed a motion in Federal Court to get an injunction against the City from enforcing its parks policies. The truth is that if they truly believe the City’s rules are unconstitutional, I guess they’re honor bound to fight it in court. "Occupy Tucson" is named as a plaintiff in the caption of the filing, self describing as "an unincorporated political organization", "part of a world-wide movement" and a "directly democratic association." But my understanding is that the group does not exist in a legal sense, so the actual plaintiffs are several of the protesters who have received multiple citations saying that their speech has been chilled by the actions of the City in enforcing its parks policies. On Tuesday of this week, the Court rejected their request for an injunction. So we’ll continue to enforce our Ordinances and await the next legal move by OT.

I believe they’re wrong and am still hopeful something can be worked out that is fair to all groups who want to use City parks and other facilities. As I mentioned – whatever we decide will necessarily apply to everybody, not just to this group.

Thanks go out to our Police Officers and to the City Manager for handling this in a non violent, and yet effective manner to this point. Other communities cannot say as much. Even in light of the Occupy protesters using the 911 system as their personal private security platform to roust out those they don’t want intruding into “their” camp, our first responders have treated each call in a professional manner.

### **Copper Theft / TPD**

Traffic Maintenance crew reports the following after investigating the streetlights out on Palo Verde from Seneca to Grant.

Out of a total of approximately 2000 FT of wire thieves got away with about 1200 FT of wire. Due to the inexperience or incompetence of the thieves, they didn't cut the wire properly so it could be pulled out easily. However it was cut down, jammed into the conduit and damaged so it was removed by the electrician.

We'll try to get it replaced next week and seal up the pull boxes. I'm in the process of totaling the cost to repair the streetlight circuit. I will send this to TPD along with other pertinent information.

Those were the disturbing comments from TDOT back to the Palo Verde Neighborhood Association following what has become an epidemic issue throughout the City related to the theft of copper

wire.

I've spoken with TPD officers about this and they say that no area of the City is exempt. Also, the 'prep work' for the theft is often done during daylight hours – while the street lights or park lights are off. Snipping live electrical wires can ruin your day.

But so can the continued theft of wiring ruin the day of many of our residents who rely on street and park lighting for safety and security.

Form a Neighborhood Watch group. If you don't know how, call us at the Ward office @ 791.4601 and we will put you in touch with TPD to get you started. Don't assume the 'worker' you see is doing City work. Look for a uniform or City vehicle in the area. If you don't see that, call 911. We, the taxpayers are losing tens of thousands of dollars to thieves, most of whom are fencing the stolen copper to dealers in small enough chunks and quantities that they're not traceable. The key is to catch them in the act.

TPD has a good working relationship with scrap metal dealers, but there's nothing they can do if sales are being made in such small quantities that they cannot be tied back to a specific event.

In the most recent Collaborative Audit report issued by the City Manager, for TPD "Critical" and "General Responses" the comment was made that increased response times were likely the result of "increases in travel time due to the shortage of sworn personnel." I'll touch on the budget update next, but until we get back to closer to being fully staffed with trained officers on the street, we need all the eyes and ears we can get to help bring incidents to the attention of the authorities.

**Budget/Revenue Results**

The revenue results for the 2011 fiscal year are just now being finalized. The news is good. Here's a chart that describes our General Fund balance by category:

General Fund (amounts in millions)

Fund Balance	FY 2010	FY 2011	Change
Nonspendable	\$3.1	\$2.7	\$(0.4)
Restricted	\$4.3	\$5.5	\$1.2
Committed	\$5.4	\$28.2	\$22.8
Assigned	\$11.5	\$13.3	\$1.8
Unassigned	\$22.8	\$11.2	\$(11.6)
<b>Total Fund Balance</b>	<b>\$47.1</b>	<b>\$60.9</b>	<b>\$13.8</b>

The layman's interpretation of that table is that we are in a good enough position to eliminate all City worker furlough days, and enter the FY'12 year without having to restructure the debt we carried forward.

We did this without having to impose a 1/2 cent sales tax on you, without having to reduce Public Safety by 15% across the board as was originally proposed by the then City Manager, and by conservatively projecting revenues, reducing spending and doing what we can to stimulate private sector development at the local level.

I'm glad we will be eliminating the furlough days. That will restore income to our employees, and it will restore service delivery to you. I'm glad you held our feet to the fire to make necessary

cuts. I joined you in opposing the sales tax proposition that was on the ballot last year. And I spoke out against debt restructuring.

We are not out of the woods yet. We will need to see the annual sales tax revenue that is 2% above that of last year if we are to meet our budget estimates. But that is an achievable target. I'm optimistic that this year's budget discussions will be less divisive, and will allow us to focus on restoring some of what we lost in our core services during the last two fiscal years.

Thanks go out to our Finance Director, Kelly Gottschalk and her staff for their diligent work in getting us to this point. And quite frankly, thanks go out to my colleagues on the Council for the hard work, honest and direct exchanges we had during the budget talks, and compromises at which we arrived during the budget cycle.

### **Rio Nuevo**

The City and the Rio Nuevo Board have agreed on Tom Zlaket to serve as mediator between the two groups. He will be empowered to consider all of the many issues that are right now points of contention between us, including:

- The term sheets that both the City and Rio voted to approve nearly a year ago – but then Rio walked away from
- Issues related to the TCC, the Rio Notice of Default and the need to make facility improvements as soon as possible
- Embedded in the term sheets and subsequent legal maneuvering by the Board are questions as to ownership of parcels of property, debt and who owes what to whom. All of that is fair game for the mediation.

The City Attorney and his staff will meet with the Rio Attorney. No council members or Rio Board members will be allowed in the meetings. They will be conducted much like executive sessions as they in fact constitute working out the terms of a legal settlement. We will receive updates as the process moves along.

My largest concern right now is that Rio has not yet agreed to stop the 120 day meter that is running on their having to serve their lawsuit on you (through serving it on the City.) Right now, they have filed. When they serve, the bills start to build up in the form of the City (taxpayers) having to spend time and money on its legal defense. Rio's lawyers will do the same. You pay both sets of attorneys.

Unless Rio is playing games with your money, they will stop the 120 day meter and allow the mediation process to move forward without being under the gun of that time expiring. The Notice of Default expires on November 13th. Nobody has yet answered for the City the question of what they intend to do on the 14th.

The gamesmanship and brinksmanship are a waste of your money, and a waste of the time and work of City staff. It would be a sign of good faith if in their meeting scheduled for Thursday, November 10th; the Rio Board stops the meter and rescinds the Notice of Default. Otherwise, this all looks all too familiar, and the ones suffering are the taxpayers.

I just gave a positive report on our budget. This money comes out of the same pool of cash with which we could be providing core services.

We're waiting. You're waiting. The Board seems not to care.

### **Astronomy/Outdoor Lighting**

On November 8th, a minor planet (asteroid) about the size of a city block passed within 200,000 miles of the earth at the speed of 29,000mph. That was considered worth keeping an astronomical eye on by the experts. That task was performed over the course of the last 6 years by the Lunar Planetary Center, Kitt Peak and a group dedicated to tracking such objects called the Minor Planet Center over in Cambridge, Massachusetts. (it only took 3 spell checks for me to get that spelling right.)

What's the point of my mentioning this? Simply that over the course of the past few years, a group comprised of people in the Astronomy field on the UA campus have been meeting with people involved with the Outdoor Lighting and Sign industry locally to try to draw up guidelines that we can include in our outdoor lighting codes that will serve to allow the astronomy field to continue to flourish in Southern Arizona, and at the same time allow businesses to appropriately advertise themselves.

At next week's study session I have asked for an update on the progress of the draft of those code revisions. I've gone over them and shared my concerns with the sky folks. To their credit, they have made some adjustments. I have also shared the document with members of the sign and advertising community. I have heard no significant objections to what we will be presented.

Astronomy is a major economic driver in this area, attracting both tourist dollars as well as major research money. It is one of the signature departments associated with The University of Arizona. I appreciate the work of the people with whom I have shared exchanges on this item and look forward to hearing their presentation next week. If you'd like to look over the draft and offer your comments to us, here's the link to it

[http://cms3.tucsonaz.gov/sites/default/files/ward6/11-11-11\\_lighting\\_code.pdf](http://cms3.tucsonaz.gov/sites/default/files/ward6/11-11-11_lighting_code.pdf)

Oh, and if the asteroid had been headed in our direction, I would have put out the newsletter a couple of days earlier so you'd have had a chance to pack.

Sincerely,



Steve Kozachik

NEW from the City of Tucson!!

A bi-weekly e-newsletter we are distributing to Tucson residents aimed at disseminating useful information about our City:

<http://www.tucsonaz.gov/newsletter/information-issue/>

### **Rosemont West Neighborhood Market – November 12, 2011**

On November 12, there will be a neighborhood market at Rosemont Community Church (5005 E. Winsett). The event runs 10:00am-2:00pm and includes great food, entertainment, and locally made products and collectibles. Come out and experience this new market in your area.

## Arts and Entertainment Events Calendar

### Free Festivals and Events in the Downtown/4<sup>th</sup> Avenue/Main Gate Area . . .

#### Veterans Day Parade

Friday, November 11, 10:00am.

Click here for the parade route:

<http://downtowntucson.us2.list-manage2.com/track/click?u=107e0b9788cdb61f73b64762c&id=90959a4d1f&e=3a91fca9a8>

#### Open Studio Tour

Saturday, November 12 and Sunday, November 13. Over 200 artists in Tucson area open their studios in a self-guided public tour.

[www.TucsonPimaArtsCouncil.org](http://www.TucsonPimaArtsCouncil.org)

#### 2<sup>nd</sup> Saturdays Downtown

Tucson's premier monthly urban street festival, on and around Congress Street. Live music, food, vendors, an outdoor movie, and much more. Saturday, November 12, 6:00 to 10:30pm.

[www.2ndSaturdaysDowntown.com](http://www.2ndSaturdaysDowntown.com)

#### GABA Bike Swap

8:00am to 2:00pm. 4th Avenue between 6th St. and 9th St.

[www.BikeGABA.org](http://www.BikeGABA.org)

#### Tucson Shot Rock and Roll: Rock Photos by Tucson Photographers

A special pop-up exhibit gallery at 245 E. Congress St., Suite 171 (next to Sparkroot).

Exhibit runs through Saturday, November 12. Thursdays and Fridays, 4:00pm-10:00pm

<http://www.tucsonshotrock.com/>

### This week at the arts and entertainment venues in Downtown Tucson . . .

**Fox Theatre**, 17 W. Congress St.

**Thursday**, November 10, 6:00 pm. **TEDxTucson**

**Friday**, November 11, 6:00 pm. **Nourish: Chocolat**

**Saturday**, November 12, 7:00 pm. **Up With People**, in conjunction with 2<sup>nd</sup> Saturdays.

**Sunday**, November 13, 7:30 pm. **Classic Albums Live: Fleetwood Mac's "Rumours"**

**Tuesday**, November 15, 8:00 pm. **Pink Martini with Special Guest Vocalist Storm Large.**

Co-presented by Rialto Theatre

**Wednesday**, November 16, 7:00 pm. **With My Own Two Wheels**

[www.FoxTucsonTheatre.org](http://www.FoxTucsonTheatre.org)

**Rialto Theatre**, 318 E. Congress St.

**Thursday**, November 10, 8:00pm. **Lucky Tubb and the Modern Day Troubadours** (honky tonk), with Al Perry, Emilie Marchand, Mike Hebert and more.

**Saturday**, November 12, 8:00pm. **Mac Miller** (rap). **SOLD OUT**

[www.RialtoTheatre.com](http://www.RialtoTheatre.com)

**The Screening Room**

Saturday, November 12, 7:00, 8:00, and 9:00pm. **Illusionist Michael Howell**

[www.azmac.org/scroom](http://www.azmac.org/scroom)

**Beowulf Alley Theatre**

Through November 20. *Lear*, by **William Shakespeare**. Adapted and Directed by Michael Fenlason.

[www.BeowulfAlley.org](http://www.BeowulfAlley.org)

**Temple of Music and Art**, 330 S. Scott Ave.

**Arizona Theatre Company** presents

Tony Award-Winning Comedy – Best Play 2009: **“God of Carnage”**

Through November 12.

[www.arizonatheatre.org](http://www.arizonatheatre.org)

**Children's Museum Tucson**, 200 S. 6<sup>th</sup> Ave.

*Tuesday* - Friday: 9:00am - 5:00pm; Saturdays & Sundays: 10:00am - 5:00pm

*Closed Saturday*, November 12, in preparation for An Evening of Play

*Saturday*, November 12, **An Evening of Play**. Celebrating the Museum's 25<sup>th</sup> anniversary, with a rare, adults-only soiree full of delicious food, creative libations, and fun-filled entertainment.

[www.childrensmuseumtucson.org](http://www.childrensmuseumtucson.org)

**Tucson Convention Center Events****Music Hall:**

Friday, November 11, 8:00pm, and Sunday, November 13, 2:00pm. **Tucson Symphony Orchestra, Classic Series: The New World, Barber & Bernstein**

**Arena:**

Friday, November 11, and Saturday, November 12, 7:30pm.

**University of Arizona Wildcats Hockey vs. Colorado**

<http://cms3.tucsonaz.gov/tcc/eventcalendar>

**Ongoing . . . .****Meet Me at Maynards**

A social walk/run through the Downtown area

Every Monday, rain or shine, holidays too!

Maynards Market and Kitchen, 400 N. Toole Avenue, the historic train depot

Check-in begins at 5:15pm.

[www.MeetMeatMaynards.com](http://www.MeetMeatMaynards.com)

**Tucson Farmers' Market at Maynards**

Saturdays 9:00am – 1:00pm

On the plaza at Maynards Market & Kitchen. 400 N Toole in the Historic Train Depot

**Santa Cruz Farmers' Market**

Thursdays, 4:00 – 7:00pm.

Mercado San Agustin, 100 S. Avenida del Convento

**Science Downtown: Mars + Beyond**

Thursday through Monday, 9:00am to 5:00pm (until 6:00pm on Fridays and Saturdays, and until 9:00pm on 2<sup>nd</sup> Saturdays)

300 E. Congress St.

<http://www.sciencedowntown.org/index.html>

**For other events in the Downtown/4<sup>th</sup> Avenue/Main Gate area, visit these sites:**

[www.MainGateSquare.com](http://www.MainGateSquare.com)

[www.FourthAvenue.org](http://www.FourthAvenue.org)

[www.DowntownTucson.com](http://www.DowntownTucson.com)